George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Sport and Recreation Studies

SRST 450.DL1 – Research Methods 3 Credits, Fall 2022 Blackboard/Distance Learning

Faculty

Name:	Don L. Jones, Ph.D.
Office Hours:	By Appointment
Office Location:	Distance Learning
Office Phone:	321-200-6621
Email Address:	djones31@gmu.edu

Prerequisites/Corequisites:

60 credits and <u>STAT 250[°]</u>, DESC 210[°], <u>OM 210[°]</u> or IT 250[°]. [°] Requires minimum grade of C.

University Catalog Course Description

Covers the development of empirical research designs for both practical and theoretical problems in health, fitness, and recreation resources management. Includes literature review of hypothesized relationships, and formulation of research proposals. Offered by School of Sport/Rec/Tour Mgmt. Limited to three attempts. **Specialized Designation:** Fulfills writing intensive requirement in the major.

Course Overview

This course is a designated "Writing-Intensive" (WI) course – fulfilling in part the WI requirement for all HFRR majors – therefore, each person will complete at least 3,500 words of graded writing assignments. To help make this a manageable task, we divided the course into 5 smaller writing exercises you'll complete throughout the semester. These will be thoroughly critiqued and graded and will form the basis for your final Research Proposal. I'll do all I can to share knowledge, skills, and techniques for success, but it will be your responsibility to study and incorporate the comments on your papers, suggestions from your colleagues, and information from the text. We'll follow the guidelines of the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (APA) (7th ed.).

Unless otherwise noted, all written papers must be submitted electronically via email. Assignments will be due at the beginning of class on the specified date due. All written papers are to be submitted using WORD (with a .doc or .docx file extension) - .pdf or other formats are not permitted in this course. Papers received AFTER 10:30 a.m. will be considered late and receive a 20% deduction in points per 24-hour period.

If you are confronted with extreme emergencies or are participating in a pre-approved university-sponsored function, exceptions may be made; however, this is only applicable if pre-approved by me (your instructor). In addition, I strongly encourage you to make a back-up copy of any work submitted since computers have been known to crash at the most inopportune times.

Course Delivery Method

This course will be delivered online (76% or more) using an asynchronous format via the Blackboard Learning Management system (LMS) housed in the MyMason portal. You will log in to the Blackboard (Bb) course site using your Mason email name (everything before @masonlive.gmu.edu) and email password. The course site will be available at all times from Monday, August 22, 2022, through Wednesday, December 14, 2022.

Under no circumstances, may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

Technical Requirements

To participate in this course, students will need to satisfy the following technical requirements: High-speed Internet access with a standard up-to-date browser. To get a list of Blackboard's supported browsers, see: <u>https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting_Started/Browser_Support#supported-browsers</u>

To get a list of supported operation systems on different devices, see: https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting_Started/Browser_Support#tested-devices-and-operating-systems

- Students must maintain consistent and reliable access to their GMU email and Blackboard, as these are the official methods of communication for this course.
- Students will need a headset microphone for use with the Blackboard Collaborate web conferencing tool.
- Students may be asked to create logins and passwords on supplemental websites and/or to download trial software to their computer or tablet as part of course requirements.
 - The following software plug-ins for PCs and Macs, respectively, are available for free download: Adobe Acrobat Reader: <u>https://get.adobe.com/reader/</u>
 - Windows Media Player: <u>https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/help/14209/get-windows-media-player</u>
 - Apple Quick Time Player: <u>www.apple.com/quicktime/download/</u>

Expectations

- <u>Course Week</u>: Because asynchronous courses do not have a "fixed" meeting day, our week will start on Mondays, and finish on Sundays. All assignments will be due as noted in the Syllabus.
- <u>Log-in Frequency</u>: Students must actively check the course Blackboard site and their GMU email for communications from the instructor, at a minimum this should be 3 times per week.
- <u>Participation</u>: Students are expected to actively engage in all course activities throughout the semester, which include viewing of all course materials, completing course activities and assignments, and participating in course discussions and group interactions.
- <u>Technical Competence</u>: Students are expected to demonstrate competence in the use of all course technology. Students are expected to seek assistance if they are struggling with technical components of the course.
- <u>Technical Issues</u>: Students should expect that they could experience some technical difficulties at some point in the semester and should, therefore, budget their time accordingly. Late work will not be accepted based on individual technical issues.
- <u>Workload</u>: Expect to log in to this course at least 3 times a week to read announcements, participate in the discussions, and work on course materials. Remember, this course is not self-paced. There are specific deadlines and due dates listed in the CLASS SCHEDULE section of this syllabus to which you are expected to adhere. It is the student's responsibility to keep track of the weekly course schedule of topics, readings, activities, and assignments due.
- <u>Instructor Support</u>: Students may schedule an appointment to discuss course requirements, content, or other courserelated issues. These discussion(s) will take place via telephone or web conference. Students should e-mail the instructor to suggest preferred times/dates.
- <u>Netiquette</u>: The course environment is a collaborative space. Experience shows that even an innocent remark typed in the online environment can be misconstrued. Students must always re-read their responses carefully before posting them, so as others do not consider them as personal offenses. *Be positive in your approach with others and diplomatic in selecting your words*. Remember that you are not competing with classmates but sharing information and learning from others. All faculty are similarly expected to be respectful in all communications.

• <u>Accommodations</u>: Online learners who require effective accommodations to ensure accessibility must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services.

Learner Outcomes or Objectives

This course is designed to enable students to do the following:

- 1. Define and demonstrate appropriate use of research terminology.
- 2. Critically evaluate published research in scientific journals and the popular press.
- 3. Formulate research problem statements.
- 4. Enumerate the values inherent in the practice of scientific research.
- 5. Conduct a thorough review of literature and synthesize the findings; and
- 6. Prepare a sound and feasible research proposal.

Professional Standards

Upon completion of this course, students will meet the following professional accreditation standards from the Council on Accreditation of Parks, Recreation, Tourism and Related Professions (COAPRT):

7.02 Students graduating from the program shall be able to demonstrate the ability to design, implement, and evaluate services that facilitate targeted human experiences and that embrace personal and cultural dimensions of diversity.

Required Text

Riddick, C. C., & Russell, R. V. (2015). Research in recreation, parks, sport, and tourism (3rd ed.). Sagamore Publishing.

NOTE: This textbook is available online through Mason libraries via the following link:

https://wrlc-gm.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/01WRLC_GML/1prj2t5/alma9947301040004105

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor.

- Assignments and Examinations
 - <u>Weekly Modules and Discussion Board Questions</u>: To help ensure you are understanding the concepts being taught, from time to time I will email you definitions of specific words and explanation of concepts to help explain things such as the "independent and the dependent variables, internal and external validity, etc.". You are also encouraged to post questions about these concepts on Discussion Board Coffee Lounge.
 - <u>Librarian and Writing Center:</u> You are required to contact the Librarian, Chris Magee, before the end of the 2nd week of the semester. You can reach Chris at <u>cmagee@gmu.edu</u> You are also encouraged to set up a virtual meeting with someone at the Writing Center by the end of the 4th week of class. The Librarian and the staff at the Writing Center can assist you on your paper/references/APA Style, etc. Please use these resources. Failure to contact the Librarian may result in a 15-point reduction in your final score
 - Link to Introductory Video for the Writing Center: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qb4qrVfpCBA
 - <u>Phone or Zoom Meeting with Professor</u> each student is required to have a phone call with the Professor for this class before the end of Week 3 to discuss expectations and answer questions about specific assignments. This is NOT OPTIONAL and will result in a 10-point deduction unless excused by the Professor.
 - <u>APA Style:</u> Writing in APA style is a requirement for this class. You have been provided with multiple resources to help you as well as a template see Video Tutorials.
 - <u>Initial Contact with Librarian and Professor</u> See above for these requirements. 15 and 10 points = 25 possible point deductions

- <u>Proposal Part # 1</u> Yellow Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to complete the introduction to their draft research proposal including both a background for the study and overview of the study Guidelines Provided. 30
- <u>Proposal Part # 2</u> Green Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to complete an integrated review of the literature highlighting theoretical frameworks, conceptual models and core themes associated with their topic of choice. A synthesis of the literature highlighting the key elements presented in the integrated review of literature is also required Guidelines Provided. 65
- <u>Mid</u> Term Exam 50
- <u>Proposal Part # 3</u> Pink Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to complete a rationale for the study, statement of the problem, research questions, a clear description of variables to be included in the study and at least two testable hypotheses if study is quantitative or mixed methods Guidelines Provided. 45
- <u>Proposal Part #4</u> Blue Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to complete the population and sampling portion of their proposal – Guidelines Provided. 40
- <u>Proposal Part # 5</u> Orange Elements on Assignment Rubric: Students are required to describe their research design and instrumentation, research setting, procedures for data collection and analysis, list all references in APA format used throughout the draft proposal as well as appendices as needed Guidelines Provided. 95
- <u>Final Proposal</u> Students are expected to use feedback from all previous assignments to complete the final proposal. The final proposal includes all areas of the semester long assignment submitted for final review. 100

o Total 450

• Grading

Tł	nis cours	se will be graded o	n a point s	ystem, with a to	tal of 450 points possible		
			-				
A		= 426-450	В	= 386-401	C = 346-361	D	= 290-329
<mark>A-</mark>	-	= 410-425	B-	= 370-385	C-= 330-345	F	= 0-289
B-	+	= 402-409	C+	= 362-369			

Professional Dispositions

See https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaborations, ethical leadership, innovation, researchbased practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/</u>.

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, VIA, hard copy).

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, VIA, hard copy).

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code: <u>https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/</u> .
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to

activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.

- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see<u>http://ods.gmu.edu/)</u>.
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to VIA should be directed to <u>VIAhelp@gmu.edu</u> or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/assessments. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <u>https://its.gmu.edu/knowledge-base/blackboard-instructional-technology-support-for-students/</u>.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see <u>https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus</u>

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/</u>.

DATE			ΤΟΡΙΟ	READINGS/ASSIGNMENT DUE
Week Beginning	Aug	22	 Introduction to SRST 450 Research and Research Topics Developing Research Topics 	Step 1: Decide on a Topic Step 2: Review the Literature
	Aug	29	 Using our Resources to conduct effective literature reviews Pulling together literature for your review 	Step 3 : Identify Theoretical Underpinnings
	Sept	5	 Research Plan and Ethics <i>Review and understand how to find peer-</i> <i>reviewed journal articles.</i> 	Step 10 : Address Ethical Responsibilities – Complete CITI Training Submit Research Topic for Approval – August 29th
	Sept	12	• Developing Effective Research Questions	Step 4 : Develop a Scope of StudyYellow Rubric Items Due –September 12th
	Sept	19	• Completing the Literature Review. Take this week to complete this assignment throughout the week. You should expect to write approximately 3-4 pages daily.	Step 5 : Explain Significance of Study
	Sept	26	 Purpose Statement and Rationale for the Study Hypotheses and Variables 	Purpose statement and Rationale for the study Green Rubric Items Due – October 3rd
	Oct	3	 Population Determination of Sample Size Sampling Procedure Sampling Bias 	Step 6 : Select a Sample Step 7 : Choose a Design MID-TERM EXAM – October 10th

Tentative Class Schedule:

DATE		Торіс	READINGS/ASSIGNMENT DUE
Oct	10	Reliability and Validity	Step 8 : Consider MeasurementPink Rubric Items Due –October 17th
Oct	17	Final Student Review of Pink Rubric Items – revise as necessary	Review and Revise
Oct	24	Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed DesignsDescriptive and Interview Protocols	Design and Instrumentation
Oct	31	• Descriptive and Inferential Statistics – Part I	Introduction to Step 9 : Specify Data Collection Methods
	51	• Descriptive and increman statistics – rater	Blue Rubric Items Due – October 31st
Nov	7	• Descriptive and Inferential Statistics – Part II	Step 14A : Analyze Quantitative Data
			Step 14B : Analyze Qualitative Data
Nov	14	• Thematic Coding – Trends and Themes	Step 15 : Create Visual Aids Orange Rubric Items Due – November 21st
Nov	21	 Instrumentation and Measurement Surveys and Interview Protocols Data Collection Writing and Reporting Results 	Step 16 : Write Report Step 17 : Deliver a Presentation
Nov	28	Wrap up	
Dec	5	Final Proposal Due	Monday, December 5th

Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary

Final Proposal Draft:

The intent of this assignment is to apply your conceptual, and practical understanding of your profession to asking questions and defining research problems. This assignment will help you develop an awareness of the research potential in your field of interest and will serve as a beginning for your final project or thesis that you will complete during future independent studies.

This proposal draft will include:

- 1. A **background for the study** section about the specific topic to be investigated (including the significance of the problem/issue to be investigated).
- 2. An **overview of the study** section including a specific purpose statement for the study. The first person to read this and email me that you have done so will get a gift card for a free pizza.
- 3. An integrated **review** of pertinent **literature** (*at least 10 current*, evidence-based/empirical and peer- reviewed research articles do not confuse these with articles from newspapers which are NOT empirical nor peer-reviewed) (**literature review section**).
- 4. A synthesis of literature section highlighting key points and themes illustrated throughout the review of the literature section.
- 5. A rationale for the study, which clearly articulates reasons why you believe the study should be conducted.
- 6. A clear and concise **statement of the problem** (and/or issue) that ties to the rationale for the study.
- 7. **Research Questions** (usually 3 or 4 in total).

- 8. Variables and Definitions (Independent and dependent variables should be accurately identified and clearly described for the reader).
- 9. <u>TWO</u> testable **hypotheses** (if quantitative study) regarding the outcome of your study.
- A complete definition of the target and accessible population from which the sample would be drawn. This definition should thoroughly describe the <u>size</u> of this population and <u>relevant characteristics</u> (age, ability, socioeconomic status, etc.). This is based on your PROPOSED study.
- 11. A description of how you will determine the **sample size**. Include a summary statement that indicates the sample size that will be selected and justification for this size. Be sure to identify your anticipated response rate and cite your sources!
- 12. An explanation of the **sampling** techniques by which you would select the sample and form it into groups (if appropriate). This technique should be described in detail, including justification of the technique selected. For example, if using "stratified sampling", do not just say that stratified sampling will be used; indicate on what basis (i.e., characteristic) the population will be stratified and how group members (and how many) will be selected. Please also identify any possible sources of sampling bias.
- 13. Identify and describe the **research design** to be used in this study (go back to your reading on "Research Designs"). Describe why the design was selected; potential threats to **internal validity and external validity** (e.g., subject characteristics, location, instrumentation, maturation, subject attitude, implementation, generalizability to a larger audience) and how you have designed the study to minimize the potential effects of these threats.
- 14. Briefly explain the sources for questions to be used in the survey (quantitative) or interview protocol (qualitative) and how you would validate and confirm the reliability of your instrument. In other words, if you plan to use one or more already existing scales or measures, describe each. Explain, as well, how you plan to check the validity and reliability of scores obtained with your instruments. In other words, if you plan to use one or more already existing scales or measures, describe each. Explain, as well, how you plan to use one or more already existing scales or measures, describe each. Explain, as well, how you plan to use one or more already existing scales or measures, describe each. Explain, as well, how you plan to check the validity and reliability of scores obtained with your instruments. If you plan to use an existing instrument, summarize what you have been able to learn about the validity and reliability of previous results.
- 15. Describe the setting in which the research will take place using all descriptive characteristics that are applicable.
- 16. Describe the procedural technique(s) by which you would collect the data for a complete study (e.g., structured face-to-face or telephone interviews; mail, fax, or email surveys; pre/post). The specific data collection technique(s) should be described in detail (when, where, how long, etc.). Indicate the exact procedures for how you will contact subjects and the advantages and disadvantages of your chosen method of collection. Justify why you selected the technique you did.
- 17. Develop a **data analysis strategy.** For a quantitative study, a discussion of descriptive and inferential statistics is appropriate. For a qualitative study, a discussion of coding techniques and application towards previously discussed theory is appropriate. If mixed methods, both will be required.
- 18. Develop an appropriate cover letter written to your theoretical participants that will reference the collection procedures you have determined. This letter <u>must include</u>, but is not limited to the following:
 - 1. Letterhead, date, name, and address, greeting, signature and title;
 - 2. What the study is about and why it is useful.
 - 3. Why the recipient is important and why they should participate.
 - 4. A promise of confidentiality or anonymity and an explanation of a numbering system if used; and,
 - 5. Assurance that the information will be used, incentives that will be given, if appropriate, and a thank you.
- 19. Develop a survey instrument or data collection form. It should be formatted in-line with the data collection method selected (in other words, an interview form should have appropriate guide questions, arrows, transitions). The form <u>must include</u>, but is not limited to:
 - 1. Introductory information,
 - 2. Directions,
 - 3. Thank you,
 - 4. Room for comments,
 - 5. Question variety, and
 - 6. Proper formatting of questions. Be creative BUT above all, rigorous and methodical.

[NOTE: The cover letter and instrument/protocol will each be attached in an appendix to your proposal. In the written text, you will need to refer to the appropriate Appendix (e.g., Appendix A and Appendix B].

Guidelines:

- All work in this course should be written in the third person using complete sentences.
- Use subheadings appropriate to the assignment (e.g., Introduction, Literature Review, Statement of the Problem, References, etc...) to serve as a guide for "piecing together" your final proposal and to help you be sure you have responded to all requirements of the assignment.
- At least five (5) of your references must be research articles appearing in <u>refereed journals</u> and these specific references must be highlighted in yellow or you will receive no credit. The additional five (5) references providing support for significance and definitions may come from other literature sources.
- Appropriately cite all sources following the current APA guidelines.
- Create an **APA-style cover page** with running headers throughout the document.
- Create an **APA-style references/works cited page.**

Grading:

- Overall, grading will be based on completeness of responses, clarity, and accuracy of written presentation. See rubric for details.
- Proposal drafts should be developed through the integration of material from your courses, readings, and practical experiences, and should demonstrate independent thought and attention to detail (e.g., grammar and spelling).

FINAL PROPOSAL ASSIGNMENT DUE: <u>*No later than Sunday, December 5th - midnight*</u>. Papers received AFTER that will be considered late and will receive a 20% reduction in points per 24-hour period after noon (Saturdays & Sundays are included).

Item	Unsatisfactory (1)	Minimal (2)	Compotent (3)	Outstanding (1)
Item	,		Competent (3)	Outstanding (4)
	Does Not Meet	Approaching	Meets	Exceeds
Introduction	0-15	16-20 points	21-25 points	26-30 points
	The author did not	The author provided some	The author provided	The author
Background for the	provide or provided very	general and/or cited	significant general	provided significant
Study and Overview of	limited general and/or	evidence to inform the	and/or cited evidence to	general and/or cited
the Study	cited evidence to inform	reader about the key	inform the reader about	evidence to inform
(30 points)	the reader about the key	issues involved in the	the key issues involved	the reader about the
	issues involved in the	proposed study but needs	in the proposed study.	key issues involved
	proposed study.	to expand on the key		in the proposed
		issues presented.		study
Integrated Review of	0-30 points	31-40 points	40-49 points	50-55 points
the Literature	Journal article	The review of the articles	The review of the	The review of the
(55 points)	summaries were listed	was integrated between	articles was adequately	articles was well
	rather than integrated as	articles but needs work.	integrated between	integrated between
	a cohesive discussion	Key findings from at least	articles. Key findings	articles. Key
	and/or less than ten	ten peer-reviewed	from at least ten peer-	findings from at
	peer-reviewed empirical	empirical studies were	reviewed empirical	least ten peer-
	studies were used in the	attempted but not	studies were adequately	reviewed empirical
	review of literature.	adequately organized and	organized and	studies were well
	Conceptual models	presented and could be	presented but could be	organized,
	and/or pertinent	improved.	improved.	presented, and
	theoretical frameworks	Conceptual models and/or	Conceptual models	discussed relative
	were not presented.	theoretical frameworks	and/or theoretical	to each other and
		were presented but could	frameworks were	the author's
		be improved.	presented but still need	proposed study.
			improvement.	Conceptual models

Rubric:

Synthesis of the Literature (10 points)	0-2 points Conclusions drawn about the convergent and divergent views illuminated throughout the literature review were not presented or were presented in a minimal and/or non- cohesive manner.	3-5 points Conclusions drawn about the convergent and divergent views illuminated throughout the literature review were discussed but were not presented in a cohesive manner.	6-8 points Conclusions drawn about the convergent and divergent views illuminated throughout the literature review were discussed and presented in a cohesive and manner, but further work is needed.	and/or theoretical frameworks were presented accurately and clearly. 9-10 points Conclusions drawn about the convergent and divergent views illuminated throughout the literature review were discussed and presented in a cohesive and manner.
Rationale for the Study (10 points)	0-2 points The author did not provide or provided very limited cited evidence of the importance and significance of the study.	3-5 points The author provided some cited evidence of the importance and significance of the study but could be improved.	6-8 points The author provided & cited evidence for the importance of conducting the proposed study but further improvement is needed.	9-10 points The author provided & cited evidence for the importance of conducting the proposed study.
Problem Statement (10 points)	0-2 points The problem statement is difficult to identify or not stated.	3-5 points The problem statement is adequately stated and supported by the background and overview sections but could be improved.	6-8 points The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and overview sections, but further improvement is needed.	9-10 points The problem statement is clearly stated and supported by the background and overview sections.

Research Questions	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
(10 points)	Research questions were	Research questions were	Research questions	Research questions
	difficult to understand or	included but were not were well articulated		were well
	were not presented.	directly related to the	and were directly	articulated and
		previous sections	related to the previous	were directly
		presented.	sections presented but	related to the
			need further	previous sections
			improvement.	presented.
Variables and	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
Definitions	Independent and	Independent and	Independent and	Independent and
(10 points)	dependent variables	dependent variables were	dependent variables	dependent
	were not accurately	accurately identified, but	were accurately	variables were
	defined, nor clearly	not clearly described. identified and described		accurately
	described.		for the reader, but	identified and
			further improvement is	clearly described
			needed.	for the reader.
Hypotheses	0-1 points	2-3 points	3-4 points	4-5 points
(5 points)	Two hypotheses were	Two hypotheses were	Two hypotheses were	Two hypotheses
	incorrectly stated (not	stated but need to be	correctly stated and	were correctly
	testable) or not provided.	refined to be easily	testable, and variables	stated and testable,
		testable.	were identified but need	and variables
			further improvement.	clearly identifiable
Population	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
(10 points)	0 or 1 demographic	2-3 demographic	At least 4 demographic	At least 4
	characteristics were used	characteristics were used	characteristics were	demographic
	to describe the	to describe the population	used to describe the	characteristics
	population from which	from which the student is	population from which	were used to

	the student is drawing	drawing the sample. The	the student is drawing	describe the
	the sample. The demographic characteristics chosen are not appropriate to the study.	demographic characteristics chosen are appropriate to the study.	the sample. The demographic characteristics chosen are appropriate to the study, but further improvement is needed.	population from which the student is drawing the sample. The demographic characteristics chosen are appropriate to the study.
Determination of	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
Sample Size (10 points)	2 or more of the following categories of information are <u>not</u> provided or are <u>not</u> correctly explained: The population size is clearly identified (or projected with evidence for the projection). The appropriate sample size is identified, and	1 of the following categories of information is <u>not</u> provided or is <u>not</u> correctly explained: The population size is clearly identified (or projected with evidence for the projection). The appropriate sample size is identified, and literature is cited as justification. The	The population size is identified (or projected with some evidence for the projection). The appropriate sample size is identified, and literature is cited as justification. The expected response rate is projected, and literature is cited as	The population size is clearly identified (or projected with evidence for the projection). The appropriate sample size is identified, and literature is cited as justification. The
	literature is cited as justification. The expected response rate is projected, and literature is cited as justification.	expected response rate is projected, and literature is cited as justification.	justification, but further improvement is needed.	expected response rate is projected, and literature is cited as justification.
Sampling Procedure	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
(10 points)	2 or more of the following categories of information is not provided or is <u>not</u> correctly explained: The specific COMBINATION of sampling techniques is explained. The choices of sampling technique are justified. IF the student chooses to sample for a specific characteristic(s), the characteristic(s) is clearly explained.	1 of the following categories of information is <u>not</u> provided or is not correctly explained: The specific COMBINATION of sampling techniques is explained. The choices of sampling technique are justified. IF the student chooses to sample for a specific characteristic(s), the characteristic(s) is still not clearly explained.	The specific COMBINATION of sampling techniques is explained, and the choices justified. IF the student chooses to sample for a specific characteristic(s), the characteristic(s) is explained but further improvement is needed.	The specific COMBINATION of sampling techniques is explained, and the choices justified. IF the student chooses to sample for a specific characteristic(s), the characteristic(s) is clearly explained.
Sampling Bias	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
(10 points)	0 or 1 possible sources of sampling bias are clearly identified, and/or the effects of the potential sampling biases are clearly explained.	Only 2 possible sources of sampling bias are clearly identified, and/or the effects of the potential sampling biases are not clearly explained.	3 or more possible sources of sampling bias are clearly identified. The effects of the potential sampling biases still need further improvement.	3 or more possible sources of sampling bias are clearly identified. The effects of the potential sampling biases are clearly explained.
Research Design and Instrumentation (including a discussion on the validity and reliability of the	0-9 points <i>Research Design</i> – Author clearly described less than 2 of the following:	10-19 points <i>Research Design</i> – Author clearly described 2 of the following: The research design Destional for proceeds	20-39 points <i>Research Design</i> – Author clearly described the following but further improvement is needed:	30-35 points <i>Research Design</i> Author clearly described the following: □ The
instrument and potential threats to	□ The research design	□ Rational for research design	The research designRational for research	research design Rational for

internal and external	□ Rational for research	\Box Threats and how s/he	design \Box Threats and	research design
			e	Ŭ
validity of the study)	design	will minimize threats to	how s/he will minimize	\Box Threats and
(35 points)	\Box Threats and how s/he	internal and external	threats to internal and	how s/he will
	will minimize threats to	validity	external validity.	minimize threats
	internal and external	Instrumentation –	Instrumentation -	to internal and
	validity	Author clearly described	Author clearly described	external validity.
	Instrumentation –	2-3 of the following:	the following:	Instrumentation
	Author clearly described	\Box At least 1 strategy for	\Box At least 1 strategy for	- Author clearly
	less than 2 of the	assessing the validity of	assessing the validity of	described:
	following:	his/her questionnaire	his/her questionnaire	□ Strategies for
	\Box At least 1 strategy for	items for measuring the	items for measuring the	assessing the
	assessing the validity of	proposed IVs and DVs	proposed IVs and DVs	validity of his/her
	his/her questionnaire	\Box At least 1 strategy for	\Box At least 1 strategy for	questionnaire
	items for measuring the	assessing the reliability of	assessing the reliability	items for
	proposed IVs and DVs	his/her questionnaire	of his/her questionnaire	measuring the
	\Box At least 1 strategy for	\Box At least 1 strategy for	\Box At least 1 strategy for	proposed IVs and
				DVs.
	assessing the reliability	assessing the validity of	assessing the validity of	
	of his/her questionnaire	the responses to	the responses to	\Box Strategies for
	\Box At least 1 strategy for	questionnaire items	questionnaire items	assessing the
	assessing the validity of			reliability of the
	the responses to			questionnaire.
	questionnaire items			\Box Strategy(ies)
				for assessing the
				responses to
				questionnaire
				items.

Research Setting	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
(10 points)	0 or 1 characteristics were used to describe the setting in which the student is conducting the study.	2 characteristics were used to describe the setting in which the student is conducting the study.	2 but not 3 characteristics were used to describe the setting in which the student is conducting the study.	At least 4 characteristics were used to describe the setting in which the student is conducting the study.
Procedures for Data Collection (10 points)	0-2 points Another researcher would have to ask the author to clarify 3 or more steps to collect data and come up with the projected sample.	3-5 points Another researcher would have to ask the author to clarify at least 2 steps to collect data and come up with the projected sample.	6-8 points Another researcher would have to ask the author to clarify at least 1 step to collect data and come up with the projected sample.	9-10 points Another researcher could easily and clearly follow the author's steps to collect data and come up with the projected sample.
Data Analysis: (10 points)	0-2 points Proposed tests described by author were not appropriate or were missing. Author did not provide descriptions of any additional tests designed to illustrate additional information for stakeholders.	3-5 points Author described proposed tests somewhat accurately. Author provided at least one additional proposed test designed to illustrate additional information for stakeholders.	6-8 points Author described proposed tests accurately, but further improvement is needed and at least one additional proposed test is needed.	9-10 points Author accurately described proposed tests. Author provided at least two additional proposed tests designed to illustrate additional information for stakeholders.
References (10 Points)	0-2 points Author cited fewer than 5 referred articles and	3-5 points Author accurately cited at least 4 refereed articles but less than the 5	6-8 points Author accurately cited at least 5 peer-reviewed	9-10 points Author accurately cited and highlighted in yellow - 5 or more

	less than 5 additional articles.	required and only 4 or fewer additional articles.	articles but still has only 4 or fewer additional articles.	<i>refereed articles</i> and five (5) additional articles.
Appendix A: Final	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
Instrument or	Questionnaire or	Questionnaire or protocol	Questionnaire or	Questionnaire or
Interview Protocol	protocol is breaking 3 or	is breaking 1-2 rules for	protocol is still	protocol follows all
(10 points)	more rules for design.	design.	breaking 1 rule for	rules for design.
Proper formatting,			design.	
questions follow rules,				
directions, thank-you				
Appendix B: Cover	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-8 points	9-10 points
Letter (10 points)	Author is missing 3 or	Author is missing 1-2 key	Author is still	Author provided all
Letterhead, date,	more key elements of the	elements of the cover	missing 1 key	items noted and wrote
name/address, greeting,	cover letter and/or wrote	letter and/or wrote the	element of the	letter in an engaging
signature, title, study	the letter in a way that	letter in a way that will	cover letter and/or	manner without overly
summary, why useful,	will likely influence	likely influence potential	still needs	influencing potential
why important to	potential responses.	responses.	improvement to	responses.
respondent,			avoid influencing	
confidentiality info, how			potential	
info to be used.			responses.	

Rubric for SRST 450 VIA Assessment

Item	1	2	3	4
	Does not meet	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
APA or AMA formatting including				
references, title page,				
headings/subheadings, tables, etc.				
Abstract				
Introduction				
Integrated Review of the Literature				
Problem Statement with Rationale				
Research Questions/ Hypotheses				
Variables with Definitions				
Populations and Sample Description				
Sampling Procedure and Bias				
Instrumentation Reliability/ Validity				
Procedures for Data				
Collection/Triangulation				
Data Analysis Plan				
Implications/Applications				
Quality and Quantity of References				
Data Collection Documents				
Language Control (e.g., tone and prose				
appropriate for research proposal)				
Language Mechanics (e.g., grammar,				
punctuation, paragraphing)				
Engaged in Revision Process				

