

College of Education and Human Development Division of Special Education and disAbility Research

Fall 2018

EDSE 662 001: Consultation and Collaboration CRN: 72015, 3 – Credits

Instructor: Dr. Sharon Ray	Meeting Dates: 8/27/2018 – 12/19/2018
Phone : 703-993-5247 Office	Meeting Day(s): Monday
E-Mail: sray4@gmu.edu	Meeting Time(s) : 7:20 pm – 10 pm
Office Hours: By Appointment	Meeting Location: KH 15
Office Location: Finley 205B	Other Phone : 703-673-8540 cell

*Note: This syllabus may change according to class needs. Teacher Candidates/Students will be advised of any changes immediately through George Mason e-mail and/or through Blackboard.

Prerequisite(s): Teaching licensure, or enrollment in graduate degree program in education.

Co-requisite(s): None

Course Description

Provides professionals in special education, regular education, and related fields with knowledge and communication skills necessary for collaborative consultation and technical assistance to other educators and service providers. Note: Field experience required. Offered by Graduate School of Education. May not be repeated for credit.

Advising Contact Information

Please make sure that you are being advised on a regular basis as to your status and progress through your program. Mason M.Ed. and Certificate teacher candidates/students should contact the Special Education Advising Office at (703) 993-3670 for assistance. All other teacher candidates/students should refer to their faculty advisor.

Advising Tip

Did you know that to receive your teaching license you need to submit your request to VDOE? Depending on your situation, you can either submit your paperwork to VDOE through your county HR office or through GMU. For instructions, visit http://cehd.gmu.edu/teacher/instructions.

Course Delivery Method

Learning activities include the following:

- 1. Class lecture and discussion
- 2. Application activities
- 3. Small group activities and assignments
- 4. Video and other media supports
- 5. Research and presentation activities
- 6. Electronic supplements and activities via Blackboard

Learner Outcomes

Upon completion of this course, teacher candidates/students will be able to:

- 1. Define collaboration, consultation, and teamwork and explain the essential characteristics of each.
- 2. Identify variables that may facilitate or constrain participation in collaboration, consultation, or teamwork settings.
- 3. Demonstrate communication skills of listening, avoiding communication roadblocks, dealing with resistance, being appropriately assertive, and resolving conflicts.
- 4. Apply problem-solving techniques in collaborating with professional colleagues, parents, and related and ancillary personnel to provide for students' learning and behavioral needs.
- Develop self-assessment techniques for improving consultative and collaboration skills.
- 6. Plan activities that implement effective consultation and collaboration techniques. Develop an Individualized Education Plan

Course Relationship to Program Goals and Professional Organizations

This course is part of the George Mason University, Graduate School of Education (GSE), Special Education Programs for teacher licensure in the Commonwealth of Virginia in the special education areas of Special Education: Students with Disabilities who Access the General Curriculum K-12, Visual Impairments PK-12, and Adapted Curriculum K-12. This program complies with the standards for teacher licensure established by the Council for Exceptional Children (CEC), the major special education professional organization, as well as those established by the Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support consortium (InTASC). The standards addressed in this class include CEC Standard 1: Learner Development and Individual Learning Differences (InTASC 1,2); CEC Standard 3: Curricular content knowledge (InTASC 4,5); CEC Standard 5: Instructional planning and strategies (InTASC 7,8); CEC Standard 6: Professional learning and ethical practice (InTASC 9) & CEC Standard 7: Collaboration (InTASC 10).

Evidence-Based Practices

This course will incorporate the evidence-based practices (EBPs) relevant to communication, collaboration, and consultation. Evidence for the selected research-based practices is informed by meta-analysis, literature reviews/synthesis, the technical assistance networks which provide web-based resources, and the national organizations whose mission is to support students with disabilities. We address both promising and emerging practices in the field of special education. This course will provide opportunities for teacher candidates/students to take an active, decision-making role to thoughtfully select, modify, apply, and evaluate EBPs in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities.

Required Textbooks

Friend, M. & Cook, L. (2016). *Interactions: Collaboration for school professionals* (8th ed). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.

Gibb, G. & Dyches, T. (2015). *IEPs: Writing Quality Individualized Education Programs* (3rd ed). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.

Recommended Textbooks

American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Required Resources

The George Mason Blackboard system will be used as an integral part of this course. It is important to access Blackboard several times a week between class sessions to check posted updates and messages. Additionally, class handouts will be posted on Blackboard for upcoming classes. The first night of class all handouts will be provided. After the first night, all handouts will be posted on Blackboard. Students are responsible for downloading these handouts or printing hard copies for use in class from the second class onwards. You can access Bb at http://courses.gmu.edu.

Starting September 10th, be sure to come to class prepared with the electronic copies of materials or hard copies (whichever works best for you)!

Additional Readings

Additional readings will be posted on Blackboard as needed

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy).

Tk20 Performance-Based Assessment Submission Requirement

It is critical for the special education program to collect data on how our students are meeting accreditation standards. Every teacher candidate/student registered for an EDSE course with a required Performance-based Assessment (PBA) is required to upload the PBA to Tk20 (regardless of whether a course is an elective, a one-time course or part of an undergraduate minor). A PBA is a specific assignment, presentation, or project that best demonstrates one or more CEC, InTASC or other standard connected to the course. A PBA is evaluated in two ways. The first is for a grade, based on the instructor's grading rubric. The second is for program accreditation purposes. Your instructor will provide directions as to how to upload the PBA to Tk20.

For EDSE 662, the required PBA is Individualized Education Program (Spec Ed General) OR Collaborative Team Improvement Project (Adapted/VI). Failure to submit the assignment to Tk20 will result in reporting the course grade as Incomplete (IN). Teacher candidates/students have until five days prior to the University-stated grade change deadline to upload the required PBA in order to change the course grade. When the PBA is uploaded, the teacher candidate/student is required to notify the instructor so that the "IN" can be changed to a grade. If the required PBA is not uploaded five days prior to the University-stated grade change deadline and, therefore, the grade not changed, it will become an F. Please check to verify your ability to upload items to Tk20 before the PBA due date.

Assignments and/or Examinations

Performance-based Assessment (Tk20 submission required) Individualized Education Program – November 12th (30 points)

The required NCATE assignment for this course is an Individualized Education Program (IEP). Students will work to craft a well-developed IEP on a case K-12 student with special needs. Specific instructions will be given in the directions packet that will be distributed on the first night of class.

College Wide Common Assessment (TK20 submission required)None

Performance-based Common Assignments (No Tk20 submission required)

<u>Professional Learning Community Assignment</u> – *November 26th* (20 points)

There is a Common Assignment requiring group work throughout the semester. Specific instructions on the Professional Learning Community (PLC) Assignment will be given in the directions packet that will be distributed on the first night of class. In small groups, students will explore

core issues surrounding effective co-teaching and collaboration, sharing their findings via a written paper and class discussion.

Other Assignments

<u>Attendance and Participation</u> - Weekly (1 point per class for a total of 15 points)

Class attendance and participation are an important part of this class because of the specific and in depth information learned through the course. Attendance points are earned for each class to emphasize the importance of engaging in the learning activities and educational environment of the course. Students are expected to arrive on time, participate in all class discussions, presentations, and activities, and stay until the end of class. Attendance will be maintained through the artifacts students produce during class through group and individual work. For full attendance credit during each class, students must not only attend the full class session, but actively participate, work cooperatively, and turn in high quality class products. If you are unable to make any class sessions during the semester, please contact the instructor by phone or e-mail before the class session where you will be absent. In the rare event of an emergency or severe sickness, each student is given 1 "grace" absence without a point penalty, as long as the instructor is notified before the class session. In this case, it is still the student's responsibility to make arrangements to obtain notes, handouts, and lecture details from another student. Attendance points missed for more than one absence or any absence without instructor contact before class cannot be made up! Two or more unexcused absences may result in students not being successful in the course.

<u>Interview Summary & Synthesis Assignment</u> – *October 1st (15 points)* During the course, you will be working with pairs and groups of teachers in a collaborative fashion. For this collaborative assignment, you will interview a school professional regarding his/her experiences with collaboration in education settings. This assignment will include three detailed phases/steps and specific instructions will be given in the directions packet that will be distributed on the first night of class.

<u>Lesson Plan Portfolio & Presentation</u> – *December 3rd & 10th* (20 points)

In the final weeks of this course, we will focus on developing lesson plans and strategies based on content you learned in the course. As such, you will develop a series of co-taught lessons that include effective practices and accommodations for students with diverse learning needs. Each lesson should be annotated with notes as to what the effective practice is, why it was chosen, and the instructional needs it addresses. You will also

develop a narrative explaining your collaborative process. And finally, on the final course meeting, you will present for your peers. Specific instructions for this assignment will be given in the directions packet that will be distributed on the first night of class.

Course Policies and Expectations Attendance/Participation

Class attendance and participation are essential to this course because of the complexity of the IEP knowledge at its core. Attendance points are earned for each class to give students experience with and class credit for engaging in key activities that educational researchers participate in on a regular basis. Students are expected to be timely; actively participate in activities; and remain for the duration of class time.

Assessment of Course Requirements:

Requirements of this course include readings from your textbook, professional journal articles, and websites, and activities, which include in-class individual and group work, as well as independent and group assignments outside of class. The goal of all work for this course is to increase your knowledge and skills for writing well-structured Individualized Education Plans and developing positive and productive collaborative partnerships with other school professionals.

The performance-based assignment for this course is the Individualized Education Program (IEP), which involves drafting an IEP using best practices, and must be submitted to TK20 before final grades are posted in Patriot Web for this course. The common course assignment for this course is the Professional Learning Community (PLC) Assignment, which includes having a small group of professionals identify, research, discuss, and synthesize key ideas on an important issue to the education of students with special needs. The PLC assignment should be posted to BlackBoard for grading. Students are expected to complete all forms of class assessment and final grading will be based on the cumulative points that students earn based on their performance on all course assessments. Student performance on assignments is expected to be both timely and of high quality.

Online submission of student work is required. All written assignments should be submitted through the Blackboard Digital Assignments tab. Assignments should not be submitted by email unless there is an emergency technical issue with Blackboard. Each assignment should be submitted by the start of class on the due date (7:20PM). Assignments that are not submitted at the appropriate time <u>are late</u>. Late assignments will be accepted with a point deduction. All course assignments should be completed with graduate level use of content, grammar, spelling, and written expression clarity. If writing is an area of difficulty, you will

need to visit the GMU Writing Center to work on these skills (http://writingcenter.gmu.edu).

Submitted assignments should be labeled with filenames that correspond to: <your first initial your last name abbreviated form of the assignment's name>. Graded assignments will be returned to you via the course Blackboard email. It is suggested that you download and save all returned assignments, as well as corresponding evaluations and comments. Below is example labeling for submission of all written assignments that can be submitted electronically:

- SRayInterview Interview Assignment (submit to BlackBoard)
- > SRayPLC PLC Assignment (submit to BlackBoard)
- > SRayIEP IEP Assignment (submit to TK20)
- > SRayLessPlanPort Lesson Plan Portfolio (submit to BlackBoard)

Course Requirements Evaluation			
Assig	nment	Points Earned/Total Points	
1.	Attendance & Participation (1 pt. per class meeting)	/15	
2.	Interview Assignment	/15	
*3.	PLC Assignment		
		/20	
**4.	IEP Assignment	/30	
5.	Lesson Plan Portfolio	/20	
Total # of points earned		/100	

^{**}Performance-based assignment

*Common course assignment

Grading Scale

A = 95-100%

A = 90-94%

B+ = 87-89%

B = 80-86%

C+ = 77-79%

C = 70-76%

F = 69% and below

*Note: The George Mason University Honor Code will be strictly enforced. Students are responsible for reading and understanding the Code. "To promote a stronger sense of mutual responsibility, respect, trust, and fairness among all members of the George

Mason University community and with the desire for greater academic and personal achievement, we, the student members of the university community, have set forth this honor code: Student members of the George Mason University community pledge not to cheat, plagiarize, steal, or lie in matters related to academic work." Work submitted must be your own or with proper citations (see https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/).

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times. See https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/. In the College of Education and Human Development, dispositions are formally and separately evaluated in at least three points in each student's program – a self-evaluation at the start of their program, an instructor's evaluation in the middle of their program, and a university supervisor's evaluation during internship. When dispositions are assessed, it is important that for areas where a positive disposition is 'occasionally evident' or 'rarely evident,' the student takes steps to grow as an educator. See https://cehd.gmu.edu/epo/candidate-dispositions. In special education licensure programs, the mid-point evaluation is completed by instructors in EDSE 628, EDSE 661, and EDSE 616, and the internship evaluation is completed by instructors in EDSE 783, EDSE 784, and EDSE 785.

Class Schedule

*Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

	TENTATIVE COURSE SCHEDULE				
Date	Topics	Assignments Due			
8/27	 Overview of Course Review of Syllabus Introduction to Consultation and Collaboration 				
9/3	Labor Day Holiday – No Class Meeting!				
9/10	Foundations of Collaboration	Friend & Cook (FC): Chapter 1; Bateman & Linden			
	The IEP in Perspective	(BL): Chapter 1			

	TENTATIVE COURSE SCHEDULE	
Date	Topics	Assignments Due
9/17	Integrating Skills in Interviews	FC: Chapter 4; BL: Chapter 3
	The Legally Correct IEP	·
	 Writing the PLAAFP for IEPs 	
9/24	Independent Work Week on Outside of Class Interviews	
10/1	Listening, Responding, Giving Feedback	FC: Chapter 3; BL: Chapter 4
	 Not-the-Right Way IEP 	
10/8	Columbus Day – Holiday – No Class Meeting!	FC: Chapter 2; BL: Chapter 5
	Online Module Instead!	InterviewAssignment
	 Interpersonal Communication 	J
	 Educationally Useful IEPs 	
	 Writing Annual Goals for IEPs 	
10/15	Group Problem Solving	FC: Chapter 5; BL: Chapter 6
	Standards-Based IEPs	
10/22	Teams	FC: Chapter 6; BL: Chapter 2
10/29	Co-Teaching	• FC: Chapter 7; BL: Chapter 7
	IEPs: New Twists and old Conundrums	·
11/5	 Online Module: Consultation, Coaching, Mentoring 	➤ FC: Chapters 8
11/12	Difficult Interactions	➤ FC: Chapter 9
		> IEP Assignment

TENTATIVE COURSE SCHEDULE			
Date	Topics	Assignments Due	
11/19	Paraeducators Familia - Cultural Basings its in Consider	FC: Chapter 10	
	 Families: Cultural Reciprocity in Special Education 		
11/26	 Family Involvement in the Special Education Process 	➤ FC: Chapter 11	
		> PLC Assignment	
12/3	 Lesson Plan Portfolio Presentations 	FC: Chapter 12	
		Lesson PlanAssignment &Presentation	
12/10	Lesson Plan Portfolio Presentations	Lesson PlanPresentation	

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered
 with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will
 begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the
 instructor (see http://ods.gmu.edu/).

• Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/.

Appendix

Directions and Rubric for the Individualized Education Program

Individualized Education Program

The purpose of this assessment is to have candidates demonstrate knowledge of the individualized planning process required for the development of educational programs for students with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs. Candidates will demonstrate their ability to develop the critical components of an Individualized Educational Program (IEP) that are legally sufficient and educationally appropriate for the described case study student. Candidates also will also demonstrate an understanding of how these components come together to build a framework for the student's educational program by writing a narrative that includes:

- 1. justification for their decisions within the IEP,
- 2. explanation of the collaborative process required.

Throughout the assignment it is critical to incorporate collaborative aspects of developing an IEP with stakeholders, including the student (as appropriate), family members, general educators, related service providers, school administrators, and other relevant parties. In continuously considering the collaborative aspects of the IEP process, candidates will participate in in-class cooperative learning opportunities, such as role-play exercises, and activities designed to prepare for the IEP product and writing of the narratives.

Step One: Choose a Student

For this assignment, the instructor will either (a) assign a case study, (b) allow a candidate to use a student with whom he/she is already working, or (c) allow a candidate to use student profile information developed in EDSE 540.

*If the instructor chooses to provide the option of focusing this assignment on a student with whom a candidate is working, the candidate must:

- 1. Verify with the student's school that the candidate has permission to access the necessary student information files,
- 2. Provide evidence that the student is a student with a mild/moderate disability,
- 3. Submit in writing to the instructor a request to use the identified student for the assignment and receive approval in writing from the instructor to do so,
- 4. Assign a pseudonym for the student.

Step Two: Prepare and Write Your Case

Using the information available to you about your student, create a narrative with the components identified below. Head each section of the document with the corresponding component. Within each indicated section or heading, include the component and a separate subheading for your rationale.

Component A: Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLOP)

- 1. Using all documentation available, gather information about the student that is relevant to the following areas:
 - a. <u>Student Perspective:</u> The strengths and concerns relevant to enhancing the education of the student as expressed by the student, when appropriate.
 - b. <u>Parent/Guardian/Family Member Perspective:</u> The strengths and concerns relevant to enhancing the education of the student as expressed by the parent(s)/guardian(s)/family member(s).
 - c. <u>Evaluations:</u> The results of the most recent evaluations of the student (educational, speech/language, psychological, OT/PT, social, etc.).
 - d. <u>Assessments:</u> The results of the student's performance on any general state or district-wide assessments, as appropriate.
 - e. <u>Needs:</u> The academic, developmental, and functional needs of the student.
 - f. <u>Behavior:</u> In the case of a child whose behavior impedes the student's learning or learning by others, consider interventions, support, and strategies to address that behavior (e.g., Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports [PBIS]; Functional Behavioral Analysis [FBA]).
 - g. <u>Limited English Proficiency:</u> In the case of a student with limited English proficiency, consider the language needs of the student as those needs relate to the student's IEP.
 - h. <u>Blind or Visually Impaired:</u> In the case of a student who is blind or visually impaired, provide for instruction in Braille and the use of Braille unless the IEP Team determines, after an evaluation of the student's reading and writing skills, needs, and appropriate reading and writing media (including an evaluation of the student's future needs for instruction in Braille or the use of Braille), that instruction in Braille or the use of Braille is not appropriate for the student.

- i. Communication (Including Deaf or Hard of Hearing): Consider the communication needs of the student and, in the case of a student who is deaf or hard of hearing, consider the student's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direction instruction in the student's language and communication mode.
- j. <u>Assistive Technology:</u> Consider whether or not the student needs assistive technology devices and services.
- 2. Develop a statement of the student's present levels of performance. Include:
 - Description of the student's strengths with evidence from evaluations, assessments, and student/family member's perspectives,
 - Description of areas in need of improvement (needs/behavior) with evidence from evaluations, assessments, and student/family member's perspectives AND how performance differs from peers,
 - Educational implications of the student's:
 - Mild to moderate exceptionalities,
 - o Sensory impairments (when applicable),
 - o Variations in cultural beliefs, traditions, and values.

Component B: Measurable Annual Goals

- 1. Create 3 measurable annual goals for the student. The goals must be:
 - Based on the present level of performance statements and the student's needs.
 - Observable and measurable.
 - Age and ability appropriate.
 - Prioritized and based on the scope and sequence of the VA SOL.
 - Focused on increasing skills and/or positive behaviors.
 - Responsive to variations in beliefs, traditions, and values across cultures.
- 2. Rationale: Respond to the following questions:
 - a. How are these goals prioritized and age appropriate?
 - b. In what ways do these goals reflect the PLOPs?
 - c. In what ways do these goals show increasing skills and/or positive behavior for the student?
 - d. In what way are these goals responsive to any variations in beliefs, traditions, and values of the student or his/her family?

Component C: Short Term Objectives/Benchmarks

1. Write at least **2** short-term objectives or benchmarks for each annual goal. The objectives/benchmarks relate to the goal and are derived by breaking the annual goal down into smaller, achievable tasks. The criteria must be appropriate for the student and for performance of the task.

- 2. Each objective/benchmark should include:
 - Task,
 - Condition, and
 - Criterion.
- 3. Rationale: Respond to the following questions:
 - a. How are these short-term objectives based on sequential age and ability appropriate for individualized learning objectives?
 - b. How do these objectives relate to the annual goals?
 - c. How do these objectives include learner criteria that are appropriate to task performance? Justify your criteria.
 - d. Do the objectives include statements of generalization and maintenance?

Component D: Services, Least Restrictive Environment, Placement

- 1. Identify and describe the student's placement on the continuum of services.
- 2. List and describe all appropriate program, primary, and related services* that the student needs to appropriately participate in the students' least restrictive environment. Include a statement of:
 - What the service is (e.g., individual/small group instruction in 7th grade social studies; individual occupational therapy)
 - How often the services will occur (e.g., every day for 50 mins; once a month for 30 mins)
 - Duration of services, with start and end date (e.g., duration: 6 months; start date: 9/3/2013; end date: 2/3/2014)
 - Location of the service (e.g., XYZ school; Fairfax Hospital)
 - Setting of the service (e.g., self-contained classroom with special educator and assistant; occupational therapy room at local hospital)
 - Who will deliver the service (e.g., special educator; occupational therapist)
- 3. Indicate if there are any activities in which the student is unable to participate, even with support.
- 4. Rationale: Respond to the following questions:
 - a. Why did you choose the program and services you describe?
 - b. How do the primary, program, and related services consistently align with the areas of need based on the students PLOP?

*For the purposes of this assignment:

- Related services include physical therapy, occupational therapy, speechlanguage pathology, social work, and other services.
- Assistive Technology may be one of the services considered for this assignment.

Component E: Participation in State Assessments

- 1. Describe the student's participation in state assessments. The assessment(s) noted and participation levels described must reflect:
 - The impact that exceptionalities (including auditory and information processing skills) can have on an individual's testing abilities.

- Consideration of due process rights, assurances, and issues related to assessment.
- Accommodations, as suitable, and described, if they are needed.
- 2. Rationale: Respond to the following questions,
 - a. What did you consider in selecting the appropriate levels of student participation in state assessments?
 - b. How are the student's participation levels specifically related to the PLOP, including any issues related to auditory and information process skills (as appropriate)?
 - *A quality written rationale includes consideration of the above and discusses how the levels of student participation in the selected state and district-wide assessments relate to present levels of performance. You may use Virginia state assessments as your model.

Component F: Accommodations and Modifications

- 1. Describe the accommodations and/or modifications necessary to individualize instruction to provide meaningful and challenging learning for the student that:
 - are based on the present levels of performance and assessment data and (2) consider the student's exceptionalities
 - allow the student to access the general education curriculum.
 - assist in providing meaningful and challenging learning experiences for the student.
 - provide access to educationally related settings, including non-academic and extra-curricular activities.
- 2. Rationale: Respond to the following questions:
 - a. How did the student's PLOP relate to the choice of accommodations?
 - b. How do the above provide access to nonacademic and extracurricular activities and are they appropriate to the needs of the student?
 - c. Explain how the selected accommodations and/or modifications are based on assessment data.
 - d. In what ways did you consider the student's exceptionality?

Step Three: Narrative on IEP Collaboration

Under a separate heading in the document, describe the collaborative nature of the IEP development process, as well as the roles of individuals with exceptional learning needs, families, and school and community personnel in planning of an individualized program. This includes a discussion of:

• The collaborative activities that should occur prior to development of the IEP.

- Methods of involving students, families, related service providers, and other professionals in the IEP development process.
- Methods for fostering respectful and beneficial relationships between students and their families and professionals throughout the IEP development process.
- Collaborative activities that should occur after the IEP is developed, including next steps for working with general education teachers, the student, and other stakeholders.

	Does Not Meet Expectations 1	Meets Expectations 2	Exceeds Expectations 3
Present Levels of Performance CEC/IGC Standards 1 & 4 Candidate understands how exceptionalities may interact with development and learning and uses this knowledge to provide meaningful and challenging learning experiences for individuals with exceptionalities . Candidate uses multiple methods of assessment and data sources in making educational decisions.	Candidate writes a present levels of performance statement that: lacks consistent links to evaluations and assessments, AND/OR fails to include educational implications of the student's exceptionality, AND/OR fails to consider variations in beliefs, traditions, and values across and within cultures. Candidate fails to demonstrate respect for the student by using biased and negative language. Candidate fails to show evidence of the similarities and differences between the student's development and typical human development. Candidate includes irrelevant information	Candidate interprets information from formal and informal assessments to write appropriate, relevant present levels of performance statement with: clear links to evaluations and assessments (such as interviews, observations, standardized tests), description of educational implications of the characteristics of various exceptionalities, sensory impairments (as applicable), and description of variations, and values across and within cultures (as applicable). Candidate uses unbiased and objective language. Candidate includes description of the similarities and differences between the student's development and typical human development.	 Candidate interprets information from formal and informal assessments to write appropriate, relevant present levels of performance statement with: clear links to evaluations and assessments (such as interviews, observations, standardized tests), description of educational implications of the characteristics of various exceptionalities, sensory impairments (as applicable), and description of variations in beliefs, traditions, and values across and within cultures (as applicable). Candidate uses unbiased and objective language. Candidate includes description of the similarities and differences between the student's development and typical human development. Candidate describes strengths and areas in need of improvement in relation to Virginia Standards of Learning.
Measurable Annual Goals CEC/IGC Standard 3 Candidate uses knowledge of general and specialized curricula to individualize learning for individuals with	Statements. Candidate fails to demonstrate an understanding of the models and theories related to instructional planning by writing annual goals that are not priorities and/ OR do not reflect present levels of performance and/ OR lack direction for student growth.	Candidate demonstrates an understanding of the models and theories related to instructional planning by writing age and ability appropriate annual goals that: are measurable, reflect present levels of performance and show direction for student growth. Candidate writes goals that focus on both decreasing and/or	Candidate demonstrates an understanding of the models and theories related to instructional planning by writing age and ability appropriate annual goals that: are measurable, are and based upon the scope and sequence of the Virginia Standards of Learning (as appropriate), reflect present levels of performance, and

	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations 2	Exceeds Expectations 3
short Term Objectives or Benchmarks CEC/IGC Standard 3 Candidate uses knowledge of general and specialized curricula to individualize learning for individuals with exceptionalities .	Candidate fails to demonstrate an understanding of the models and theories related to instructional planning by writing individualized learning objectives/benchmark s that: Are not directly related to the annual goals OR Are not sequential age and ability appropriate OR Utilize learner criteria that are inappropriate to task performance.	increasing learner behaviors. Candidate demonstrates consideration of variations in beliefs, traditions, and values across and within cultures (as appropriate) while integrating affective, social, and life skills with academic curricula. Candidate demonstrates an understanding of the models and theories related to instructional planning by writing individualized learning objectives/benchmarks that relate to an annual goal AND relate to an annual go	 show emphasis on increasing skills and/or positive behaviors. Candidate demonstrates consideration of variations in beliefs, traditions, and values across and within cultures while integrating affective, social, and life skills with academic curricula. Candidate demonstrates an understanding of the models and theories related to instructional planning by writing individualized learning objectives/benchmarks that relate to an annual goal AND are sequential age and ability appropriate AND include the condition, measurable and observable learner behavior, and verifiable criteria AND a statement of generalization AND a statement of maintenance. Candidate demonstrates consideration of variations in beliefs, traditions, and values across and within cultures while integrating affective, social, and life skills with academic curricula.
Services, Least	Continuity in	skills with academic curricula.	Continue Francisco
Restrictive Environment (LRE), Placement CEC/IGC Standard 1 Candidate understands how exceptionalities may interact	Candidate lists program or primary or related services that do not or inconsistently align with areas of need based on present level of performance.	Candidate lists appropriate program and primary services and related services (as appropriate) that: demonstrate an understanding of the continuum of placement and services available for individuals with exceptional learning needs, and the concept	Candidate lists and describes appropriate program and primary services and related services (as appropriate) that demonstrate an understanding of the continuum of placement and services available for individuals with exceptional learning needs, and the concept of the least restrictive environment and consistently align with areas of need based on present levels of performance as well

	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations 2	Exceeds Expectations 3
with development and learning and uses this knowledge to provide meaningful and challenging learning experiences for individuals with		of the least restrictive environment and consistently align with the individual's areas of need based on present levels of performance as well as the supports needed to be successful in the LRE. Candidate includes the following: Location Frequency Setting Duration Start and end dates	as the supports needed to be successful in the LRE. Candidate includes the following: Location Frequency Setting Duration Start and end dates Candidate includes statement of how services relate to the individual's needs as well as the rationale for any activities in which the student cannot participate.
Participation in State Assessments CEC/IGC Standard 3 Candidate uses knowledge of general and specialized curricula to individualize learning for individuals with exceptionalities .	Candidate selects inappropriate levels of student participation in state assessments based on present levels of performance and student's exceptional condition(s), indicating a failure to consider issues, assurance, and due process rights related to assessment.	Candidate selects appropriate levels of student participation in state assessments based on present levels of performance and student's exceptional condition(s), indicating consideration of issues, assurance, and due process rights related to assessment. Candidate lists and justifies all accommodations for state assessments suggested.	 Candidate selects appropriate levels of student participation in state assessments based on present levels of performance and student's exceptional condition(s), indicating consideration of issues, assurance, and due process rights related to assessment. Candidate lists and justifies all accommodations for state assessments suggested. Candidate selects and justifies participation levels that reflect the impact an exceptional condition(s) can have on an individual's testing abilities including auditory and information processing skills.
Accommodations and Modifications CEC/IGC Standard 3 Candidate uses knowledge of general and specialized curricula to individualize learning for	Candidate identifies inappropriate accommodations and/or modifications. OR Candidate fails to describe the accommodations and/or modifications which provide the foundation upon which special educators individualize	 Candidate identifies and prioritizes areas of the general curriculum and the accommodations and/or modifications to individualize instruction to provide meaningful and challenging learning for individuals with exceptional learning needs including appropriate technologies (as needed). Candidate identifies and prioritizes appropriate 	Candidate selects accommodations and/or modifications that are based on assessment data and reflect the candidate's understanding of the impact exceptionalities may have on auditory and information processing skills, test taking abilities and variations in beliefs, traditions, and values across and within cultures with a statement relating these accommodations to specific instructional goals. Candidate identifies and

	Does Not Meet Expectations 1	Meets Expectations 2	Exceeds Expectations 3
individuals with exceptionalities .	instruction to provide meaningful and challenging learning for individuals with exceptional learning needs.	accommodations and/or modifications based on present levels of performance, to provide access to nonacademic and extracurricular activities in educationally related settings.	prioritizes areas of the general curriculum and the accommodations and/or modifications to individualize instruction to provide meaningful and challenging learning for individuals with exceptional learning needs including appropriate technologies (as needed). Candidate identifies and prioritizes appropriate accommodations and/or modifications based on present levels of performance, to provide access to nonacademic in extracurricular activities and educationally related settings.
Legal Compliance of IEP CEC/IGC Standard 6 Candidate uses foundational knowledge of the field and his/her ethical principles and practice standards to inform special education practice, to engage in lifelong learning, and to advance the profession.	 Candidate writes an incomplete IEP which fails to comply with all relevant laws and policies, reflect an understanding of requirements such as FAPE and LRE (and the history of these points of view) or other human issues that have historically influenced and continue to influence the field of special education. Candidate writes the IEP using biased, inflammatory language, with a lack of clarity, numerous acronyms, illegibility, or inaccuracies (including spelling). 	 Candidate writes a complete IEP that complies with all relevant laws and policies, reflects an understanding of requirements such as FAPE and LRE (and the history of these points of view) and other human issues that have historically influenced and continue to influence the field of special education. Candidate writes the IEP using neutral, objective, non-inflammatory language, with clarity, minimal use of acronyms, legibility, and accuracy (including spelling). Candidate writes areas of need, goals, objectives/benchmarks, placements and services with a strong connection to the present levels of performance. 	 Candidate writes a comprehensive IEP which complies with all relevant laws and policies, reflects an understanding of requirements such as FAPE and LRE (and the history of these points of view) and other human issues that have historically influenced and continue to influence the field of special education. Candidate writes the IEP using neutral, objective, non-inflammatory language, with clarity, minimal use of acronyms, legibility, and accuracy (including spelling). Candidate writes areas of need, goals, objectives/benchmarks, placements and services with a strong connection to the present levels of performance. Candidate includes documentation for procedural safeguards and advocates for appropriate services for the individual with exceptionalities.