# George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Learning Technologies Design Research (LTDR) PhD Specialization EDIT 803.001– Introduction to Design-Based Research 3 Credits, Spring 2024

**Course Instructor:** Dr. Dawn M. Hathaway

Office Hours: By Appointment
Office Location: Thompson Hall 1403

**Office Phone:** 703-993-2019

Cell Phone: 703-862-5966 (preferred)
Email Address: dhathawa@gmu.edu

# **Prerequisites/Corequisites**

Recommended: EDIT801 or permission of instructor.

# **University Catalog Course Description**

Provides an introduction to systematic cycles of design-based research in education. Applicable to all content domains to explore cycles of research within design, development and implementation of educational and training interventions.

#### **Course Overview**

This course will provide students with an introduction to design-based research. Design-based research is a research approach that systematically investigates teaching, learning and/or training phenomena through multiple cycles of design, development, evaluation and implementation of educational interventions (which may consist of curriculum/training interventions, systemic school programs, informal or formal teaching-learning strategies and materials, technology-based products and systems, etc.). This course will examine the history of this research approach along with related current literature, commentary and research.

# **Course Delivery Method**

This course will be facilitated **through online asynchronous**, **online synchronous**, and inperson modalities. Coursework and Resources can be found in the Blackboard Learning Management System (LMS) housed in the MyMason portal. You will log in to the Blackboard (Bb) course site (mymason.gmu.edu) using your Mason email userid and password. The course site will be available on the first day of class.

# **Learning Outcomes or Objectives**

This course is designed to enable students to do the following:

- 1. Understand design-based research (DBR) as a viable research approach.
- 2. Investigate historical and current literature describing and evidencing design research.
- 3. Identify specific teaching/learning/training phenomena to explore through design research

- cycles.
- 4. Plan multiple cycles of design research to investigate teaching/learning/training phenomenon.
- 5. Write a literature review with corresponding research plan for a design research study.

# **Required Texts**

- McKenney, S. & Reeves, T. (2019). *Conducting educational design research* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Routledge.
- Hathaway, D., & Norton, P. (2018). *Understanding problems of practice: A case study in design research*. Springer. (Available via the Mason online library).
- Plomp, T., & Nieveen, N. (Eds.) (2013). *Educational design research Part A: An introduction*. Netherlands Institute for Curriculum Development. (Available on the course website).
- Plomp, T., & Nieveen, N. (Eds.) (2013). *Educational design research Part B: Illustrative cases*. Netherlands Institute for Curriculum Development. (Available on the course website).

Selected required and optional readings will be posted by week on Blackboard.

### **Technical Requirements:**

This class meets in a classroom that is not equipped with individual workstations and all students are required to "bring your own device" (BYOD) to class. This is typically a personal laptop (Mac or Windows) or a tablet running Windows 8.1 or later versions.

Under no circumstances may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

To participate in this course, students will need to satisfy the following technical requirements:

- High-speed Internet access with standard up-to-date browsers. To get a list of Blackboard's supported browsers see:
   https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting Started/Browser Support#supported-browsers
- To get a list of supported operation systems on different devices see:
   <a href="https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting\_Started/Browser\_Support#tested-devices-and-operating-systems">https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting\_Started/Browser\_Support#tested-devices-and-operating-systems</a>
- Students must maintain consistent and reliable access to their GMU email and Blackboard, as these are the official methods of communication for this course.
- Students may be asked to create logins and passwords on supplemental websites and/or to download trial software to their computer or tablet as part of course requirements.
- The following software plug-ins for PCs and Macs, respectively, are available for free download:
  - Adobe Acrobat Reader: https://get.adobe.com/reader/
  - Windows Media Player: <a href="https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/help/14209/get-windows-media-player">https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/help/14209/get-windows-media-player</a>
  - Apple Quick Time Player: <a href="https://www.apple.com/quicktime/download/">www.apple.com/quicktime/download/</a>

#### **Course Expectations**

- <u>Course Week:</u> Our course week will begin on **Tuesday** and end on Monday (most products will be due by the beginning of the next class meeting).
- <u>Log-in Frequency:</u> Students must actively check the course Blackboard site and their GMU email for communications from the instructor, class discussions, and/or access to course materials at least 3 times per week. In addition, students should plan to attend all synchronous meetings listed above. Recordings of synchronous meetings will be available but it is highly recommended that students attend those meetings. The instructor may cancel some of those meetings with due notice and depending on course progress and learning needs.
- <u>Participation:</u> Students are expected to actively engage in all course activities throughout the semester, which includes viewing all course materials, completing course activities and assignments, and participating in course discussions and group interactions.
- <u>Technical Competence</u>: Students are expected to demonstrate competence in the use of all course technology. Students who are struggling with technical components of the course are expected to seek assistance from the instructor and/or College or University technical services.
- <u>Technical Issues:</u> Students should anticipate some technical difficulties during the semester and should, therefore, budget their time accordingly. Late work will not be accepted based on individual technical issues.
- Workload: Please be aware that this course is not self-paced. Students are expected to meet *specific deadlines* and *due dates* listed in the Class Schedule (timeline) section of this syllabus. It is the student's responsibility to keep track of the weekly course schedule of topics, readings, activities and assignments due.
- <u>Instructor Support:</u> Students may schedule a one-on-one meeting to discuss course requirements, content or other course- related issues. Those unable to come to a Mason campus can meet with the instructor via telephone or web conference. Students should email the instructor to schedule a one-on-one session, including their preferred meeting method and suggested dates/times.
- <u>Netiquette:</u> The course environment is a collaborative space. Experience shows that even an innocent remark typed in the online environment can be misconstrued. Students must always re-read their responses carefully before posting them, so as others do not consider them as personal offenses. *Be positive in your approach with others and diplomatic in selecting your words*. Remember that you are not competing with classmates, but sharing information and learning from others. All faculty are similarly expected to be respectful in all communications.
- <u>Accommodations:</u> Online learners who require effective accommodations to ensure accessibility must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services.

# **Course Assignments**

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Zoom, etc.)

1. Review and presentation of design research case examples (15%) Each student will select a DBR (Design Based Research) case study that is relevant to their area/context of research and will provide a review of the case study in the form of a presentation in-class or online. The DBR case study can be selected from the *Plomp & Nieveen Educational Design Research (EDR) Part* 

B: Illustrative Cases book (available on the course website), or from an empirical design research journal, dissertation database, or course resources. The **Design Studies Journal** (Interdisciplinary Journal of Design Research) is highly recommended.

The review will include a description of the context of the study, conceptual framework, nature and type of the design intervention (e.g., curriculum/training interventions, systemic school programs, informal or formal teaching-learning strategies and materials, technology-based products and systems), methodological approach of the design-based research (e.g., framework, cycles, data collection), and results, conclusions, and implications.

The review is expected to provide the following:

- An in-class or online presentation of the DBR case outlining related literature, research questions, research cycles, methods, analysis, results and conclusions.
- A statement of how what was learned in the review of the case relates to the individual's area of interest in design research

More detail, as well as examples, is on the course website.

<u>Literature review or synthesis</u> (30%) – Each student will identify a teaching, learning or training phenomenon to thoroughly investigate by examining related literature and provide theoretical grounding for their own potential design research study involving initial or iterative development, evaluation or implementation of an intervention (i.e., curriculum, program, course, system or strategy). Each literature review will adhere to the following parameters:

- Examination of at least 10 current, related research and conceptual journal articles
- 5-10 page synthesis of the journal articles to represent current state of research in this area and identified gap for a design research study
- Adherence to APA citation standards (7<sup>th</sup> edition)

Research Plan (30%) – Each student will write a research plan articulating specific potential phases of an iterative design research program of study related to an identified phenomenon and intervention. This research plan will be written in a manner similar to a grant or dissertation proposal containing the following elements:

- Statement of the problem
- Revision/Addition to existing literature review (see previous assignment)
- Generated theoretical conjectures and related potential research questions
- Articulated possible program of study including iterative cycles of integrated design and research aligned with specific design research phase(s)
- Alignment of initial learning targets, task analysis, intervention features and research questions
- Justification and rationale related to overall selection of methods, potential research questions for cycle and potential design implications to uncover

<u>Jigsaw Feedback on Literature Review and Research Plan</u> (10%) – Each student will peer-review another students' literature reviews and research plans to make suggestions and comments on substance, writing, research plan and implications, etc. This jigsaw feedback circle will require each student to be prepared to select, discuss and make constructive commentary on another's

work. In this manner, all will benefit from multiple perspectives on the potential implementation of a design research study which will provide a reviewed plan for future doctoral courses. The jigsaw review will consist of:

- Each small group of three will read at least two other drafts of literature review
- Each student will switch drafts and come together to discuss at each of the three rounds
- Commentary, editing and suggestions will be conducted in one week
- Polished final drafts will be turned in on the due date for Literature Review and at the end of the course for the research plan.

<u>Class Participation and Contributions</u> (15%) - Effective class participation involves not only preparation and communication skills, but also contributing to the online knowledge base, and commenting on peers' contributions. Specifically, students must make significant contributions towards building a shared interpretation of the readings and theories being discussed individually and collaboratively. This includes critical analysis of the readings through discussions, blogs, and analytic commentary in wikis or similar as assigned.

Participation rubric for online participation and contributions:

- Outstanding contributor: contributions reflect exceptional preparation. Ideas offered are
  always substantive, providing one or more major insights as well as direction for the
  class. Frequent references are made to the readings and/or to knowledge from other
  sources, often showing the ability to generalize or extend the material under discussion. If
  this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion and knowledge
  building would be diminished markedly.
- Good contributor: contributions reflect thorough preparation. Ideas offered are usually substantive, providing good insights and sometimes direction for the class. Occasional references are made to the readings and/or to knowledge from other sources, sometimes showing the ability to generalize or extend the material under discussion. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would be diminished.
- Adequate contributor: contributions reflect satisfactory preparation. Ideas offered are
  sometimes substantive, providing some useful insights but seldom offer new direction for
  the discussion. Some references are made to the readings and/or to knowledge from
  other sources but seldom generalize or extend the material under discussion. If this
  person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would be diminished
  somewhat.
- Unsatisfactory contributor: Contributions reflect inadequate preparation and/or there is little contributions in class or online. Ideas offered are seldom substantive, providing few insights and no direction for the class. References to readings are rare or non-existent. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion and knowledge building would be unchanged.

Point assessment for Class Participation and Contributions (15%):

criteria			_	outstanding contributor
discussions	10 or less	11	12-13	14-15
blogs	10 or less	11	12-13	14-15
wikis	10 or less	11	12-13	14-15

#### **Course Performance Evaluation**

Requirements	Percentage
Review and Presentation of Design Research Case Examples	15%
Literature Review or Synthesis	30%
Design Research Plan	30%
Jigsaw Feedback on Literature Review and Design Research Plan	10%
Class Participation and Contributions	15%

# **Grading Scale**

Your final grade will be based on the following scale: A = 94-100; A - = 90-93; B + = 86-89; B = 83-85; B - = 80-82; C = 70-79; F = <70

Grades are based on the successful completion of course requirements and on the scope, quality and creativity of the assignments. To get an A in this course, students should demonstrate critical thinking skills through active synthesis of reading material, integration of prior knowledge and experience, and through problem-solving, argumentation, and reasoning.

Grade distribution is as follows: A = 94 - 100 (exceeds expectations on all requirements); A = 90 - 93 (meets expectations, very good performance), B + 86 - 89 (meets most expectations, good performance), B = 83 - 85 (meets most expectations, satisfactory performance); B - 80 - 82 (meets some expectations, average performance); C = 70 - 79 (notably below expectations).

#### **CEHD Core Values Commitment**

The College of Education and Human Development (CEHD) is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/

# **Professional Dispositions**

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times. See <a href="https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/">https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/</a>

**Class Schedule** 

Note: Faculty reserve the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

WEEK	TOPICS/PREPARATION	READINGS/ACTIVITIES
Week 1 Jan 16 (Synch)	Introductions Review Syllabus Begin thinking about <u>your</u> individual area of focus in Design Research for the lit review	Read McKenney & Reeves, Chapter 1 Read Plomp & Nieveen, Chapter 1 Read Hathaway & Norton Chapter 1 Read Anderson & Shattuck (2012) Contribute initial design research ideas/interests to discussion area
Week 2 Jan 23 (Asynch)	Design Research: A Framework Begin working on literature review Select First DBR Illustrative Case	Read McKenney & Reeves, Chapter 2 Read Plomp & Nieveen, Chapter 2 Read Hathaway & Norton Chapter 2 Read Bannan-Ritland (2003) Read Bannan (2013) GO Inquire Share your selection of DBR illustrative case online
Week 3 Jan 30 (Asynch)	Contributions to Theory and Practice: Concepts and Examples Prepare DBR Case Presentation Work on literature review	Read McKenney & Reeves, Chapter 3 Read Plomp & Nieveen, Chapter 3 Read Hathaway & Norton Chapter 3 Read Collins & Joseph (2004) Look at Example Assignments
Week 4 Feb 6 (F2F or Synch)	Methods/Frameworks in DBR Review Design Research Models (Dr. Bannan narrated PPT) Present DBR Case Reviews in class Work on Literature Review	Read McKenney & Reeves, Chapter 4 Read Plomp & Nieveen, Chapter 4 Read Hathaway & Norton Chapter 4 Read Christensen & West Chapter Example Assignments DBR Case Review DUE
Week 5 Feb 13 (Asynch)	Analysis/Informed Exploration Review Informed Exploration Phase (Dr. Bannan narrated PPT) Work on Literature Review	Read McKenney & Reeves, Chapter 5 Read Plomp & Nieveen, Chapter 5 Read Hathaway & Norton Chapter 5 Annotated Bibliography due in wiki space
Week 6 Feb 20 (Asynch)	Design and Construction Begin thinking about your Design Research Plans Work on Literature Review	Read McKenney & Reeves, Chapter 6 Read Plomp & Nieveen, Chapter 6 Read Hathaway & Norton Chapter 6 Contribute design research plan ideas/interests to discussion area

Week 7 Feb 27 (Asynch)	Work on Literature Review	Read McKenney & Reeves, Chapter 7 Read Plomp & Nieveen, Chapter 7	
Week 8 Mar 5	Spring Break		
Week 9 Mar 12 (F2F or Synch)	Evaluation and Reflection  Discuss Design Research Plans	Literature Review DUE	
Week 10 Mar 19 (Asynch)	Implementation and Spread Work on Design Research Plan Read Peers Lit Reviews	Read McKenney & Reeves, Chapter 8 Available Posted Online Readings Read Peers Lit Reviews	
Week 11 Mar 26 (Asynch)	Writing Proposals for EDR Design Research: Exploration into Methodologies Work on Design Research Plan	Read McKenney & Reeves, Chapter 9 Available Posted Online Readings Jigsaw Feedback on Lit Reviews Due	
Week 12 April 2 (Asynch)	Reporting EDR  Present/Discuss Design Research  Plan for initial Feedback	Read McKenney & Reeves Chapter10	
Week 13 April 9 (F2F or Synch)	Looking Back and Ahead Finalize Design Research Plan	Discuss DBR plans in class	
Week 14 April 16 (Asynch)	Group Feedback on Design Plan	Finalize/Refine Design Research Plan	
Week 15 April 23 (Asynch)	Finalize Design Research Plan Individual Meetings Week	Jigsaw Feedback on DBR Plan	
Week 16 April 30 (F2F or Synch)	Final Design Research Plan Due Present DBR plans in class	Congratulations!	

#### Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/.

#### GMU Policies and Resources for Students

#### **Policies**

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see <a href="https://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/">https://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/</a>).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see <a href="https://ds.gmu.edu/">https://ds.gmu.edu/</a>).
- Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

#### Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to VIA should be directed to viahelp@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/assessments. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to https://its.gmu.edu/knowledge-base/blackboard-instructional-technology-support-for-students/.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus

Notice of mandatory reporting of sexual assault, sexual harassment, interpersonal violence, and stalking:

As a faculty member, I am designated as a "Non-Confidential Employee," and must report all disclosures of sexual assault, sexual harassment, interpersonal violence, and stalking to Mason's Title IX Coordinator per University Policy 1202. If you wish to speak with someone confidentially, please contact one of Mason's confidential resources, such as Student Support and Advocacy Center (SSAC) at 703-380-1434 or Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) at 703-993-

2380. You may also seek assistance or support measures from Mason's Title IX Coordinator by calling 703-993-8730, or emailing titleix@gmu.edu.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <a href="https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/">https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/</a>.

# **Assignment Rubrics**

Criteria	Exceeds Standards	Meets Standards	Does Not Meet Standards
Review and presentation of design research case study (15 points)	Well-written and presented research study review of cycle(s) of design research with description of the testing of the intervention.	Written and presented research study review of cycle(s) of design research with adequate description of the testing of the intervention.	Poorly written and presented research study with little relevance to example of cycle(s) of design research with limited description of the testing of the intervention.
	Concise overview of research questions literature, research questions, methods, results and conclusions presented. Excellent evidence of consideration how this study could be considered or revised into a cycle of design research.	Overview of research questions literature, research questions, methods, results and conclusions presented. Some evidence of consideration how this study could be considered or revised into a cycle of design research.	Some overview of research questions literature, research questions, methods, results and conclusions presented. Little evidence of consideration how this study could be considered or revised into a cycle of design research.
	A thorough consideration of how this study relates to the individual's area of interest in design research or what was learned about design research processes in this task.	A statement of how this study relates to the individual's area of interest in design research or what was learned about design research processes in this task.	Limited statement of how this study relates to the individual's area of interest in design research or what was learned about design research processes in this task.

Criteria	Exceeds Standards	Meets Standards	Does Not Meet Standards
Literature review or synthesis (30 points)	Thorough literature review written on an identified teaching, learning or training phenomena of interest.	Adequate literature review written on an identified teaching, learning or training phenomena of interest.	Limited literature review written on an identified teaching, learning or training phenomena of interest.
	Comprehensive synthesis of theoretical grounding to inform future design research study planning.	Some synthesis of theoretical grounding to inform future design research study planning.	Little synthesis of theoretical grounding to inform future design research study planning.
	Evidence of review of at least 10 selective, current research and conceptual journal articles, 5-10 reviewed, professionally written pages and adherence to APA format.	Evidence of review of at least 10 current research and conceptual journal articles, 5-10 written pages and adherence to APA format.	Little evidence of review of less than 10 current research and conceptual journal articles, less than 5-10 written pages demonstrating little review/editing and not adequate adherence to APA format.
	29-30	26-28	25 or below

D ·	A .1 1 1	A 1 1 d .	A 1° ', 1 1
Design Research Plan	A thorough research plan that well-	A research plan that articulates phases of	A limited research plan that presents
rescured 1 min	articulates specific	iterative design	some phases of
(30 points)	phases of iterative	research.	iterative design
	design research.		research.
	Well-conceptualized	Conceptualized with	Conceptualized
	with logical	logical connection to	without logical
	connection to research	research questions.	connection to
	questions.		research questions.
	Plan includes logical	Plan includes	Plan does not
	statement of problem	statement of problem	include one or more
	(falling from earlier literature review),	(falling from earlier literature review),	of the following: statement of
	theoretical conjectures	theoretical conjectures	problem theoretical
	and related research	and related research	conjectures and
	questions,	questions, iterative	related research
	demonstrated iterative cycles of design and	cycles of design and research.	questions, iterative cycles of design and
	research.	researen.	research.
	The plan provides clear evidence of	The plan provides evidence of some	The plan provides little evidence of
	alignment of initial	alignment of initial	alignment of initial
	learning targets, task	learning targets, task	learning targets, task
	analysis, potential	analysis, potential	analysis, potential
	intervention features and research questions.	intervention features and research questions.	intervention, etc.
	and research questions.	and research questions.	
	20.20	27.20	25 1 . 1
	29-30	26-28	25 or below
	l		

# Jigsaw Feedback

(10 points)

Evidence of outstanding commentary/editing on at least two other students' literature reviews and research plans with helpful, constructive suggestions and comments on substance, writing, research plan and implications, etc. Polished drafts are submitted to other students and instructor in a timely manner.

Evidence of commentary/editing on at least two other students' literature reviews and research plans with suggestions and comments on substance, writing, research plan and implications, etc. Polished drafts are submitted to other students and instructor by due dates.

Little or no evidence of commentary/editin g on at least two other students' literature reviews and research plans with suggestions and comments on substance, writing, research plan and implications, etc. Drafts are not submitted to other students and instructor by due dates.