GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT Advanced Studies in Teaching and Learning Program

EDCI 622: Section 6H1 Curriculum Differentiation for Diverse Learners 3 credits, Fall 2019

Mondays, 4:15 – 7:30 pm, Kelly Leadership Center (off campus) *Aug.* 26, 2019 – *Dec.* 18, 2019

FACULTY

Melissa Edmonds, Ed.D. Office Hours: by appointment

Office Location: N/A

Office Phone: 571.589.4327 E-mail: medmonds@gmu.edu



PREREQUISITES

None; Registration restricted to teachers in Prince William County Schools

UNIVERSITY CATALOG COURSE DESCRIPTION

Develops personal and professional rationale for differentiating instruction in mixed-ability classrooms, as well as skills and knowledge of strategies to utilize pre-assessment data and plan for and implement differentiated instruction.

COURSE OVERVIEW

EDCI 622 is the second in a series of four courses required to obtain the Gifted Child Education licensure endorsement from the Commonwealth of Virginia. In addition to learning about varied ways to differentiate instruction for diverse populations of students, participants will also learn how to apply their knowledge of differentiation strategies to the design of a unit plan for their specific classroom context. By the end of the course, participants should be able to recognize and address the myriad academic and socioemotional needs of all students with consideration of the diversity and heterogeneity present in all classrooms.

COURSE DELIVERY METHOD

This course will be delivered in a lecture format. Course delivery will be through lecture, videos, structured collaborative reflective groups, discussion, critical reflective practice, and journals based on topics aligned with national standards and program/learner outcomes.

To access the Blackboard course site, go to the MyMason portal login page at http://mymason.gmu.edu/ and click "Mason BB Login." Your GMU email username (everything before @masonlive.gmu.edu) is also your MyMason Portal ID; your GMU email password is also your MyMason Portal password. After logging in, click on the COURSES tab at the top of the page to see your list of courses, then select EDCI 622.

LEARNER OUTCOMES/OBJECTIVES

By the completion of EDCI 622, participants in this course will be able to:

- A. Pre-assess students' readiness, interests, and learning profiles to plan instruction appropriate for diverse populations of learners (ASTL Learning Outcomes 2, 3, 6; NAGC-CEC Stand. 3.3, 4.4, 5.2; VA Endorsement Comp. 4.a, 5.d);
- B. Develop an understanding of differentiation and state a personal and professional rationale for implementing differentiation in a mixed-ability, diverse classroom (ASTL Learning Outcomes 1, 7; NAGC-CEC Stand. 5.1, 6.3, 6.4; VA Endorsement Comp. 5.a, 5.b, 5.c, 5.d, 5.e);
- C. Understand the principles of effective differentiation and how they can be applied to specific classroom elements using a variety of instructional strategies (ASTL Learning Outcomes 2, 5, 8; NAGC-CEC Stand. 3.4, 5.1; VA Endorsement Comp. 5.a, 5.b, 5.c, 5.d, 5.e);
- D. Apply knowledge of principles of differentiation, classroom elements, and differentiated instructional strategies to the design of lessons and unit plans for their specific classroom context (ASTL Learning Outcome 2; NAGC-CEC Stand. 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.2, 3.3, 4.4, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 6.1, 6.3; VA Endorsement Comp. 4.a, 4.b, 4.c, 5.a, 5.b, 5.c, 5.d, 5.e, 8);
- E. Implement a range of differentiated instructional strategies and gauge the appropriateness of their use with a diverse group of learners (ASTL Learning Outcomes 3, 4; NAGC-CEC Stand. 3.3, 5.3; VA Endorsement Comp. 4.a, 4.b, 4.c, 5.a, 5.b, 5.c, 5.d, 5.e, 8)

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

NAGC-CEC Teacher Preparation Standards (2013) Alignment

The content of EDCI 622, along with the content of the remaining courses in the Gifted Child Education endorsement sequence, aligns with the National Association for Gifted Children-Council for Exceptional Children (NAGC-CEC) Teacher Preparation Standards in Gifted and Talented Education (2013). Specifically, this course addresses the following standards:

- Standard 1: Learner Development & Individual Learning Differences
 - o 1.2 use understanding of development and individual differences to respond to the needs of individuals with gifts and talents
- Standard 2: Learning Environments
 - 2.1 create safe, inclusive, and culturally responsive learning environments so that individuals with gifts and talents become effective learners and develop social and emotional well-being
 - 2.2 use communication and motivational and instructional strategies to facilitate understanding of subject matter and to teach individuals with gifts and talents how to adapt to different environments and develop ethical leadership skills
 - 2.3 adjust their communication to an individual's language proficiency and cultural and linguistic differences
- Standard 3: Curricular Content Knowledge
 - 3.2 design appropriate learning and performance modifications for individuals with gifts and talents that enhance creativity, acceleration, depth and complexity in academic subject matter and specialized domains
 - 3.3 use assessments to select, adapt, and create materials to differentiate instructional strategies and general and specialized curricula to challenge individuals with gifts and talents
 - 3.4 understand that individuals with gifts and talents demonstrate a wide range of advanced knowledge and performance levels and modify the general or specialized curriculum appropriately

- Standard 4: Assessment.
 - 4.4 use assessment results to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives that take into consideration an individual's abilities and needs, the learning environment, and other factors related to diversity
- Standard 5: Instructional Planning & Strategies
 - 5.1 know principles of evidence-based, differentiated, and accelerated practices and possess a repertoire of instructional strategies to enhance the critical and creative thinking, problem-solving, and performance skills of individuals with gifts and talents
 - o 5.2 apply appropriate technologies to support instructional assessment, planning, and delivery for individuals with gifts and talents
 - 5.3 collaborate with families, professional colleagues, and other educators to select, adapt, and use evidence-based strategies that promote challenging learning opportunities in general and specialized curricula
 - 5.4 emphasize the development, practice, and transfer of advanced knowledge and skills across environments throughout the lifespan leading to creative, productive careers in a multicultural society for individuals with gifts and talents
 - 5.5 use instructional strategies that enhance the affective development of individuals with gifts and talents
- Standard 6: Professional Learning & Ethical Practice
 - 6.1 use professional ethical principles and specialized program standards to guide their practice
 - 6.3 model respect for diversity, understanding that it is an integral part of society's institutions and impacts learning of individuals with gifts and talents in the delivery of gifted education services
 - 6.4 are aware of their own professional learning needs, understanding the significance of lifelong learning, and participate in professional activities and learning communities
- Standard 7: Collaboration
 - o 7.1 apply elements of effective collaboration
 - o 7.2 serve as a collaborative resource to colleagues
 - 7.3 use collaboration to promote the well-being of individuals with gifts and talents across a wide range of settings, experiences, and collaborators

Virginia Department of Education: Gifted Education Endorsement (2018) Alignment The content of EDCI 622, along with the content of the remaining courses in the Gifted Child Education endorsement sequence, aligns with 8VAC20-543-320, the Virginia Department of Education's Gifted Education (add-on endorsement) competencies (2018). Specifically, this course addresses the following competencies:

• Competencies 4.a, 4.b, 4.c: Understanding and application of a variety of curricular and instructional models, methodologies, and strategies that ensure: a) the use of the Virginia Standards of Learning as a foundation to develop a high level of proficiency, academic rigor, and complexity for gifted learners in all curricular academic areas; b) the acquisition of knowledge and development of products that demonstrate creative and critical thinking as applied to student learning both in and out of the classroom, including inquiry-based instruction, questioning strategies, and problem-solving skills; and, c) the development of learning environments that guide students to become self-directed, reflective, independent learners

- Competencies 5.a, 5.b, 5.c, 5.d, 5.e: Understanding and application of theories and principles of appropriately differentiating curriculum specifically designed to accommodate the accelerated learning aptitudes of gifted students, including: a) accelerated and enrichment opportunities that recognize gifted students' needs for advanced content and pacing of instruction, original research or production, problem-finding and problem-solving, higher level thinking that leads to the generation of products, and a focus on issues, themes, and ideas integrated within and across disciplines; b) opportunities for students to explore, develop, and research their areas of interest, talent, or strength using varied modes of expression; c) emphasis on advanced and complex content that is paced and sequenced to respond to gifted students' persistent intellectual, artistic, or technical curiosity; exceptional problem-solving abilities; rapid acquisition and mastery of information; conceptual thinking processes; and imaginative expression across a broad range of disciplines; d) evaluation of student growth and learner outcomes through appropriate multiple criteria, including a variety of pre-assessments and post-assessments; and, e) use of current and advanced technologies to enhance student performance and academic growth
- Competency 8: Understanding of and proficiency in grammar, usage, and mechanics and their integration in all forms of communication

NBPTS & ASTL Alignment

The Gifted Child Education certificate and M.Ed. concentration are advanced work for practicing teachers. Through the ASTL program, this course also aligns with the NBPTS Propositions – the first five of which are also the first five ASTL learning outcomes. Specifically, this course addresses:

- Proposition 1: Teachers are committed to students and their learning. (ASTL Learning Outcome 1);
- Proposition 2: Teachers know the subjects they teach and how to teach those subjects to students. (ASTL Learning Outcome 2);
- Proposition 3: Teachers are responsible for managing and monitoring student learning. (ASTL Learning Outcome 3);
- Proposition 4: Teachers think systematically about their practice and learn from experience. (ASTL Learning Outcome 4); and
- Proposition 5: Teachers are members of learning communities. (ASTL Learning Outcome 5)

EDCI 622 is also aligned with the three additional outcomes of ASTL:

- Principle 6: Teachers account for the needs of culturally, linguistically, and cognitively diverse learners. (ASTL Learning Outcome 6);
- Principle 7: Teachers are change agents, teacher leaders, and partners with colleagues. (ASTL Learning Outcome 7); and
- Principle 8: Teachers use technology to facilitate student learning and their own professional development. (ASTL Learning Outcome 8)

REQUIRED TEXTS

- Sousa, D.A., & Tomlinson, C.A. (2018). *Differentiation and the brain: How neuroscience supports the neuro-friendly classroom* (2nd ed.). Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Other readings will be available on Blackboard.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES/PUBLICATIONS – at a student's discretion

- American Psychological Association (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Gifted Education Professional Organization Websites, such as the following:
 - o National Association for Gifted Children: www.nagc.org
 - o Supporting Emotional Needs of the Gifted: www.sengifted.org
 - o The Association for the Gifted: www.cectag.com
 - o World Council for Gifted and Talented Children: https://www.world-gifted.org/
- Gifted Education Research and Practitioner Journals, such as the following:
 - Gifted and Talented International is the international, refereed journal of the World Council for Gifted and Talented Children. Its purpose is to share current theory, research, and practice in gifted education with its audience of international educators, scholars, researchers, and parents. http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/ugti20/current
 - Gifted Child Today provides critical information for teachers and administrators involved with gifted children, including articles about topics such as teaching strategies in gifted education, building a more effective gifted and talented program, and working with gifted children with learning disabilities. https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/gifted-child-today/journal202067
 - O Gifted Child Quarterly is the premier scholarly journal of the National Association for Gifted Children (NAGC). GCQ publishes original scholarly reviews of literature; explorations of policy and policy implications, and quantitative or qualitative research studies that explore the characteristics of gifted students, program models, curriculum and other areas of importance in understanding and maximizing the development and education of gifted students. https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/gifted-child-quarterly/journal201850
 - O Gifted Education International is a peer-reviewed journal that provides support, information and guidance on 'gifted' education across all aspects of human abilities, with an emphasis on creativity, problem-solving and self-efficacy. GEI solicits articles from around the world to convey an informed awareness of the policies and practices of different countries. https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/journal/gifted-education-international

- High Ability Studies is a refereed journal concerned with aspects of development, personality, cognition, social behavior and cross-cultural issues in relation to high ability. Contents are not restricted to the study of manifest high-level achievement but include the identification and nurturance of unexercised potential. http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/chas20/current
- O The *Journal for the Education of the Gifted* is the official publication of The Association for the Gifted. It reports the latest research findings on topics such as: the characteristics of gifted children, evaluating effective schools for gifted children, gifted children with learning disabilities, the history of gifted education, and building successful gifted and talented programs. https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/journal-for-the-education-of-the-gifted/journal202068
- The *Journal of Advanced Academics* is a quarterly journal that focuses on research that supports and enhances advanced academic achievement for students of all ages. JAA publishes articles that feature strategies for increasing academic achievement, programs that promote high levels of academic achievement and engagement, and programs that prepare students to engage in high-level and rigorous academics. https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/journal-of-advanced-academics/journal202069
- Parenting for High Potential is an award-winning quarterly magazine for parents with advice, resources, and tools to help them successfully navigate through the joys and struggles of raising their gifted children. PHP is published by the National Association for Gifted Children in September, December, March, and June. http://www.nagc.org/parenting-high-potential-1
- Roeper Review is an international, quarterly, refereed journal publishing scholarly articles that pertain to practice, policy, applied research, and theory in all dimensions of gifted education. Diverse topics include: theories and philosophical analyses pertinent to giftedness, talent, and creativity; gender issues; curriculum studies; instructional strategies; educational psychology; elementary/early childhood/secondary education of the gifted; emotional, motivation, and affective dimensions of gifted individuals; differentiating instruction; teacher education; tests, measurement, and evaluation; and program development. http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/uror20/current
- Teaching for High Potential is a quarterly magazine filled with practical guidance and classroom-based materials for educators striving to understand and challenge their high potential learners. THP is published by the National Association for Gifted Children in August, November, February, and May. http://www.nagc.org/resources-publications/nagc-publications/teaching-high-potential

COURSE REQUIREMENTS AND ASSIGNMENTS

General Requirements

- A. Class attendance is both important and required. If, due to an emergency, you will not be in class, please contact your instructor as soon as possible. Note that learners with more than two absences risk a letter grade drop or can lose course credit.
- B. Please follow the assignment submission instructions listed in this syllabus. Only assignments submitted as indicated will be graded; incorrect submissions may result in a grade of zero for those assignments.
- C. All assignments are due no later than **11:59 PM EST** of the date indicated in the COURSE SCHEDULE section of this Syllabus. Due dates are also posted on the Blackboard course site.
 - a. Grades for assignments date-stamped in Blackboard after the due date will be reduced by 10% unless prior approval from instructor has been granted. Late submissions cannot be accepted after the course end date.
 - b. Assignments earning less than a passing grade may be rewritten and resubmitted so that the assignment is satisfactorily completed. In fact, because mastery learning is our program's goal, I may ask (or *require*) you to redo an assignment that is far below expectations.
- D. All assignments submitted should have the filename format as follows: Last name Assignment Title. *Please do not upload written assignments in PDF format*. Other editable formats are acceptable (i.e., .doc, .docx, .rtf, .ppt, .pptx, .xlsx,.xlsx). Supporting documents for assignments can be in PDF format.
- E. All written work should be carefully edited for standard grammar and punctuation, as well as clarity of thought. All submitted work should be prepared through word processing and reflect APA-style (6th edition), as well as be double-spaced, with 1" margins, and 12-point font (Times New Roman).

Assignments and Assessments

Detailed assignment rubrics are included at the end of the syllabus.

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy).

	Percent of	Outcomes	
Assignment	final grade	addressed	Due date
A. Differentiated Unit Implementation	40%	a, c, d, e	Drafts posted December 8
Case Study (PBA)			Final submissions December 16
B. Critical Reading Responses	20%	b, c, d	Response #1: October 20
	(10% per		Response #2: December 1
	response)		_

C. Differentiated Lessons	20%	a, d	Lesson #1: November 3
	(10% per lesson)		Lesson #2: November 24
D. Class Participation	20%	b, c, d	Weekly

A. Performance-Based Assessment: Differentiated Unit Implementation Case Study (40%)

This project will serve as the performance-based assessment (PBA) for this course. Each student will plan, implement, and reflect on a differentiated unit of study that utilizes strategies discussed in the course. This case study will consist of a detailed **unit plan** (see specific components of plan in the project description at the end of this syllabus) and a **reflection** on its current and future implementation.

A complete description of this project is included at the end of the syllabus, along with the rubric on which it will be assessed. This project will be worth 40% of your final grade.

B. Critical Reading Responses (20%)

Each student will write two critical reading responses (2-3 pp. each) addressing a prompt posted to the course Blackboard site. Responses should reflect the use of a critical lens to evaluate the topic and be supported with evidence from a broad representation of course readings and additional literature from the field of gifted education. Additionally, responses should include a reflection that discusses how these course readings have helped to advance your thinking and that of the field, as well as how they apply to your professional context within gifted education. Responses should be written in APA-style (6th ed.) format.

A detailed rubric on how these responses will be assessed is included at the end of the syllabus. Each response will be worth 10% (for a total of 20%) of your final grade.

C. Differentiated Lessons (20%)

Each student will design two differentiated lessons that show evidence of differentiation by content, process, product, affect and/or learning environment. The lessons should be part of the larger differentiated curriculum unit (Assignment A) being designed for the course. After submission, the student will receive feedback from the instructor that should lead to lesson revisions. These revised lessons will be turned in as part of the final differentiated curriculum unit implementation case study (PBA).

Lessons should include:

- a. Standard lesson components, such as hook/link, focus lesson, activity, reflection, and closure
- b. Clear learning objectives tied to grade level and/or content standards
- c. Placement of lesson within the broader sequence of lessons within the unit (Assignment A)
- d. Evidence that pre-assessment data, class demographics, and student readiness, interests, and learning profiles have been utilized in lesson design
- e. Use of at least one of the following in each lesson: differentiation of content, process, product, affect, and/or learning environment (the two lessons combined should show evidence of differentiation for at least two different instructional components; e.g., Lesson #1 might focus on differentiated content while Lesson #2 focuses on differentiated products) through a specific differentiated instructional strategy with a rationale for

selection of this component and strategy to address the needs of your students

f. Any materials/handouts used in conjunction with the lesson

A detailed rubric on how these lessons will be assessed is included at the end of the syllabus. Each lesson will be worth 10% (for a total of 20%) of your final grade.

D. Class Participation (20%)

EDCI 622 operates under the assumption that the most meaningful learning opportunities include those where learners can offer and explore diverse perspectives with peers. To do this, it is expected that you will regularly contribute to and engage in discussions, as well as to genuinely listen to peers as they do the same. While agreement is not mandatory, consideration and respect for others are. All students are expected to be prepared to actively engage in class activities.

Detailed guidelines for course engagement are included at the end of this syllabus. Course engagement will represent 20% of your final grade.

GRADING

Grading policies specific to this course follow the University-wide system for grading graduate courses, as follows:

Grade	Grading	Grade Points	Interpretation
A	94-100	4.00	Represents mastery of the subject through effort
A-	90-93	3.67	beyond basic requirements
B +	85-89	3.33	Reflects an understanding of and the ability to
В	80-84	3.00	apply theories and principles at a basic level
C*	70-79	2.00	Denotes an unacceptable level of understanding
F *	<69	0.00	and application of the basic elements of the course

Note: Final grades below a B do not count toward endorsement; "F" does not meet requirements of the Graduate School of Education

PROFESSIONAL DISPOSITIONS

Students are always expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions. See https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/policies-procedures/

CORE VALUES COMMITMENT

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to *collaboration*, *ethical leadership*, *innovation*, *research-based practices*, and *social justice*. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values.

GMU POLICIES AND RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS

Policies

a. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [see https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/]. Violations of the Honor Code

include:

- a. Copying a paper or part of a paper from another student (current or past);
- Reusing work that you have already submitted for another class (unless express permission has been granted by your current professor before you submit the work);
- c. Copying the words of an author from a textbook or any printed source (including the Internet) or closely paraphrasing without providing a citation to credit the author. For examples of what should be cited, please refer to: https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/589/02/
- d. You may also not "reuse" fieldwork hours. Each placement must have 20 documented hours that are solely for each course that you are in; you may be at the same site, but the same hours may not be counted towards the same course.
- b. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/]
- c. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see https://ds.gmu.edu/).
- Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- a. Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to https://coursessupport.gmu.edu/.
- b. For information on student support resources on campus, see https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/.

COURSE SCHEDULE EDCI 622: Fall 2019

Note: Faculty reserves the discretion to alter the schedule as necessary, with timely notification to students.

		Reading (prior to class meeting) and Assignments
Class 1: Differentiation as a Philosophy	Sept. 9	 Sousa & Tomlinson, Ch. 1: The nonnegotiable of effective differentiation (pp. 7-17). Gay, Ch 1: Challenges and perspectives (pp. 1-27) Due this week: Nothing
Class 2: Setting the Stage for Differentiation	Sept. 16	 Sousa & Tomlinson, Ch. 2: Mindset, learning environment, and differentiation (pp. 19-48). Gay, Ch. 3: The power of culturally responsive caring (pp. 57-88) Due this week: Classroom Setting Description (Blog post)
Class 3: Curriculum as a Framework: Designing Instruction for Gifted Students	Sept. 23	 Sousa & Tomlinson, Ch. 3: Curriculum and differentiation (pp. 49-66). Gay, Ch. 5: Ethnic and cultural diversity in curriculum content (pp. 142-201) Due this week: Selection of Standards & Learning Objectives for PBA (Blog post)
Class 4: Beginning with the End in Mind: Aligning Curriculum Assessment and Instruction	Sept. 30	 Sousa & Tomlinson, Ch. 4: Classroom assessment and differentiation (pp. 67-89). Where Am I Now? Self-Assessment & Goal-Setting (2009). In J. Chappuis, Seven strategies of assessment for learning (pp. 93-128). Boston, MA: Pearson. (Provided via Blackboard)

Class 5: Differentiating Instruction by Student Readiness	Oct. 7	 Sousa & Tomlinson, Ch. 5: Differentiating in response to student readiness (pp. 91-119). Due this week: Curriculum Map for PBA (Blog post)
Class 6: Cultural Congruence: A Responsive Approach to Teaching and Learning	Oct. 14	Gay, Ch. 6: Cultural congruity in teaching and learning (pp. 202-248) Due this week: Critical Reading Response #1
Class 7: Differentiating Instruction by Interest and Learning Profile	Oct. 21	• Sousa & Tomlinson, Ch. 6: Differentiating in response to student interest (pp. 121-147) and Ch 7: Differentiating in response to student learning profile (pp. 149-178). Due this week: Nothing ③
Class 8: Work Week	Oct. 28	No reading assigned Due this week: Lesson #1
Class 9: Creating a Shared Understanding: The Role of Language in the Classroom	Nov. 4	• Gay. Ch 4: Culture and communication in the classroom (pp. 58-141)
Class 10: Work Week	Nov. 11	No class – Veteran's Day
Class 11: Instructional Approaches in a Differentiated Classroom	Nov. 18	 Sousa & Tomlinson, Ch. 8: Managing a differentiated classroom (pp. 179-200). Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press. Due this week: Lesson #2

Class 12: Looking Ahead	Nov. 25	 Gay, Ch 8: Epilogue: Looking back and projecting forward (pp. 274-294) Due this week: Critical Reading Response #2
Class 13: Building Our Toolkit: Resources to Support Differentiation	Dec. 2	Selected chapter from Cash, R.A. (2017). Advancing differentiation: Thinking and learning for the 21st century (2nd ed.). Minneapolis, MN: Free Spirit Publishing. (Provided via Blackboard) Due this week: Post draft of your PBA Unit Plan for feedback from Critical Friends Group
Class 14:	Dec. 9	No reading assigned
Supporting Each Other: Feedback to Critical Friends Course Evaluations		Due this week: Provide feedback on PBA Unit Plan Drafts to your Critical Friends Group
Class 15:	Dec. 16	No reading assigned
Using Feedback to Revise your Work		Due this week: Submit final Differentiated Unit Implementation Case Study (PBA) to Tk20

Guidelines for the Performance-Based Assessment: Differentiated Unit Implementation Case Study

Each student will plan, implement, and reflect on a differentiated unit of study that utilizes strategies discussed in the course. The case study should include the following components:

I. A **unit plan** that includes:

- a. the learning goals and objectives for the unit and its alignment to grade level and/or content standards
- b. pre- and post-assessments and materials/handouts used
- c. a unit map outlining the lesson sequence
- d. two complete lessons revised after instructor feedback, from Assignment C
- e. detailed descriptions of additional unit lessons that includes, at minimum:
 - i. learning objectives and content standards addressed
 - ii. explanation of lesson component(s) differentiated and the strategy(ies) used to do this, as well as the rationale for their selection
 - iii. basic outline of lesson flow
 - iv. formative and/or summative assessments conducted to gauge student learning
- f. description of the classroom setting, including class demographics and student learning profiles/levels
- g. evidence of use of classroom setting (see "e" above) and pre-assessment data to direct unit and lesson design
- II. A **reflection** (5-6 pp.), including references to course readings and broader gifted education literature that answers the following questions:
 - a. What observations did you make as you designed and implemented this unit with your class? Be sure to include details and reference specific lessons, ways in which individual students responded, as well as intentional decisions you made in the planning process. How might this impact the way you would plan and implement this, and other, units in the future? (at least 3 pp.)
 - b. How has this course impacted the way you think about designing appropriate instruction for gifted and talented learners?
 - c. What questions do you still have about differentiating for the needs of gifted and talented learners?

This case study will be assessed based on the rubric included at the end of the syllabus, with the following criteria in mind:

- inclusion of all components (unit plan and reflection) listed above and the degree to which the outlined expectations for each have been addressed
- thoroughness, clarity, and degree to which unit plan addresses the needs of students in your specific classroom context
- evidence that the unit plan translates key principles of differentiation from current knowledge in the field to classroom practice
- thoughtfulness and depth of reflection response
- correct citation of references in the reflection, using APA-style (6th ed.)

Performance-Based Assessment Rubric:

Differentiated Unit Implementation Case Study

	Does Not Meet Standard (Little or no evidence)	Approaches Standard (Some evidence)	Meets Standard (Clear evidence)	Exceeds Standard (Clear, convincing, and substantial evidence)
	0	1	2	3
Unit Plan Components NAGC-CEC Stand. 1.2, 2.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 4.4, 5.2	 May not identify the learning goals and objectives for the unit and its alignment to grade level and/or content standards May not include unit map that outlines the lesson sequence May not include two lessons from Assignment C, and additional lessons with detailed lesson descriptions, including materials/ handouts May not include classroom setting description includes information on demographics (e.g., culturally and linguistically diverse, students from poverty, twice-exceptional, etc.) and information gathered on student readiness, interests, and learning profiles 	 Identifies the learning goals and objectives for the unit and its alignment to grade level and/or content standards Inclusion of unit map that outlines the lesson sequence Two lessons from Assignment C, and additional lessons with detailed lesson descriptions, including materials/ handouts Classroom setting description includes some information on demographics (e.g., culturally and linguistically diverse, students from poverty, twice-exceptional, etc.) and information gathered on student readiness, interests, and learning profiles 	 Identifies the learning goals and objectives for the unit and its alignment to grade level and/or content standards Inclusion of unit map that outlines the lesson sequence Two lessons from Assignment C, and additional lessons with detailed lesson descriptions, including materials/ handouts Classroom setting description includes information on demographics (e.g., culturally and linguistically diverse, students from poverty, twice-exceptional, etc.) and information gathered on student readiness, interests, and learning profiles Inclusion of pre- and post-assessments used in the unit 	 Explicitly identifies the learning goals and objectives for the unit and its alignment to grade level and/or content standards Inclusion of unit map that clearly outlines the lesson sequence Two lessons from Assignment C, and additional lessons with detailed lesson descriptions, including materials/ handouts Classroom setting description includes detailed information on demographics (e.g., culturally and linguistically diverse, students from poverty, twice-exceptional, etc.) and information gathered on student readiness, interests, and learning profiles Inclusion of pre- and post-assessments used in the unit Discussion of how the pre-assessment was used to inform instruction, and how the post-assessment will be used to inform future instruction
Reflection NAGC-CEC Stand. 6.1, 6.2, 6.4	 Does not include reflection, or includes a cursory reflection that may be bulleted APA-style (6th ed.) is not used 	 Includes reflection Does not address all 3 required questions, or may lack detail or thoughtful connections May or may not use course readings to support points/thoughts and/or generally follows APA-style (6th ed.) for headings, citations, and references, but with multiple and recurring errors 	 Includes reflection Addresses the 3 required questions thoroughly and thoughtfully Uses course readings to support points/thoughts Follows APA-style (6th ed.) for headings, citations, and references, with a few minor errors 	 Includes reflection Addresses the 3 required questions thoroughly and thoughtfully Uses course readings to support points/thoughts Follows APA-style (6th ed.) for headings, citations, and references with no errors

	Does Not Meet Standard (Little or no evidence)	Approaches Standard (Some evidence)	Meets Standard (Clear evidence)	Exceeds Standard (Clear, convincing, and substantial evidence)
Evidence of Differentiation NAGC-CEC Stand. 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 4.4, 5.1, 5.5	 Unit plan may not translate key principles of differentiation from current knowledge in the field to classroom practice No instructional components (content, process, product, affect, learning environment) have been addressed throughout unit No different instructional strategies (e.g., RAFT, tiered activity, anchor activity, etc.) have been used throughout the unit Selection of differentiated instructional components and strategies may not be tied to preassessment data, class demographics, and student readiness, interest, and learning profiles 	 Unit plan may or may not translate key principles of differentiation from current knowledge in the field to classroom practice One to two instructional components (content, process, product, affect, learning environment) have been addressed throughout unit One to two different instructional strategies (e.g., RAFT, tiered activity, anchor activity, etc.) have been used throughout the unit Selection of differentiated instructional components and strategies may or may not be tied to pre-assessment data, class demographics, and student readiness, interest, and learning profiles 	 Unit plan translates key principles of differentiation from current knowledge in the field to classroom practice Three to four instructional components (content, process, product, affect, learning environment) have been addressed throughout unit Three to four different instructional strategies (e.g., RAFT, tiered activity, anchor activity, etc.) have been used throughout the unit Selection of differentiated instructional components and strategies tied to pre-assessment data, class demographics, and student readiness, interest, and learning profiles 	 Unit plan clearly translates key principles of differentiation from current knowledge in the field to classroom practice All five instructional components (content, process, product, affect, learning environment) have been addressed throughout unit At least five different instructional strategies (e.g., RAFT, tiered activity, anchor activity, etc.) have been used throughout the unit Selection of differentiated instructional components and strategies explicitly tied to preassessment data, class demographics, and student readiness, interest, and learning profiles
Revised Lessons NAGC-CEC Stand. 5.3, 6.4, 7.3	• Little or no evidence of revision in the differentiated lessons from Assignment C	At least one of the differentiated lessons from Assignment C shows revisions	Both differentiated lessons from Assignment C clearly show revisions	Both complete, differentiated lessons from Assignment C clearly show effective revisions based on feedback and personal reflection
Supporting Materials/ Handouts NAGC-CEC Stand. 3.2, 3.3, 5.1, 5.2, 5.5	Additional lessons in unit include detailed descriptions, with less than two of the following: • learning objectives and content standards addressed • explanations for lesson component(s) differentiated, which instructional strategy was used, and why • outline of lesson flow • and formative and/or summative assessments used to gauge student understanding	Additional lessons in unit include detailed descriptions, with at least two of the following: • learning objectives and content standards addressed • explanations for lesson component(s) differentiated, which instructional strategy was used, and why • outline of lesson flow • and formative and/or summative assessments used to gauge student understanding	Additional lessons in unit include detailed descriptions, with at least three of the following: • learning objectives and content standards addressed • explanations for lesson component(s) differentiated, which instructional strategy was used, and why • outline of lesson flow • and formative and/or summative assessments used to gauge student understanding	Additional lessons in unit include detailed descriptions, with all of the following: • learning objectives and content standards addressed • explanations for lesson component(s) differentiated, which instructional strategy was used, and why • outline of lesson flow • and formative and/or summative assessments used to gauge student understanding

Critical Reading Response Rubric

	Does not meet Standard (Little or no evidence)	Approaches Standard (Some evidence)	Meets Standard (Clear evidence)	Exceeds Standard (Clear, convincing, and substantial evidence)
Discussion (4 points)	Response to the prompt identifies two or fewer principle points and/or relies heavily on connections to personal/ educational contexts, rather than course readings or gifted education literature. May not use critical lens to understand, evaluate, and/or reflect upon information presented through course content	Response to the prompt identifies two to three principle points and includes connections to: course readings or literature in gifted education and personal/educational contexts. Attempts to use critical lens to understand, evaluate, and reflect upon information presented through course content	Response to the prompt identifies three to four principle points and includes connections to: course readings or literature in gifted education and personal/educational contexts. Uses critical lens to understand, evaluate, and reflect upon information presented through course content	Response to the prompt identifies three to four principle points and includes connections to: course readings, literature in gifted education and personal/educational contexts. Uses critical lens to understand, evaluate, and reflect upon information presented through course content
Reflection on the Readings (3 points)	 May not discuss how these readings apply to your professional context in gifted education May not address how these course readings advance thinking and/or the field 	 May discuss how these readings apply to your professional context in gifted education Explains how these course readings have served to either advance your thinking or the field 	 Discusses how these readings apply to your professional context in gifted education Explains how these course readings have served to advance your thinking and the field 	 Elaborates on how these readings apply to your professional context in gifted education Explains how these course readings have served to advance your thinking and the field
Connections to Course Content & the Broader Literature (3 points)	 Includes a representation of fewer than two references from EDCI 622 readings, but no readings outside the scope of the course References selected are weakly connected to reflection prompt APA-style (6th ed.) used inconsistently or not at all 	 Includes a representation of two references from EDCI 622 readings, but no readings outside the scope of the course References selected are mostly connected to reflection prompt APA-style (6th ed.) used inconsistently throughout 	 Includes a representation of two references from EDCI 622 readings, as well as one reading outside the scope of the course References selected are connected to reflection prompt APA-style (6th ed.) used consistently throughout 	 Includes a representation of at least two references from EDCI 622 readings, as well as at least two readings outside the scope of the course References selected are meaningful and explicitly connected to reflection prompt APA-style (6th ed.) used consistently throughout

EDCI 622 Differentiated Lessons Rubric

	Does not meet Standard (Little or no evidence)	Approaches Standard (Some evidence)	Meets Standard (Clear evidence)	Exceeds Standard (Clear, convincing, and substantial evidence)
	0	1	2	3
Lesson Components (3 points)	 Organization of lesson may not include standard lesson components Alignment of learning objectives to grade level and/or content standards may not be included May not have a focused, connected, or logical flow May not include materials/ handouts used in lesson 	 Organization of lesson may or may not include standard lesson components Alignment of learning objectives to grade level and/or content standards may or may not be included May or may not have a focused, connected, or logical flow May or may not include materials/ handouts used in lesson 	 Organization of lesson with standard lesson components Alignment of learning objectives to grade level and/or content standards Focused, connected, and/or logical flow Inclusion of materials/ handouts used in lesson 	 Clear organization of lesson with standard lesson components Tight alignment of learning objectives to grade level and/or content standards Focused, connected, and logical flow Inclusion of materials/ handouts used in lesson
Classroom & Student Context Used in Lesson Design (3 points)	 Little or no evidence of preassessment data used to direct lesson design Class demographics (e.g., culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, poverty, twice-exceptionality), may not be addressed in lesson design Little or no use of readiness, interest, and learning profiles in lesson design 	Some evidence of pre- assessment data used to direct lesson design Class demographics (e.g., culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, poverty, twice-exceptionality), somewhat addressed in lesson design Limited use of readiness, interest, and learning profiles in lesson design	 Clear evidence of preassessment data used to direct lesson design Class demographics (e.g., culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, poverty, twice-exceptionality), addressed in lesson design Use of readiness, interest, and learning profiles in lesson design 	 Clear and convincing evidence of pre-assessment data used to direct lesson design Class demographics (e.g., culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, poverty, twice-exceptionality), explicitly addressed in lesson design Clear use of readiness, interest, and learning profiles in lesson design
Use of Differentiation Components & Strategies (4 points)	 May not focus on an instructional component for differentiation (content, process, product, affect, learning environment); that component varies from other submitted lesson May or may not utilize a differentiated instructional strategy (e.g., RAFT, tiered activity, etc.) 	 Focus on at least one instructional component for differentiation (content, process, product, affect, learning environment); that component varies from other submitted lesson May utilize a differentiated instructional strategy (e.g., RAFT, tiered activity, etc.) that may or may not support student learning 	Clear focus on at least one instructional component for differentiation (content, process, product, affect, learning environment); that component varies from other submitted lesson Utilizes a differentiated instructional strategy (e.g., RAFT, tiered activity, etc.) that supports student learning	Clear and substantial focus on at least one instructional component for differentiation (content, process, product, affect, learning environment); that component varies from other submitted lesson Clearly utilizes a differentiated instructional strategy (e.g., RAFT, tiered activity, etc.) that effectively supports student learning

Guidelines for Course Engagement

	Does not meet Standard (Little or no evidence)	Approaches Standard (Some evidence)	Meets Standard (Clear evidence)	Exceeds Standard (Clear, convincing, and substantial evidence)
Participation and Professionalism (20 pts)	The student is chronically late for class; absences are not documented by following the procedures outlined in the syllabus; The student is often unprepared for class; Student does not actively participate in discussions; The student's communications with professor and peers is usually unprofessional	The student may be late or miss class more than once; follows outlined procedures in case of absence; Student may be unprepared for class more than once; Student participates at least peripherally in group and class discussions; The student is somewhat engaged in all classes and sometimes or rarely pushes their own and their peers' thinking; Student may occasionally demonstrate unprofessionalism in communications with professor and peers	The student is engaged in all classes; is on time; is prepared; follows outlined procedures in case of absence; the student makes active contributions to the learning group and class; The student may usually, but not always, critically consider content and/or pose questions; demonstrates professionalism in all communications with professor and peers	The student is engaged in all classes- is on time to every class; is prepared for every class; follows outlined procedures in case of absence; The student actively participates in small and/or whole group class meetings by meaningfully contributing to each class session; Student critically considers class content and poses questions to push their own thinking and that of their peers; demonstrates professionalism in all communications with professor and peers