## George Mason University College of Education and Human Development School of Sport, Recreation and Tourism Management

SRST 450 – DL1 – Research Methods 3 Credits, Spring 2024 January 16 – April 29 -Asynchronous Online

# Faculty

Name:	Don L. Jones, Ph.D.
Office Hours:	Virtual—By Appointment
Email Address:	djones31@gmu.edu

# **Prerequisites/Corequisites**

60 credits and <u>STAT 250<sup>C</sup></u>, EDRS 220<sup>C</sup>, <u>OM 210<sup>C</sup></u> or IT 250<sup>C</sup>. <sup>C</sup> Requires minimum grade of C.

## **University Catalog Course Description**

Covers the development of empirical research designs for both practical and theoretical problems in health, fitness, and recreation resources management. Includes literature review of hypothesized relationships, and formulation of research proposals. Offered by <u>Recreation, Health & Tourism</u>. May not be repeated for credit. **Specialized Designation:** Fulfills writing intensive requirement in the major.

## **Course Overview**

This course is a designated "Writing-Intensive" (WI) course – fulfilling in part the WI requirement for all HFRR majors – therefore, each person will complete **at least** 3,500 words of graded writing assignments (approximately 14 pages, double-spaced). I'll do all I can to share knowledge, skills, and techniques for success, but it will be your responsibility to study the materials and textbook. Writing assignments must follow the guidelines of the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (APA) (7th ed.).

All written papers are to be submitted using WORD (with a .doc or .docx file extension) - .pdf or other formats are not permitted in this course. Papers received AFTER the due date will be considered late and receive a 20% deduction in points per 24-hour period.

If you are confronted with extreme emergencies or are participating in a pre-approved universitysponsored function, exceptions may be made; however, this is only applicable if pre-approved with me (your instructor). In addition, I strongly encourage you to make a back-up copy of any work submitted since computers have been known to crash at the most inopportune times.

# **Course Delivery Method**

This course will be delivered online using an asynchronous format via the Blackboard learning management system (LMS) housed in the MyMason portal. You will log in to the Blackboard course site using your Mason email name (everything before "@masonlive.gmu.edu) and email password. The course site will be available at all times from Monday, May 15th through Friday, June 23rd.

Under no circumstances, may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

## Technical Requirements

To participate in this course, students will need to satisfy the following technical requirements:

- High-speed Internet access with standard up-to-date browsers. To get a list of Blackboard's supported browsers see: <u>https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting\_Started/Browser\_Support#supported-browsers</u>
- To get a list of supported operation systems on different devices see: <u>https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting\_Started/Browser\_Support#tested-</u> <u>devices-and-operating-systems</u>
- Students must maintain consistent and reliable access to their GMU email and Blackboard, as these are the official methods of communication for this course.
- Students will need a headset microphone for use with the Blackboard Collaborate web conferencing tool.
- Students may be asked to create logins and passwords on supplemental websites and/or to download trial software to their computer or tablet as part of course requirements.
- The following software plug-ins for PCs and Macs, respectively, are available for free download:
  - Adobe Acrobat Reader: <u>https://get.adobe.com/reader/</u>
  - Windows Media Player: <u>https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/help/14209/get-windows-media-player</u>
  - Apple Quick Time Player: <u>www.apple.com/quicktime/download/</u>

Expectations

• **Course Week:** Because asynchronous courses do not have a "fixed" meeting day, our week will **start** on Mondays, and **finish** on Sundays. All assignments will be due by 11:59pm on Sundays

- **Log-in Frequency**: Students must actively check the course Blackboard site and their GMU email for communications from the instructor, at a minimum this should be 3 times per week.
- **Participation**: Students are expected to actively engage in all course activities throughout the semester, which include viewing of all course materials, completing course activities and assignments, and participating in course discussions and group interactions.
- **Technical Competence**: Students are expected to demonstrate competence in the use of all course technology. Students are expected to seek assistance if they are struggling with technical components of the course.
- **Technical Issues**: Students should expect that they could experience some technical difficulties at some point in the semester and should, therefore, budget their time accordingly. Late work will not be accepted based on individual technical issues.
- Workload: Expect to log in to this course at least 3 times a week to read announcements, participate in the discussions, and work on course materials. Remember, this course is not self-paced. There are specific deadlines and due dates listed in the CLASS SCHEDULE section of this syllabus to which you are expected to adhere. It is the student's responsibility to keep track of the weekly course schedule of topics, readings, activities and assignments due.
- <u>Instructor Support</u>: Students may schedule an appointment to discuss course requirements, content or other course-related issues. These discussion(s) will take place via telephone or web conference. Students should e-mail the instructor to suggest preferred times/dates.
- Netiquette: The course environment is a collaborative space. Experience shows that even an innocent remark typed in the online environment can be misconstrued. Students must always re-read their responses carefully before posting them, so that others do not consider them as personal offenses. *Be positive in your approach with others and diplomatic in selecting your words*. Remember that you are not competing with classmates but sharing information and learning from others. All faculty are similarly expected to be respectful in all communications.
- Accommodations: Online learners who require effective accommodations to ensure accessibility must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services.

# Learner Outcomes or Objectives

This course is designed to enable students to do the following:

- 1. Define and demonstrate appropriate use of research terminology;
- 2. Critically evaluate published research in scientific journals and the popular press;
- 3. Formulate research problem statements;
- 4. Enumerate the values inherent in the practice of scientific research;
- 5. Conduct a thorough review of literature and synthesize the findings; and

6. Prepare a sound and feasible research proposal.

## **Professional Standards**

Upon completion of this course, students will meet the following professional accreditation standards from the Council on Accreditation of Parks, Recreation, Tourism and Related Professions (COAPRT):

7.02	Students graduating from the program shall be able to demonstrate the ability to
	design, implement, and evaluate services that facilitate targeted human experiences
	and that embrace personal and cultural dimensions of diversity.

# **Required Texts**

Riddick, C. C., & Russell, R. V. (2015). *Research in recreation, parks, sport, and tourism* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). Champaign, IL: Sagamore Publishing.

# NOTE: This textbook is available online through Mason Libraries via the following link:

https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.mutex.gmu.edu/lib/gmu/detail.action?docID=6313348

## **Course Performance Evaluation**

Students are expected to submit all written assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy).

- Resources, Assignments and Examinations
  - **The Writing Center** Students who feel they need more preparation for this Writing Intensive course are encouraged to contact the staff of The Writing Center for assistance. The link to their introductory video is s provided here: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qb4qrVfpCBA</u>
  - <u>APA Style</u>: Writing in APA style is a requirement for this class. You have been provided with multiple resources to help you as well as a template see Video Tutorials.
  - <u>Research Proposal</u> this assignment is worth 15% of your grade and is due on or before Sunday, February 4<sup>th</sup>. You are required to submit a proposal on the form provided to include the Purpose of your research, questions a researcher might raise about your study, and the significance of your topic.
  - <u>Proposal Part # 1</u> Yellow Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to complete the introduction to their draft research proposal, including both a background for the study and an overview of the study – Guidelines Provided. This

assignment is worth 15% of your grade and is due on Sunday, February 18<sup>th</sup>.

- <u>Proposal Part # 2</u> Green Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to complete an integrated review of the literature highlighting theoretical frameworks, conceptual models and core themes associated with their topic of choice. A synthesis of the literature highlighting the key elements presented in the integrated review of literature is also required Guidelines Provided. This assignment is worth 20% of your grade and is due on Sunday, March 3<sup>rd</sup>.
- <u>*Mid-Term* Exam</u> the Mid-Term Exam is scheduled for Wednesday, March 20th and accounts for 20% of your grade.
- Pink Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to understand what a rationale for the study includes as well as the statement of the problem, research questions, a clear description of variables to be included in the study and how to write a hypothesis Guidelines Provided.
- <u>Proposal Part #4</u> Blue Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to understand how to select the population and sampling portion of their proposal – Guidelines Provided.
- <u>Proposal Part # 5</u> Orange Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to determine what research design and instrumentation would fit their study, what the research setting entails, and procedures for data collection and analysis. Students must also list all references in APA format used throughout the draft proposal as well as appendices as needed Guidelines Provided.
- <u>Final Proposal</u> Students are expected to use feedback from all previous assignments to complete the final proposal. The final proposal includes all areas of the semester-long assignment submitted for final review. This assignment accounts for 10% of your grade and is due on Sunday, April 14th.
- <u>FINAL EXAM</u> The Final Exam is scheduled for Monday, April 29<sup>th,</sup> and accounts for 20% of your grade.

Grading Scale – The following grading scale will be used to determine your final grade:

Α	В	С	D	F
90-100	80-89	70-79	60-69	< 69

Grades are based on the following:

- Research Proposal (15%) due on Sunday, February 4<sup>th</sup>
- Yellow Rubric Items (15%) due on Sunday, February 18<sup>th</sup>
- Green Rubric Items (20%) due on Sunday, March 3rd

- Mid-Term Exam (20%) Wednesday, March 20<sup>th</sup>
- Final Proposal (10%) due on Sunday, April 20th.
- Final Exam (20%) Monday, April 29<sup>th</sup>
- TOTAL 100%

### **Professional Dispositions**

See <a href="https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/">https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/</a>

## **Class Schedule**

Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary.

#### **Core Values Commitment**

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/</u>.

## **GMU Policies and Resources for Students**

## Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see <a href="https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/">https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/</a> ).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see <a href="https://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/">https://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/</a>).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see <a href="https://ds.gmu.edu/">https://ds.gmu.edu/</a>).
- Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

## Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to <u>tk20help@gmu.edu</u> or <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20</u>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <u>https://its.gmu.edu/knowledge-base/blackboard-instructional-</u> <u>technology-support-for-students/</u>.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see <a href="https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus">https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus</a>

**Notice of mandatory reporting of sexual assault, interpersonal violence, and stalking:** As a faculty member, I am designated as a "Responsible Employee," and must report all disclosures of sexual assault, interpersonal violence, and stalking to Mason's Title IX Coordinator per University Policy 1202. If you wish to speak with someone confidentially, please contact one of Mason's confidential resources, such as Student Support and Advocacy Center (SSAC) at 703-380-1434 or Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) at 703-993-2380. You may also seek assistance from Mason's Title IX Coordinator by calling 703-993-8730, or emailing <u>titleix@gmu.edu</u>.

# For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <a href="https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/">https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/</a>.

DATE			Торіс	<b>READINGS/ASSIGNMENT</b> <b>DUE</b>	
Week Beginning	Jan	16	<ul> <li>Introduction to SRST 450</li> <li>Research and Research Topics</li> <li>Developing Research Topics</li> </ul>	<b>Step 1:</b> Decide on a Topic <b>Step 2:</b> Review the Literature	
	Jan	22	<ul> <li>Using our Resources to conduct effective literature reviews</li> <li>Pulling together literature for your review</li> </ul>	<b>Step 3 :</b> Identify Theoretical Underpinnings	
	Jan	29	<ul> <li>Research Plan and Ethics</li> <li><i>Review and understand</i> how to find peer- reviewed journal articles.</li> </ul>	Step 10 : Address Ethical Responsibilities – Submit Research Topic for Approval –Sunday, February 4th	

# **Tentative Class Schedule:**

DATE			Торіс	READINGS/ASSIGNMENT DUE
	Feb	5	• Developing Effective Research Questions	<ul> <li>Step 4 : Develop a Scope of Study</li> <li>Yellow Rubric Items Due – Sunday, February 18th</li> </ul>
	Feb	12	• Completing the Literature Review. Take this week to complete this assignment throughout the week. You should expect to write approximately 3-4 pages daily.	<b>Step 5 :</b> Explain Significance of Study
	Feb	19	<ul> <li>Purpose Statement and Rationale for the Study</li> <li>Hypotheses and Variables</li> </ul>	Purpose statement and Rationale for the study
	Feb	26	<ul> <li>Population</li> <li>Determination of Sample Size</li> <li>Sampling Procedure</li> <li>Sampling Bias</li> </ul>	Step 6 : Select a Sample Step 7 : Choose a Design Green Rubric Items Due – Sunday, March 3rd
	March	4	• Reliability and Validity	Step 8 : Consider Measurement Pink Rubric Items
	March	11	• Final Student Review of Pink Rubric Items – revise as necessary	Review and Revise
	March	18	<ul> <li>Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Designs</li> <li>Descriptive and Interview Protocols</li> </ul>	Design and Instrumentation MID-TERM EXAM – Wednesday, March 20th
	March	25	<ul> <li>Descriptive and Inferential Statistics – Part I</li> </ul>	Introduction to Step 9 : Specify Data Collection Methods Blue Rubric Items

DATE			Торіс	READINGS/ASSIGNMENT DUE
	April	1	<ul> <li>Descriptive and Inferential Statistics – Part II</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Step 14A : Analyze</li><li>Quantitative Data</li><li>Step 14B : Analyze</li><li>Qualitative Data</li></ul>
	April	8	• Thematic Coding – Trends and Themes	Step 15 : Create Visual Aids Orange Rubric Items and Final Proposal
	April	15	<ul> <li>Instrumentation and Measurement</li> <li>Surveys and Interview Protocols</li> <li>Data Collection</li> <li>Writing and Reporting Results</li> </ul>	Step 16 : Write Report FINAL PROPOSAL DUE – Sunday, April 14th.
	April	22	Wrap up	
	April	29	Final Exam	Monday, April 29th

Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary

# **Final Proposal Draft:**

The intent of this assignment is to apply your conceptual, and practical understanding of your profession to asking questions and defining research problems. This assignment will help you develop an awareness of the research potential in your field of interest and will serve as a beginning for your final project or thesis that you will complete during future independent studies.

This proposal draft will include:

- 1. A **background for the study** section about the specific topic to be investigated (including the significance of the problem/issue to be investigated).
- 2. An **overview of the study** section including a specific purpose statement for the study. The first person to read this and email me that you have done so will get a gift card for a free pizza.
- 3. An integrated **review** of pertinent **literature** (*at least 10 current*, evidence-based/empirical and peer- reviewed research articles do not confuse these with articles

from newspapers which are NOT empirical nor peer-reviewed) - (literature review section).

- 4. A **synthesis of literature** section highlighting key points and themes illustrated throughout the review of the literature section.
- 5. A rationale for the study, which clearly articulates reasons why you believe the study should be conducted.
- 6. A clear and concise **statement of the problem** (and/or issue) that ties to the rationale for the study.
- 7. **Research Questions** (usually 3 or 4 in total).
- 8. **Variables and Definitions** (Independent and dependent variables should be accurately identified and clearly described for the reader).
- 9. <u>TWO</u> testable **hypotheses** (if quantitative study) regarding the outcome of your study.
- 10. A complete definition of the target and accessible **population** from which the sample would be drawn. This definition should **thoroughly describe** the <u>size</u> of this population and <u>relevant characteristics</u> (age, ability, socioeconomic status, etc.). This is based on your PROPOSED study.
- 11. A description of how you will determine the **sample size**. Include a summary statement that indicates the sample size that will be selected and justification for this size. Be sure to identify your anticipated response rate and cite your sources!
- 12. An explanation of the **sampling** techniques by which you would select the sample and form it into groups (if appropriate). This technique should be described in detail, including justification of the technique selected. For example, if using "stratified sampling", do not just say that stratified sampling will be used; indicate on what basis (i.e., characteristic) the population will be stratified and how group members (and how many) will be selected. Please also identify any possible sources of sampling bias.
- 13. Identify and describe the **research design** to be used in this study (go back to your reading on "Research Designs"). Describe why the design was selected; potential threats to **internal validity and external validity** (e.g., subject characteristics, location, instrumentation, maturation, subject attitude, implementation, generalizability to a larger audience) and how you have designed the study to minimize the potential effects of these threats.
- 14. Briefly explain the sources for questions to be used in the survey (quantitative) or interview protocol (qualitative) and how you would validate and confirm the reliability of your **instrument**. In other words, if you plan to use one or more already existing scales or measures, describe each. Explain, as well, <u>how</u> you plan to check the **validity and**

**reliability** of scores obtained with your instruments. In other words, if you plan to use one or more already existing scales or measures, describe each. Explain, as well, how you plan to check the **validity and reliability** of scores obtained with your instruments. If you plan to use an existing instrument, summarize what you have been able to learn about the **validity and reliability of** previous results.

- 15. Describe the **setting** in which the research will take place using all descriptive characteristics that are applicable.
- 16. Describe the procedural technique(s) by which you would **collect the data** for a complete study (e.g., structured face-to-face or telephone interviews; mail, fax, or email surveys; pre/post). The specific data collection technique(s) should be described in detail (when, where, how long, etc.). Indicate the exact procedures for how you will contact subjects and the advantages and disadvantages of your chosen method of collection. Justify why you selected the technique you did.
- 17. Develop a **data analysis strategy.** For a quantitative study, a discussion of descriptive and inferential statistics is appropriate. For a qualitative study, a discussion of coding techniques and application towards previously discussed theory is appropriate. If mixed methods, both will be required.
- 18. Develop an appropriate cover letter written to your theoretical participants that will reference the collection procedures you have determined. This letter <u>must include</u>, but is not limited to the following:
  - 1. Letterhead, date, name, and address, greeting, signature and title;
  - 2. What the study is about and why it is useful.
  - 3. Why the recipient is important and why they should participate.
  - 4. A promise of confidentiality or anonymity and an explanation of a numbering system if used; and,
  - 5. Assurance that the information will be used, incentives that will be given, if appropriate, and a thank you.
- 19. Develop a survey instrument or data collection form. It should be formatted in-line with the data collection method selected (in other words, an interview form should have appropriate guide questions, arrows, transitions). The form <u>must include</u>, but is not limited to:
  - 1. Introductory information,
  - 2. Directions,
  - 3. Thank you,
  - 4. Room for comments,
  - 5. Question variety, and
  - 6. Proper formatting of questions. *Be creative BUT above all, rigorous and methodical.*

[NOTE: The cover letter and instrument/protocol will each be attached in an appendix to your proposal. In the written text, you will need to refer to the appropriate Appendix (e.g., Appendix A and Appendix B].

## **Guidelines:**

- All work in this course should be written in the third person using complete sentences.
- Use subheadings appropriate to the assignment (e.g., Introduction, Literature Review, Statement of the Problem, References, etc...) to serve as a guide for "piecing together" your final proposal and to help you be sure you have responded to all requirements of the assignment.
- At least five (5) of your references must be research articles appearing in <u>refereed</u> <u>journals</u> and these specific references must be <u>highlighted in yellow or you will</u> <u>receive no credit</u>. The additional five (5) references providing support for significance and definitions **may** come from other literature sources.
- Appropriately cite all sources following the current APA guidelines.
- Create an **APA-style cover page** with running headers throughout the document.
- Create an APA-style references/works cited page.

## Grading:

- Overall, grading will be based on completeness of responses, clarity, and accuracy of written presentation. See rubric for details.
- Proposal drafts should be developed through the integration of material from your courses, readings, and practical experiences, and should demonstrate independent thought and attention to detail (e.g., grammar and spelling).

# FINAL PROPOSAL ASSIGNMENT DUE: No later than Sunday, April 14th - midnight.

Papers received AFTER that will be considered late and will receive a 20% reduction in points per 24-hour period after noon (Saturdays & Sundays are included).

# **Rubric:**

Item	Unsatisfactory	Minimal (2)	Competent (3)	Outstanding
	(1)	Approaching	Meets	(4)
	Does Not Meet			Exceeds
Introduction	0-15	16-20 points	21-25 points	26-30 points
	The author did	The author	The author	The author
Background for	not provide or	provided some	provided	provided
the Study and	provided very	general and/or	significant	significant
Overview of the	limited general	cited evidence to	general and/or	general and/or
Study	and/or cited	inform the reader	cited evidence to	cited evidence
(30 points)	evidence to	about the key	inform the	to inform the
	inform the reader	issues involved in	reader about the	reader about
	about the key	the proposed	key issues	the key issues
	issues involved in	study but needs	involved in the	involved in the
	the proposed	to expand on the	proposed study.	proposed
	study.	key issues		study
		presented.		
Integrated	0-30 points	31-40 points	40-49 points	50-55 points
<b>Review of the</b>	Journal article	The review of the	The review of	The review of
Literature	summaries were	articles was	the articles was	the articles
(55 points)	listed rather than	integrated	adequately	was well
	integrated as a	between articles	integrated	integrated
	cohesive	but needs work.	between articles.	between
	discussion and/or	Key findings	Key findings	articles. Key
	less than five (5)	from at least	from at least	findings from
	peer-reviewed	three (3) peer-	four (4) peer-	at least five (5)
	empirical studies were used in the	reviewed	reviewed	peer-reviewed
	review of	empirical studies were attempted	empirical studies and at least four	empirical studies and
	literature.	but not	(4) general	five (5)
	Conceptual	adequately	articles were	general
	models and/or	organized and	adequately	articles were
	pertinent	presented and	organized and	well
	theoretical	could be	presented but	organized,
	frameworks were	improved.	could be	presented, and
	not presented.	Conceptual	improved.	discussed
	T T T T T T T T T	models and/or	Conceptual	relative to
		theoretical	models and/or	each other and
		frameworks were	theoretical	the author's
		presented but	frameworks	proposed
		-	were presented	study.

		could be	but still need	Conceptual
		improved.	improvement.	models and/or
		improved.	improvement.	theoretical
				frameworks
				were presented
				accurately and
				clearly.
Synthesis of the	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
Literature	Conclusions	Conclusions	Conclusions	Conclusions
(10 points)	drawn about the	drawn about the	drawn about the	drawn about
	convergent and	convergent and	convergent and	the convergent
	divergent views	divergent views	divergent views	and divergent
	illuminated	illuminated	illuminated	views
	throughout the	throughout the	throughout the	illuminated
	literature review	literature review	literature review	throughout the
	were not	were discussed	were discussed	literature
	presented or were	but were not	and presented in	review were
	presented in a	presented in a	a cohesive and	discussed and
	minimal and/or	cohesive manner.	manner, but	presented in a
	non-cohesive		further work is	cohesive and
	manner.		needed.	manner.
Rationale for	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
the Study	The author did	The author	The author	The author
(10 points)	not provide or	provided some	provided & cited	provided &
(	provided very	cited evidence of	evidence for the	cited evidence
	limited cited	the importance	importance of	for the
		the hip of the total of total of the total of to	in portante or	
	evidence of the	and significance	conducting the	importance of
	evidence of the importance and	and significance	conducting the	importance of conducting the
	importance and	of the study but	proposed study	conducting the
	importance and significance of	of the study but could be	proposed study but further	conducting the proposed
	importance and	of the study but	proposed study but further improvement is	conducting the
Problem	importance and significance of the study.	of the study but could be improved.	proposed study but further improvement is needed.	conducting the proposed study.
Problem	<pre>importance and significance of the study. 0-2 points</pre>	of the study but could be improved. 3-5 points	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b>	conducting the proposed study. 9-10 points
Statement	<pre>importance and significance of the study. 0-2 points The problem</pre>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem	conducting the proposed study. 9-10 points The problem
	<ul> <li>importance and significance of the study.</li> <li>0-2 points The problem statement is</li> </ul>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem statement is	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem statement is	<ul> <li>conducting the proposed study.</li> <li>9-10 points The problem statement is</li> </ul>
Statement	<ul> <li>importance and significance of the study.</li> <li>0-2 points The problem statement is difficult to </li> </ul>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem statement is adequately stated	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem statement is clearly stated	<ul> <li>conducting the proposed study.</li> <li>9-10 points</li> <li>The problem statement is clearly stated</li> </ul>
Statement	<ul> <li>importance and significance of the study.</li> <li>0-2 points The problem statement is difficult to identify or not</li> </ul>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem statement is adequately stated and supported by	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem statement is clearly stated and supported	<ul> <li>conducting the proposed study.</li> <li>9-10 points</li> <li>The problem statement is clearly stated and supported</li> </ul>
Statement	<ul> <li>importance and significance of the study.</li> <li>0-2 points The problem statement is difficult to </li> </ul>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem statement is adequately stated and supported by the background	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the	conducting the proposed study. 9-10 points The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the
Statement	<ul> <li>importance and significance of the study.</li> <li>0-2 points The problem statement is difficult to identify or not</li> </ul>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem statement is adequately stated and supported by the background and overview	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and	conducting the proposed study. 9-10 points The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background
Statement	<ul> <li>importance and significance of the study.</li> <li>0-2 points The problem statement is difficult to identify or not</li> </ul>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem statement is adequately stated and supported by the background and overview sections but could	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and overview	conducting the proposed study. 9-10 points The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and overview
Statement	<ul> <li>importance and significance of the study.</li> <li>0-2 points The problem statement is difficult to identify or not</li> </ul>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem statement is adequately stated and supported by the background and overview	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and overview sections, but	conducting the proposed study. 9-10 points The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background
Statement	<ul> <li>importance and significance of the study.</li> <li>0-2 points The problem statement is difficult to identify or not</li> </ul>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem statement is adequately stated and supported by the background and overview sections but could	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and overview sections, but further	conducting the proposed study. 9-10 points The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and overview
Statement	<ul> <li>importance and significance of the study.</li> <li>0-2 points The problem statement is difficult to identify or not</li> </ul>	of the study but could be improved. <b>3-5 points</b> The problem statement is adequately stated and supported by the background and overview sections but could	proposed study but further improvement is needed. <b>6-8 points</b> The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and overview sections, but	conducting the proposed study. 9-10 points The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and overview

0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
Research	Research	Research	Research
questions were difficult to understand or were not presented.	questions were included but were not directly related to the previous sections presented.	questions were well articulated and were directly related to the previous sections presented but need further	questions were well articulated and were directly related to the previous sections presented.
		improvement.	
0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
Independent and dependent variables were not accurately defined, nor clearly described.	Independent and dependent variables were accurately identified, but not clearly described.	Independent and dependent variables were accurately identified and described for the reader, but further improvement is needed.	Independent and dependent variables were accurately identified and clearly described for the reader.
0-1 points	2-3 points	<b>3-4 points</b>	4-5 points
Two hypotheses were incorrectly stated (not testable) or not provided.	Two hypotheses were stated but need to be refined to be easily testable.	Two hypotheses were correctly stated and testable, and variables were identified but need further improvement.	Two hypotheses were correctly stated and testable, and variables clearly identifiable
0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
demographic characteristics were used to describe the population from which the student is drawing the sample. The demographic characteristics	2-3 demographic characteristics were used to describe the population from which the student is drawing the sample. The demographic characteristics chosen are appropriate to the	At least 4 demographic characteristics were used to describe the population from which the student is drawing the sample. The demographic characteristics	At least 4 demographic characteristics were used to describe the population from which the student is drawing the sample. The demographic characteristics
	questions were difficult to understand or were not presented.	Research questions were difficult to understand or were not presented.Research questions were included but were not directly related to the previous sections presented.0-2 points Independent and dependent variables were not accurately defined, nor clearly described.3-5 points Independent and dependent variables were accurately identified, but not clearly described.0-1 points Two hypotheses were incorrectly stated (not testable) or not provided.2-3 points Two hypotheses were stated but need to be refined to be population from which the student is drawing the sample. The demographic characteristics were used to describe the population from which the student is drawing the sample. The demographic characteristics chosen are	Research questions were difficult to understand or were not presented.Research questions were included but were not directly related to the previous sections presented.Research questions were well articulated and were0-2 points3-5 points Independent and dependent variables were not accurately defined, nor clearly defined, nor clearly described.3-5 points Independent and clearly described.6-8 points Independent variables were accurately identified, but not clearly described.Independent accurately identified but not clearly described.3-4 points restated but need to be reader, but further improvement is needed.0-1 points Two hypotheses were incorrectly stated (not testable) or not provided.2-3 points refined to be refined to be 

			41	
	appropriate to		the study, but	appropriate to
	the study.		further	the study.
			improvement is	
			needed.	0.10
Determination of	-	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
Sample Size	2 or more of the	1 of the	The population	The
(10 points)	following	following	size is identified	
	categories of	categories of	(or projected	size is clearly
	information are	information is <u>no</u>		identified (or
	not provided or	provided or is <u>no</u>		projected with
	are <u>not</u> correctly	correctly	projection).	evidence for
	explained: The	explained: The	The appropriate	the
	population size is	s population size i	s sample size is	projection).
	clearly identified	clearly identified	l identified, and	The
	(or projected	(or projected wit	h literature is cited	1 appropriate
	with evidence for	r evidence for the	as justification.	sample size is
	the projection).	projection). The	e The expected	identified, and
	The appropriate	appropriate	response rate is	literature is
	sample size is	sample size is	projected, and	cited as
	identified, and	identified, and	literature is cited	l justification.
	literature is cited	literature is cited	as justification,	The expected
	as justification.	as justification.	but further	response rate
	The expected	The expected	improvement is	is projected,
	response rate is	response rate is	needed.	and literature
	projected, and	projected, and	needed.	is cited as
	literature is cited	• •		justification.
	as justification.	as justification.		justification.
	us justification.	us justification.		
Sampling	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
Procedure	2 or more of the	1 of the	The specific	The specific
(10 points)	following	following	COMBINATIO	COMBINATIO
(10 points)	categories of	categories of	N of sampling	N of sampling
	information is	information is	techniques is	techniques is
	not provided or	<u>not</u> provided or	explained, and	explained, and
	is <u>not</u> correctly	is not correctly	the choices	the choices
	explained: The	-		
	•	explained: The	justified. IF the student chooses	justified. IF the student chooses
	specific	specific		
	COMBINATIO	COMBINATIO	to sample for a	to sample for a
	N of sampling	N of sampling	specific	specific
	techniques is	techniques is	characteristic(s),	characteristic(s),
	explained. The	explained. The	the	the
	choices of	choices of	characteristic(s)	characteristic(s)
	sampling	sampling	is explained but	is clearly
	technique are	technique are	further	explained.
	justified. IF the	justified. IF the	improvement is	
	student chooses	student chooses	needed.	

to sample for a specificto sample for a specificcharacteristic(s), thecharacteristic(s), thecharacteristic(s) is clearlycharacteristic(s) is still not
characteristic(s), characteristic(s), the the characteristic(s) characteristic(s)
the the characteristic(s) characteristic(s)
characteristic(s) characteristic(s)
is clearly is still not
explained. clearly
explained.
Sampling Bias0-2 points3-5 points6-8 points9-10 points
<b>10 points)</b> 0 or 1 possible Only 2 possible 3 or more 3 or more
sources of sources of possible sources possible sources
sampling bias sampling bias of sampling bias of sampling bias
are clearly are clearly are clearly are clearly
identified, and/or identified, and/or identified. The identified. The
the effects of the the effects of the effects of the effects of the
potential potential potential potential
sampling biases sampling biases sampling biases sampling biases
are clearly are not clearly still need further are clearly
explained. explained. improvement. explained.
Research 0-9 points 10-19 points 20-39 points 30-35 points
Design and Research Research Research Research
instrumentatio Design – Design – Design – Design – Design Author
a (including a Author clearly Author clearly Author clearly clearly described
liscussion on described less described 2 of described the the following:
he validity and than 2 of the the following: following but The research
reliability of following:
he instrument
and potential design
hreats to Rational for research design research design Threats and
<b>nternal and</b> research design
external
validity of the how s/he will minimize threats $\Box$ Threats and to internal and
(tudy) minimize threats to internal and how s/he will external validity
<b>35 points</b> ) to internal and external validity minimize threats <i>Instrumentation</i>
external validity <i>Instrumentation</i> to internal and - Author clearly
<i>Instrumentation</i> – external validity. described:
– Author clearly <i>Instrumentation</i> 🗆 Strategies for
Author clearlydescribed 2-3 of- Author clearlyassessing the
described less the following: described the validity of
than 2 of the
following: strategy for $\Box$ At least 1 questionnaire
□ At least 1 assessing the strategy for items for
strategy for validity of assessing the measuring the
assessing the his/her validity of proposed IVs
validity of questionnaire his/her and DVs.
his/her items for questionnaire

questionnaire items for measuring the proposed IVs and DVs □ At least 1	measuring the proposed IVs and DVs At least 1 strategy for assessing the	items for measuring the proposed IVs and DVs At least 1 strategy for	<ul> <li>Strategies for assessing the reliability of the questionnaire.</li> <li>Strategy(ies) for assessing the</li> </ul>
strategy for assessing the reliability of his/her questionnaire At least 1 strategy for assessing the validity of the responses to questionnaire	reliability of his/her questionnaire At least 1 strategy for assessing the validity of the responses to questionnaire items	assessing the reliability of his/her questionnaire At least 1 strategy for assessing the validity of the responses to questionnaire items	responses to questionnaire items.
items			

Research	0.2 nointa	2.5 nointa	6 9 nointa	0 10 points	
	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points	
Setting	0 or 1	2 characteristics	2 but not 3	At least 4	
(10 points)	characteristics	were used to	characteristics	characteristics	
	were used to	describe the	were used to	were used to	
	describe the	setting in which	describe the	describe the	
	setting in which	the student is	setting in	setting in which	
	the student is	conducting the	which the	the student is	
	conducting the	study.	student is	conducting the study.	
	study.		conducting the		
			study.		
<b>Procedures for</b>	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points	
<b>Data Collection</b>	Another	Another	Another	Another	
(10 points)	researcher would	researcher would	researcher	researcher could	
	have to ask the	have to ask the	would have to	easily and	
	author to clarify	author to clarify	ask the author	clearly follow	
	3 or more steps	at least 2 steps to	to clarify at	the author's	
	to collect data	collect data and	least 1 step to	steps to collect	
	and come up	come up with the	collect data	data and come	
	with the	projected sample.	and come up	up with the	
	projected sample.	projected sumple.	with the projected sample.		
	projected sumple.				
			sample.	sampie.	
Data Analysia		2 E mainta		0.10 mainta	
Data Analysis:	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points	
(10 points)					

	-				
	Proposed tests	Author described	Author	Author	
	described by	proposed tests	described	accurately	
	author were not	somewhat	proposed tests	described	
	appropriate or	accurately.	accurately, but	proposed tests.	
	were missing.	Author provided	further	Author provided	
	Author did not	at least one	improvement	at least two	
	provide	additional	is needed and	additional	
	descriptions of	proposed test	at least one	proposed tests	
	any additional	designed to	additional	designed to	
	tests designed to	illustrate	proposed test		
	illustrate	additional	is needed.	additional	
	additional	information for	15 needed.	information for	
	information for	stakeholders.		stakeholders.	
	stakeholders.			0.40	
References	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points	
(10 Points)	Author cited	Author accurately	Author	Author	
	fewer than 5	cited at least 4	accurately	accurately cited	
	referred articles	refereed articles	cited at least 5	and highlighted	
	and less than 5	but less than the 5	peer-reviewed	in yellow - 5 or	
	additional	required and only	articles but	more refereed	
	articles.	4 or fewer	still has only 4	articles and five	
		additional	or fewer	(5) additional	
		articles.	additional	articles.	
		articles.	articles.	articles.	
Appendix A:	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points	
Final	-	-	-	-	
	Questionnaire or	Questionnaire or	Questionnaire	Questionnaire or	
Instrument or	protocol is	protocol is	or protocol is	protocol follows	
Interview	breaking 3 or	breaking 1-2	still breaking 1	all rules for	
Protocol	more rules for	rules for design.	rule for design.	design.	
(10 points)				0	
	design.			0	
Proper	design.				
Proper formatting,	design.				
•	design.				
formatting,	design.				
formatting, questions follow	design.				
formatting, questions follow rules, directions,		3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you <b>Appendix B:</b>	design. <b>0-2 points</b> Author is	-	<b>6-8 points</b> Author is still	9-10 points	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you <b>Appendix B:</b> <b>Cover Letter (10</b>	<b>0-2 points</b> Author is	Author is missing	Author is still	9-10 points Author provided	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you Appendix B: Cover Letter (10 points)	<b>0-2 points</b> Author is missing 3 or	Author is missing 1-2 key elements	Author is still missing 1 key	9-10 points Author provided all items noted	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you Appendix B: Cover Letter (10 points) Letterhead, date,	<b>0-2 points</b> Author is missing 3 or more key	Author is missing 1-2 key elements of the cover letter	Author is still missing 1 key element of the	<b>9-10 points</b> Author provided all items noted and wrote letter	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you Appendix B: Cover Letter (10 points) Letterhead, date, name/address,	<b>0-2 points</b> Author is missing 3 or more key elements of the	Author is missing 1-2 key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the	Author is still missing 1 key element of the cover letter	<b>9-10 points</b> Author provided all items noted and wrote letter in an engaging	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you Appendix B: Cover Letter (10 points) Letterhead, date, name/address, greeting,	<b>0-2 points</b> Author is missing 3 or more key elements of the cover letter	Author is missing 1-2 key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the letter in a way	Author is still missing 1 key element of the cover letter and/or still	<b>9-10 points</b> Author provided all items noted and wrote letter in an engaging manner without	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you <b>Appendix B:</b> <b>Cover Letter (10</b> <b>points)</b> Letterhead, date, name/address, greeting, signature, title,	<b>0-2 points</b> Author is missing 3 or more key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the	Author is missing 1-2 key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the letter in a way that will likely	Author is still missing 1 key element of the cover letter and/or still needs	<b>9-10 points</b> Author provided all items noted and wrote letter in an engaging manner without overly	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you Appendix B: Cover Letter (10 points) Letterhead, date, name/address, greeting, signature, title, study summary,	<b>0-2 points</b> Author is missing 3 or more key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the letter in a way	Author is missing 1-2 key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the letter in a way that will likely influence	Author is still missing 1 key element of the cover letter and/or still needs improvement	<b>9-10 points</b> Author provided all items noted and wrote letter in an engaging manner without overly influencing	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you Appendix B: Cover Letter (10 points) Letterhead, date, name/address, greeting, signature, title, study summary, why useful, why	<b>0-2 points</b> Author is missing 3 or more key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the letter in a way that will likely	Author is missing 1-2 key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the letter in a way that will likely influence potential	Author is still missing 1 key element of the cover letter and/or still needs improvement to avoid	<b>9-10 points</b> Author provided all items noted and wrote letter in an engaging manner without overly influencing potential	
formatting, questions follow rules, directions, thank-you Appendix B: Cover Letter (10 points) Letterhead, date, name/address, greeting, signature, title, study summary,	<b>0-2 points</b> Author is missing 3 or more key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the letter in a way	Author is missing 1-2 key elements of the cover letter and/or wrote the letter in a way that will likely influence	Author is still missing 1 key element of the cover letter and/or still needs improvement	<b>9-10 points</b> Author provided all items noted and wrote letter in an engaging manner without overly influencing	

confidentiality	potential	potential	
info, how info to	responses.	responses.	
be used.			

# **Rubric for SRST 450 VIA Assessment**

Item	1	2	3	4
	Does not meet	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
APA or AMA formatting				
including references, title page,				
headings/subheadings, tables, etc.				
Abstract				
Introduction				
Integrated Review of the				
Literature				
Problem Statement with				
Rationale				
<b>Research Questions/ Hypotheses</b>				
Variables with Definitions				
Populations and Sample				
Description				
Sampling Procedure and Bias				
Instrumentation Reliability/				
Validity				
Procedures for Data				
Collection/Triangulation				
Data Analysis Plan				
Implications/Applications				
Quality and Quantity of				
References				
Data Collection Documents				
Language Control (e.g., tone and				
prose appropriate for research				
proposal)				
Language Mechanics (e.g.,				
grammar, punctuation,				
paragraphing)				
Engaged in Revision Process				

