



**College of Education and Human Development
Division of Special Education and disAbility Research**

Fall 2018

502 001: Classroom Management and Applied Behavior Analysis
CRN: 71271, 3 – Credits

Instructor: Dr. Sheila Huckestein	Meeting Dates: 8/27/2018 – 12/19/2018
Phone: 571-22-4870	Meeting Day(s): Tuesday
E-Mail: shuckest@gmail.com	Meeting Time(s): 4:30 pm – 7:10 pm
Office Hours: By appointment	Meeting Location: Fairfax, KH17
Office Location: Fairfax, KH17	Other Phone: N/A

*Note: This syllabus may change according to class needs. Teacher Candidates/Students will be advised of any changes immediately through George Mason e-mail and/or through Blackboard.

Prerequisite(s): None

Co-requisite(s): None

Course Description

Focuses on identifying, recording, evaluating, and changing social and academic behaviors of special and diverse populations. Explores theories of classroom management and various approaches to management including use of technological advances. Emphasizes developing classroom and individual behavior management plans. Note: Field experience required. Offered by Graduate School of Education. May not be repeated for credit.

Advising Contact Information

Please make sure that you are being advised on a regular basis as to your status and progress through your program. Mason M.Ed. and Certificate teacher candidates/students should contact the Special Education Advising Office at (703) 993-3670 for assistance. All other teacher candidates/students should refer to their faculty advisor.

Advising Tip

Do you need to take Praxis Core and/or VCLA? For students pursuing a special education teacher licensure program, the Praxis Core or a VDOE-approved substitute test should be submitted to Mason as soon as possible. Tests should be taken as early as possible since most scores must be on file when students apply for internship. Check your program plan or talk with your advisor if you are unsure what tests you need or when you will need to take them.

Course Delivery Method

Learning activities include the following:

1. Class lecture and discussion
2. Application activities
3. Small group activities and discussions
4. Discussions online
5. Research and presentation activities
6. Electronic supplements and activities via Blackboard

Learner Outcomes

Upon completion of this course, teacher candidates/students will be able to:

1. Define behavior change terminology and principles of applied behavior analysis.
2. Given characteristics and behaviors of students with disabilities, identify ways to acquire indirect and direct assessments to identify corresponding antecedents and consequences of the behavior/s as well as the behavior's function (i.e., Functional Behavior Assessment).
3. Based on information from a Functional Behavior Assessment, design a comprehensive behavior change program, and describe how school teams collaborate for the functional behavior assessment and behavior change program.
4. Design behavior management techniques for making positive changes in students' academic, social, and/or affective behavior, including ethical use of a continuum of techniques, starting with proactive, preventative, and least intrusive techniques.
5. Describe how to determine reinforcers and use varied schedules of reinforcement responsive to the needs of individual students.
6. Write accurate behavioral objectives for a wide range of behaviors that include all components: learner, conditions, observable behavior, and degree/criteria statements.
7. Describe data collection procedures that match observable behaviors and how to use data to make decisions about the effectiveness of interventions.
8. Describe how to develop and use single subject research designs.
9. Explain when and how to use maintenance and generalization techniques.
10. Design learning environments that support and enhance instruction.
11. Describe how to create a safe, positive, supportive environment which values diversity.
12. Demonstrate knowledge of modifying the learning environment (e.g., schedule, physical arrangement, routines) to prevent and manage inappropriate behaviors.
13. Describe strategies for promoting self-management.
14. Describe components of the SchoolWide Positive Behavior Intervention Support (SW-PBIS) model.
15. Given a school's discipline model, identify what distinguishes that model from the SW-PBIS model, and provide recommendations to align the school's model with SW-PBIS.
16. Describe parsimonious and comprehensive classroom management methods.
17. Describe how to identify and teach social skills needed for educational and other environments.
18. Describe ethical considerations when selecting behavior management methods, including a rationale for selecting positive reinforcement procedures over other procedures, conditions under which punishment-based procedures would be appropriate, and teacher attitudes and behaviors which can positively or negatively influence student behavior.

19. Identify and describe the crisis cycle and methods for crisis prevention.
20. Identify crisis intervention training programs (i.e., leads to certification as crisis management specialist).

Course Relationship to Program Goals and Professional Organizations

This course is part of the George Mason University, Graduate School of Education (GSE), Special Education Program for teacher licensure in the Commonwealth of Virginia in the special education areas of Special Education: Students with Disabilities who Access the General Curriculum K-12. This program complies with the standards for teacher licensure established by the Council for Exceptional Children (CEC), the major special education professional organization, as well as those established by the Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support consortium (InTASC). The standards addressed in this class include CEC Standard 1: Learner development and individual learning differences (InTASC 1,2); CEC Standard 2: Learning environments (InTASC 3); CEC Standard 4: Assessment (InTASC 6); CEC Standard 5: Instructional planning and strategies (InTASC 7,8); CEC Standard 6: Professional learning and ethical practice (InTASC 9) & CEC Standard 7: Collaboration (InTASC 10).

Evidence-Based Practices

This course will incorporate the evidence-based practices (EBPs) relevant to developing safe, effective learning environments, positive behavioral interventions and supports, and functional behavioral assessments/behavioral plans. Evidence for the selected research-based practices is informed by meta-analysis, literature reviews/synthesis, the technical assistance networks which provide web-based resources, and the national organizations whose mission is to support students with disabilities. We address both promising and emerging practices in the field of special education. This course will provide opportunities for teacher/candidates/students to take an active, decision-making role to thoughtfully select, modify, apply, and evaluate EBPs in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities.

Required Textbooks

Alberto, P. A., & Troutman, A. C. (2013). *Applied behavior analysis for teachers* (9th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.

Scheuermann, B. K., & Hall, J. A. (2016). *Positive behavioral supports for the classroom* (3rd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.

Recommended Textbooks

American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Additional Readings

Additional readings will be provided by the instructor via Blackboard.

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy).

Tk20 Performance-Based Assessment Submission Requirement

It is critical for the special education program to collect data on how our students are meeting accreditation standards. Every teacher candidate/student registered for an EDSE course with a required Performance-based Assessment (PBA) is required to upload the PBA to Tk20 (regardless of whether a course is an elective, a one-time course or part of an undergraduate minor). A PBA is a specific assignment, presentation, or project that best demonstrates one or more CEC, InTASC or other standard connected to the course. A PBA is evaluated in two ways. The first is for a grade, based on the instructor's grading rubric. The second is for program accreditation purposes. Your instructor will provide directions as to how to upload the PBA to Tk20.

For EDSE 502, the required PBA is Functional Behavior Assessment and Behavior Intervention Plan. Failure to submit the assignment to Tk20 will result in reporting the course grade as Incomplete (IN). Teacher candidates/students have until five days prior to the University-stated grade change deadline to upload the required PBA in order to change the course grade. When the PBA is uploaded, the teacher candidate/student is required to notify the instructor so that the "IN" can be changed to a grade. If the required PBA is not uploaded five days prior to the University-stated grade change deadline and, therefore, the grade not changed, it will become an F. Please check to verify your ability to upload items to Tk20 before the PBA due date.

Assignments and/or Examinations

Performance-based Assessment (Tk20 submission required)

Functional Behavior Assessment Plan and Intervention Plan

College Wide Common Assessment (TK20 submission required)

None

Performance-based Common Assignments (No Tk20 submission required)

Classroom Management Plan: Students will develop a comprehensive classroom management plan that includes preventative and instructional strategies to support the academic and behavioral needs of a diverse classroom. The final product will be a 3-5-page document (not including title, references, or figures), double spaced, with 12-point Times New Roman font. A rubric will be provided outlining criteria.

Field Experience Requirement

A field experience is a part of this course. A field experience includes a variety of early and ongoing field-based opportunities in which candidates may observe, assist, and/or tutor. Field experiences may occur in off-campus settings, such as schools (CAEP, 2016). Below are REQUIRED PROCEDURES FOR ALL STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS COURSE.

Complete the online EDSE Field Experience form. This online form will be sent

to your GMU email from EDSEfld@gmu.edu on the first day of the semester. Click on the link and complete the form as soon as possible. ALL students should complete the form, regardless of whether you need assistance in locating a field experience placement or not. This information is required by the state. Please direct any questions about the form to Dr. Kristen O'Brien at EDSEfld@gmu.edu.

If you are arranging your own field experience because you are a full-time contracted school system employee and will complete the field experience at your worksite, you will be asked to specify the school at which you will be completing the field experience.

If you request a field experience placement to be arranged, you will receive information via your GMU email account about your assigned internship placement from the Clinical Practice Specialist in the College's Educator Preparation Office (EPO). Check your GMU email regularly for important information regarding your field experience. Follow all instructions for the necessary Human Resource (HR) paperwork required to access the assigned field experience placement.

2. View the EDSE Field Experience Introduction presentation. On the first week of classes and prior to representing George Mason in off-campus settings, your instructor will show a video presentation or provide a link to the presentation, which includes important information about the registration process for EDSE field experiences and tips for a successful field experience. After the presentation, sign the document provided by your instructor to indicate that you have watched the presentation and are aware of the EDSE field experience professionalism expectations.

3. Document your field experience hours. Your instructor will provide you with access to field experience documentation forms to use. There are two different field experience documentation forms – one for those completing field experience at their worksite and one for those completing field experiences in other classroom settings (e.g., GMU arranged a placement for you). Use the form that is most appropriate for your field experience placement. Your instructor will provide more directions on how to use and submit the documentation form.

4. Complete the field experience end-of-semester survey. Towards the end of the semester, you will receive an email from EDSEfld@gmu.edu with a link to an online survey. This brief survey asks you to report about important features of your field experience placement.

Other Assignments

Discussion Guides (DGs): Students will complete DGs as they read assigned material. DGs are 2 points each and there are a total of 8 DGs. DGs are meant to serve as a basis for organizing information read and to facilitate in-class discussion. All DGs will follow the same format. This format will be posted on

Blackboard. DGs should be electronically submitted via Blackboard before class for points, and they should be used during class to help students organize information, pose questions, and discuss topics with the rest of the class. Revised versions of discussion guides (as you edit and add to them during class for your own benefit) are not submitted for points.

Course Policies and Expectations

Attendance/Participation

Class Attendance and Participation: Students will have the opportunity to earn 1 point for each in-class session they attend. To earn full points, students must be present for the entire class session (arriving no more than 5 min late and leaving no more than 5 min early) and participate by engaging in class discussions, completing in-class activities, etc.

Late Work

All assignments are due at the beginning of class, unless otherwise noted. Students are to upload assignments to Blackboard *before* class. Rubrics for assignments and activities will be posted on Blackboard.

Grading Scale

95-100% = A

90-94% = A-

87-89% = B+

84-86% = B

80-83% = B-

70-79% = C

<70% = F

*Note: The George Mason University Honor Code will be strictly enforced. Students are responsible for reading and understanding the Code. “To promote a stronger sense of mutual responsibility, respect, trust, and fairness among all members of the George Mason University community and with the desire for greater academic and personal achievement, we, the student members of the university community, have set forth this honor code: Student members of the George Mason University community pledge not to cheat, plagiarize, steal, or lie in matters related to academic work.” Work submitted must be your own or with proper citations (see <https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/>).

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times. See <https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/>. In the College of Education and Human Development, dispositions are formally and separately evaluated in at least three points in each student's program – a self-evaluation at the start of their program, an instructor's evaluation in the middle of their program, and a university supervisor's evaluation during internship. When dispositions are assessed, it is important that for areas where a positive disposition is 'occasionally evident' or 'rarely evident,' the student takes steps to grow as an educator. See <https://cehd.gmu.edu/epo/candidate-dispositions>. In special education licensure programs, the mid-point evaluation is completed by instructors in EDSE 628, EDSE 661, and EDSE 616, and the internship evaluation is completed by instructors in EDSE 783, EDSE 784, and EDSE 785.

Class Schedule

*Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

Week/Date	Topics Covered	Readings Covered	Assignments Due
#1 August 28	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Introductions - Course overview and syllabus - Traditional approaches to behavior - Laws - Roots of Applied Behavior Analysis - 	S&H: Chapters 1 & 2 OR A & T: Chapters 1 & 2	Complete a Discussion Guide (DG) on the set of chapters you selected to read – throughout the semester, complete a DG for each set of readings <i>NOTE: Skill Presentations occur throughout the semester</i>
#2 September 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Laws - Level of Supports and Interventions - Rules and procedures 	S & H: Chapters 3 & 4 + DG	
#3 September 11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Preventing Challenging Behaviors - Classroom Management Strategies - Evidence-based practices 	S & H: Chapters 5 & 6 + DG	
#4 September 18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Social Skills Instruction (article) - Crisis Skills Instruction (article) - Comparison of School Discipline/Behavior plans 	To Bring: Your School's handbook/behavior plan	School Discipline/Behavior Plans
#5 September 25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Data collection techniques - How do we measure behaviors to document progress (or not)? 	S & H: Chapter 7 A&T: Chapters 3 & 4 + DG	
#6 October 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review, synthesize...making it all work! 		- Classroom management plan due
October 9	NO CLASS		
#7 October 16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Figuring out a student's behavior through <i>Functional Analysis</i> 	S&H: Chapter 8 A & T: Chapters 7 & 10 + DG	- ST #1

#8 October 23	- Graphing Data	A&T: Chapters 5 & 6 + DG	- EBP #1
#9 October 30	- Connective all content for an FBA and moving towards a BIP	Bring DRAFT of an FBA	- ST #2 - EBP #2
#10 November 6	How content connects.		FBA due
#11 November 13	Developing the responsive Behavior Intervention Plan <i>Given results learned from the FBA, describe all elements of a comprehensive BIP.</i>	A&T: Chapters 8 & 9 (content from previous sessions also applicable) + DG	- EBP #3
November 20	NO CLASS		
#12 November 27	Ensuring a cohesive BIP ... Peer review of BIP draft	Bring BIP draft for peer review	
#13 December 4	- Rotating presentations	A & T: Chapters 11 & 12 + DG	BIP due
#14 December 11	- Course questions and review - Rotating presentations - Reflection and Goal Setting - Course Evaluations		- FBA/BIP - FBA/BIP presentation

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/>

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see <https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/>).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/>).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All

communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.

- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see <http://ods.gmu.edu/>).
- Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or <https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/>.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see <https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus>

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/>.

Appendix

Assessment Rubric(s)

	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
	1	2	3
FUNCTIONAL BEHAVIOR ASSESSMENT			
<p>Student Description</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 1 ISCI 1 K11</i></p> <p>Candidate understands how exceptionalities may interact with development and learning and uses this knowledge to provide meaningful and challenging learning experiences.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides partial demographic and background information, giving only a limited view of the context of student behavior. • Candidate has limited discussion of educational impact. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate discusses the demographic and background information related to the target student inclusive of the educational impact of student's mild to moderate exceptional condition, attitude, interests, values, and behavior issues. <p><i>ISCI 1 K11</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate discusses the demographic and background information related to the target student inclusive of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ the educational impact of student's mild to moderate exceptional condition, attitude, interests, values, and behavior issues, and ○ the effect these conditions can have on the student's life and learning. • Candidate provides an in-depth profile of the target student.

<p>Overview of Setting Context</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 2</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 2 ISCI 2 K1</i></p> <p>Candidate creates safe, inclusive, culturally responsive learning environments so that individuals with exceptionalities become active and effective learners.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides a description of the classroom in which the target behavior occurs but does not examine the impact of the learning environment on behavior management. • Candidate evaluates the classroom learning environment context with details missing in terms of how well the teacher has created a safe, equitable, positive learning environment in which diversity is valued. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate describes the classroom in which the target behavior occurs and examines the impact of the learning environment on behavior management. <i>CEC/IGC Standard 2 ISCI 2 K1</i> • Candidate evaluates the classroom learning environment context (e.g., physical layout of the classroom, design and management of daily routines, schedule and classroom rules, and demands of the learning environment) in terms of how well the teacher has created a safe, equitable, positive learning environment in which diversity is valued. <i>CEC/IGC Standard 2 ISCI 2 S1</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate describes the classroom in which the target behavior occurs and examines the impact of the learning environment on behavior management for the target student, peers, and adults. • Candidate evaluates the classroom learning environment context (e.g., physical layout of the classroom, design and management of daily routines, schedule and classroom rules, and demands of the learning environment) in terms of how well the teacher has created a safe, equitable, positive learning environment in which diversity is valued. • Candidate analyzes the classroom learning environment context in relation to basic classroom management theories and strategies for learners with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs.
<p>Indirect Assessment of Behavior (Interview)</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standards 2 & 4</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 2 ISCI S 4 S 4</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 2 ISCI 2 S6</i></p> <p>Candidate creates safe, inclusive, culturally responsive learning environments so that individuals with exceptionalities become active and effective learners and develop emotional well-being, positive social interactions, and self-determination. Candidate uses multiple methods of assessment and data sources in making educational decisions.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate does not sufficiently collaborate with and interviews family and/or professionals who have knowledge of the learner. • The candidate's interview data does not contribute to an understanding of the behavior. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate collaborates with and interviews family and/or professionals who have knowledge of the learner. • Explore development and/or modifications of individualized indirect assessment strategies. <i>CEC/IGC ISCI 4 S 4</i> • Based on the interview, the candidate collects data on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o context of the behavior (setting events, antecedents, consequences), and o realistic expectations of the family and/or professionals. • Candidate identified ways to collect data on cultural influences that could contribute to an understanding of the behavior (as applicable). • Family and/or professional's input and concerns are documented. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate collaborates with and interviews family and/or professionals who have knowledge of the learner. Based on the interview, the candidate collects data on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o context of the behavior (setting events, antecedents, consequences), o learner reinforcement preferences, and o realistic expectations of the family and professionals. • Candidate also collects data on the behavior. • Candidate collects data any cultural influences that could contribute to an understanding of the behavior (as applicable). • Family input and/or professional's input and concerns are documented. • The interview data consistently support the direct assessment of the learner behavior.
<p>Direct Assessment of Behavior</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate assesses the behavior of the learner using ONLY anecdotal recording. • The candidate did not adapt or modify assessment procedures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate implements procedures for assessing and reporting both appropriate and problematic social behaviors of individuals with 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate implements evidence-based procedures for assessing and reporting both appropriate and problematic social behavior of the learner

<p><i>CEC/IGC Standards 2 & 4</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 4 ISCI 4 S 4</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 2 ISCI 2 S6</i></p> <p>Candidate creates safe, inclusive, culturally responsive learning environments so that individuals with exceptionalities become active and effective learners and develop emotional well-being, positive social interactions, and self-determination. Candidate uses multiple methods of assessment and data sources in making educational decisions.</p>	<p>based on the unique abilities and needs of the learner with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs.</p>	<p>exceptionalities through anecdotal recording and ABC data collection.</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 2 ISCI 2 S6</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidates describes the rationale for the development and/or modifications of individualized direct assessment strategies to assess the learners' behavior <p><i>CEC/IGC ISCI 4 S 4</i></p>	<p>with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs through anecdotal recording AND two other forms, including ABC Data collection, scatterplots, or other forms discussed in class.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The candidate includes additional direct data collection methods to further inform about the behavior and the effects of the exceptional learning needs.
<p>Operational Definition of Problem Behavior</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 4</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 4 IGC4 S1</i></p> <p>Candidate uses multiple methods of assessment and data sources in making educational decisions.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate identifies the problem behavior but provides an operational definition that does not include either conditions, problem behavior, or criterion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate identifies and provides a clear operational definition of the problem behavior, including conditions, problem behavior, and criterion with consideration of the student's exceptionality. <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 4 IGC4 S1</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate identifies and provides a clear operational definition of the problem behavior, including conditions, problem behavior, and criterion with consideration of the student's exceptionality. • Candidate provides specific examples of the problem behavior related directly to the target behavior.
<p>Hypothesized Function of Behavior</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 2</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 2, ISCI 2 K 4</i></p> <p>Candidate creates safe, inclusive, culturally responsive</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides a hypothesis for the function and purpose of the problem behavior that is incorrect or is not substantiated by data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides an accurate function and purpose of the problem behavior. • Candidate provides evidence of having examined at least one of the following in establishing the function and purpose of the behavior: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ the impact of the learners' academic and social abilities, attitudes, interests, and values on instruction, ○ the demands of the learning environment, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides an accurate function and purpose of the problem behavior. • Candidate provides evidence through examples of having examined multiple areas from below in establishing the function and purpose of the behavior: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ the impact of the learners' academic and social abilities, attitudes, interests, and values on instruction, ○ the demands of the

<p>learning environments so that individuals with exceptionalities become active and effective learners and develop emotional well-being, positive social interactions, and self-determination.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o levels of active engagement, o ways specific cultures are negatively stereotyped, o teacher attitudes and behaviors that influence behavior of individuals with exceptionalities. <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 2, ISCI 2 K 4</i></p>	<p>learning environment, levels of active engagement,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o ways specific cultures are negatively stereotyped, o teacher attitudes and behaviors that influence behavior of individuals with exceptional learning needs, and cultural variation.
---	--	--	---

	Does Not Meet Expectations 1	Meets Expectations 2	Exceeds Expectations 3
BEHAVIOR INTERVENTION PLAN			
Expected Outcome or Target Goal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides an incomplete or unclear statement of the desired replacement or alternative behavior. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides a statement of the desired replacement or alternative behavior. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides a clear statement of the desired replacement or alternative behavior which demonstrates an explicit consideration given to the educational implications of characteristics of various exceptionalities and the impact of the learners' academic and social abilities, attitudes, interests, and values on instruction and career development.
<p>Reinforcer and Activity Preference Assessment</p> <p><i>CEC Standard 5</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 5 IGC5 S22</i></p> <p>Candidate selects, adapts, and uses a repertoire of evidence-based instructional strategies to advance learning of individuals with exceptionalities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides an incomplete reinforcer and activity preference assessment that does not take the individual learner's needs or the classroom context into consideration. • Candidate provides a description that only partially integrates the reinforcers and preferences into the intervention program. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate indicates how the following variables were assessed to determine the reinforcement and activity preferences of the learner: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o learner's chronological age (CA), o school rules as applicable, o peer/friend practices, o parent/teacher/friend's opinions, and/or o medical/physical needs (e.g., offering diet beverages and healthy snacks to students who have weight concerns or who have diabetes). • Candidate describes a complete reinforcement and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate indicates how the following variables were assessed to determine the reinforcement and activity preferences of the learner: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o learner's chronological age (CA), o school rules as applicable, o peer/friend practices, o parent/teacher/friend's opinions, and/or o medical/physical needs (e.g., offering diet beverages and healthy snacks to students who have weight concerns or who have diabetes). • Candidate provides evidence of use of class lecture and readings in determining the reinforcement and activity preferences of the learner. • Candidate describes a complete reinforcement and activity preference plan that will be integrated into the intervention plan for the learner with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs.

		<p>activity preference plan that will be integrated into the intervention plan for the learner with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 5 IGC5 S22</i></p>	
<p>Intervention Plan</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 5</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 5 ISCI 5 S3</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 5 ISCI 5 K2</i></p> <p><i>CEC Standard 5 IGC5 S 9</i></p> <p>Candidate selects, adapts, and uses a repertoire of evidence-based instructional strategies to advance learning of individuals with exceptionalities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate designs a behavior intervention plan that lacks evidence-based interventions, reinforcers, and reinforcement schedules that are in alignment with the needs of the learner with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate uses results from the functional assessment to design a comprehensive behavior intervention plan including a measurable behavioral objective. The candidate describes core non-aversive behavior support methods relating to problem behavior prevention and intervention. <i>CEC Standard 5 IGC5 S 9</i> • Specifically, the candidate selects and provides a rationale for the evidence-based intervention strategies that are least intrusive to teach appropriate replacement behaviors for the learner with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs. • The candidate provides the steps for teaching appropriate replacement behaviors. • The candidate designs a schedule of reinforcement that is in alignment with learner needs. • The intervention plan is clear and specific enough that a substitute teacher could implement the intervention based 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate designs a comprehensive behavior intervention plan including a measurable behavioral objective. The candidate describes core non-aversive behavior support methods relating to problem behavior prevention and intervention. • Specifically, the candidate selects and provides a rationale for the evidence-based intervention strategies that are least intrusive to teach appropriate replacement behaviors for the learner with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs. • The candidate provides the steps for teaching appropriate replacement behaviors. • The candidate designs a schedule of reinforcement that is in alignment with learner needs. • The intervention plan is clear and specific enough that a substitute teacher could implement the intervention based on the description. • The candidate uses technology to design and /or support their intervention plan.

		on the description.	
<p>Evaluation and Impact of Intervention Plan</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 5</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC ISCI 5 S 11</i></p> <p>Candidate selects, adapts, and uses a repertoire of evidence-based instructional strategies to advance learning of individuals with exceptionalities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate includes the data collection chart and the reason for their choice, but does not provide a plan for reviewing the data. • Candidate does not reflect on the invention plan to ensure that a variety of non-aversive techniques are planned to control the student's target behavior and the plan does not integrate appropriate adaptations and technology as needed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides a data collection plan to measure the behavior specific in the behavioral objective; reason given for choice of data collection chart and how the candidate will evaluate whether or not the intervention plan is working, based on continual observations. • Candidate includes a procedure for data review so that responsive adjustments can be made if the intervention plan is not working. <i>CEC/IGC Standard 5 ISCI 5 S 11</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides a data collection plan to measure the behavior specific in the behavioral objective; reason given for choice of data collection chart and how the candidate will evaluate whether or not the intervention plan is working. • Candidate includes a procedure for data review so that changes can be made if the intervention plan is not working. • Candidate reflects on the intervention plan to ensure that a variety of non-aversive techniques are planned to control the student's target behavior and the plan integrates appropriate adaptations and technology as needed. • The intervention plan includes directions to the candidate's colleagues such as guidance and direction for para-educators and general education colleagues in order to help integrate individuals with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs.
<p>Evaluation and Impact of Intervention Plan</p> <p><i>CEC/IGC Standard 5</i></p> <p><i>CEC/IGC ISCI 5 S 11</i></p> <p>Candidate selects, adapts, and uses a repertoire of evidence-based instructional strategies to advance learning of individuals with exceptionalities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate includes the data collection chart and the reason for their choice, but does not provide a plan for reviewing the data. • Candidate does not reflect on the invention plan to ensure that a variety of non-aversive techniques are planned to control the student's target behavior and the plan does not integrate appropriate adaptations and technology as needed. • The candidate does not design a fidelity checklist, or designs an incomplete or confusing fidelity checklist, yielding little if any information about the extent to which the intervention is implemented as intended. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides a data collection plan to measure the behavior specific in the behavioral objective; reason given for choice of data collection chart and how the candidate will evaluate whether or not the intervention plan is working, based on continual observations. • Candidate includes a procedure for data review so that responsive adjustments can be made if the intervention plan is not working. • <i>CEC/IGC Standard 5 ISCI 5 S 11</i> • Candidate designs a fidelity checklist that can be used to determine the extent to which the intervention was implemented as intended. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate provides a data collection plan to measure the behavior specific in the behavioral objective; reason given for choice of data collection chart and how the candidate will evaluate whether or not the intervention plan is working. • Candidate includes a procedure for data review so that changes can be made if the intervention plan is not working. • Candidate reflects on the intervention plan to ensure that a variety of non-aversive techniques are planned to control the student's target behavior and the plan integrates appropriate adaptations and technology as needed. • The intervention plan includes directions to the candidate's colleagues such as guidance and direction for para-educators and general education colleagues in order to help integrate individuals with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs. • Candidate designs a fidelity checklist that can be used to determine the extent to which the intervention was implemented as intended. Each step in the fidelity checklist is described with sufficient detail regarding how to implement

			each component of the intervention such that an outside observer could reliably assess the extent to which the intervention was implemented as intended.
--	--	--	---