GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT Ph.D. in Education Program

EDUC 800 – Ways of Knowing 3 Credits, Summer 2018 June 25 – to July 19, 2018 Monday, Wednesday, Thursday 8:30 – 3:30

Instructor Information

Professor: Beverly D. Shaklee, Ed.D.

Class Days/Time:	Monday, Wednesday,				
Thursday, 8:30-3	Thursday, 8:30-3:30 until July 12: M, W,				
Thur. 8:30-12:00) until July 19th				
E-Mail:	bshaklee@gmu.edu				
Office:	Thompson Hall 2500				
Office Hours:	By appointment, Tuesdays before or after class				
Telephone:	703-993-2388				



Course Outline and Requirements

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

Provides understanding of characteristic ways of knowing in various liberal arts disciplines while examining subject matter, key concepts, principles, methods, and theories. Analyzes philosophical traditions underlying educational practice and research.

Course Overview: This course is a foundation course for the Ph.D. in Education program. The purpose of the course is to explore how we come to know and accept a method(s) of inquiry among the various ways of knowing. Using a seminar approach structured around readings, reflections on those readings,

EDUC 800/Shaklee,2018

class discussions, and individual research, the course seeks to develop in students an ability to reflect critically on the strengths and limitations of the various ways of knowing, and to become aware of the implications of the different ways of knowing for research and practice.

No Prerequisites

LEARNER OUTCOMES/OBJECTIVES:

As a result of this course, students participating in EDUC 800 will be able to:

- 1. Describe, compare, and contrast ways of knowing from a variety of perspectives.
- 2. Describe ways of knowing of individuals and groups and analyze and explain personal,
- sociocultural, professional, political, and other influences on ways of knowing.

3. Explain how various ways of knowing affect individual scholars, research, and practice in education and related fields.

4. Expand upon and further refine their scholarship abilities, including critical and analytic reading, writing, thinking, oral communication, and the use of scholarly resources.

This introductory course seeks to develop each Ph.D. student's ability to become grounded in the ways we come to know through inquiry and research based practice. Through the readings, dialogic discussions, critical reflections, and presentations, it is intended that each student will become more analytic about the conduct of inquiry and one's own perspectives on inquiry, research-based practice, and the nature of knowledge, as well as develop a respect for the diversity of thought that characterizes inquiry.

REQUIRED TEXTS/ RELATED READINGS

Bruner, J. (1996). The culture of education. Harvard University Press.

Descartes, R. (1637). Discourse on method and related writings. Penguin Classics.

Strogatz, S. (2004). *Sync: How order emerges from chaos in the universe, nature, and daily life.* New York: Hyperion.

Additional Readings will be drawn from the following and may be accessed through our course Blackboard site at: <u>https://mvmasonportal.gmu.edu/</u>

Recommended Text

American Psychological Association. (2009). *Publication Manual* (6th ed.). Author: Washington, DC. (Recommended for entire doctoral program – all written submissions must be APA format).

Thomson, P. and Walker, M. (Eds.). (2010). *The Routledge Doctoral Student's Companion. New York: Routledge.*

Course Expectations for all students:

• Attendance: Face to face attendance is mandatory, as the discussions that take place in this class are essential to achieving the course objectives. Unexcused absences will be reflected as a deduction in your class participation grade. If you find you must miss a class, please

EDUC 800/Shaklee,2018

contact the professor *in advance* and arrange for information lost due to your absence from another student.

- **Tardiness:** Prompt arrival for the beginning of class is expected. Early departures are considered part of class attendance as an absence unless approved by the instructor.
- **Participation:** Each student is expected to complete all the assigned readings <u>before</u> the assigned class and participate actively in discussions. It is expected that each student will be attuned to and respectful of group dynamics to ensure the active participation of all in the class.
- Absence: If you must miss a class, you are responsible for notifying me (in advance) and for completing any assignments or readings before the start of the next class. Only absences identified in the Mason catalog will be considered excused; other absences will be reflected as part of your participation grade. If you anticipate being absent for two or more classes, you should reconsider taking this class at this time.
- Assignments: All assignments must be completed in MS Word and sent to your professor as an email attachment or posted on Blackboard, as requirements indicate, <u>on the due date</u>. *Late assignments will not be accepted without making prior arrangements with your professor*.

Inclement Weather: If class is cancelled *by the university* due to inclement weather we will move the class discussion to Bb for the week on the discussion board. The class week then will be Monday through the following Sunday evening. *Participation in Db is not voluntary during this period of time*. Each member of the class is expected to logon and actively participate in class discussion for the equivalent (2.5 hrs.) of our normal face-to-face class. Each member of the class is expected to actively engage others in conversation and extending our learning through inquiry based questions related to the designated assignment. Comments such as "I agree" do not count nor do overly long answers without questions. Please read the guidelines posted to Db about conduct during online discussions.

MASON POLICIES and RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See <u>http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/.</u>
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing -- See http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/.
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account. *In EDUC 800 all email communication regarding course performance will be sent using Mason email addresses.*
- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consist of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (See http://caps.gmu.edu/).
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester (See http://ods.gmu.edu/).
- The George Mason University Writing Center Staff provide a variety of resources and

services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/).

• Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Regarding electronic devices (such as laptops, cell phones, etc.), please be respectful of your peers and your instructor and do not engage in activities that are unrelated to class. Such disruptions show a lack of professionalism and may affect your participation grade.

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT PROFESSIONAL DISPOSITIONS

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

CORE VALUES COMMITMENT

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to *Social justice, Innovation, Research-based practice, Ethical leadership, and Collaboration.* Students are expected to adhere to these principles. [See <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values</u>]

EDCI 800 reflects the mission and core beliefs of the College of Education and Human Development at George Mason University through its commitment to engage doctoral students in critical reflection and research literature that promotes ethical educational leadership, deep understanding of a world perspective in educational decision-making, knowledgeable teacher education professionals who can teach their content and work effectively with diverse language learners, understand and implement research-based practice, and collaborate productively with colleagues. CEHD's five Core Values are integrated with and actively incorporated in the course content, discussions, and student work associated with EDUC 800:

Collaboration Ethical Leadership Innovation Research-based Practice Social Justice

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See <u>http://gse.gmu.edu/</u>]

COURSE REQUIREMENTS AND ASSIGNMENTS

Course Delivery. This is a "face-to -face" doctoral seminar. Dialogic in nature, EDUC 800 is predicated upon expanding knowledge and understanding through interactive discussion, critical reflection, and research. Course delivery is designed to be learner-focused in multiple ways to meet the course objectives and the needs of participants. A variety of in-class, on-line, cooperative, and individualized instructional approaches include:

- Student and professor directed discussions and dialogic participation;
- *Discussions* (i.e., active involvement of students in learning by asking questions that engage doctoral *EDUC 800/Shaklee,2018*

students in deep critical thinking and engaged verbal interaction);

- *Cooperative and Collaborative learning* (i.e., small group learning interactions emphasizing learning from and with others);
- Multimedia
- *Blackboard* web-based course management system to extend classroom learning and foster personal and collaborative reflection.

My teaching style revolves around dialogic interaction. It is expected that *you will read in advance of class*_and continue to try to find the bigger picture as you learn to sort through the findings as you move from one author/study to the next. In addition to classroom attendance and participation, you are expected to participate fully in whole class and small group discussions, group, pair and individual projects, internet research, analyses of case studies and reflections on practice.

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS

	Points
Participation & Professionalism	30
Journal Reflections (5)	30
Paper on a New Way of Knowing	30
Closing Reflection on Ways of Knowing	10
Total Points	100

Participation & Professionalism (30%)

Students will be asked to work individually or in small groups in class throughout the semester. Students may also be asked to participate in online Blackboard Discussions outside of class time. Because of the importance of lecture and discussion to your total learning experience, you are strongly encouraged to be completely engaged in all aspects of your work in and outside the scope of our F2F meeting times.

Attendance, punctuality, preparation, and active contribution to small and large group efforts are essential. These elements will reflect the professional attitude implied in the course goals and will account for 30% of your course grade. Students who must miss a class must notify the instructor (preferably in advance) and are responsible for completing all assignments and readings for the next class.

Journal Reflection Papers (5 X 6 = 30%)

You are encouraged to make time to reflect on weekly readings, class activities and class discussions. You will write six reflection papers as noted in the class schedule, turned in by the beginning of the class on the date we will discuss the topic. These reflections are designed to capture your growth and understanding of each way of knowing as you proceed through the course

The intent of these brief papers (2 pages, double-spaced) is to help you become thoughtful and analytic about some rather conceptual, and sometimes complex, course content. You should look upon these papers as an opportunity to engage me in discussion as you grow over the semester. **Due: before classes 3, 4, 5,6,8,9**

Paper on a New Way of Knowing (30%)

Select a new way of knowing for you, e.g. a new theory in your field, an area within the arts, sciences, or social sciences, or an interdisciplinary area of inquiry. Explore this new way of knowing. Prepare a paper (about 2500 words/10 pages) that demonstrates: 1) your understanding of

the basic assumptions of this approach, and 2) what it is that makes this approach a new way of knowing for you. Note: depth and analysis are more important than breadth. APA format required.

You will submit a 1page outline, a draft and the final paper. The 1 page is due on Wednesday July 11th for class. The Draft for peer review is due July 19th and your final paper is due Monday July 23rd.

As part of the development of your paper, please submit via email, a one-page description of your proposed project so we can agree early in the semester no later than the ninth class. The outline should address the following questions:

- 1. What is the way of knowing you will explore?
- 2. How do you propose to study it?
- 3. What are your tentative sources?

Evaluation of the final paper: *This assignment is the performance based assessment for EDUC* 800. The main criteria are a clearly defined focus, clear and accurate presentation of assumptions and definitions about your selected way of knowing, a demonstrated understanding of the implications for research, and clear organization and writing (see scoring rubric overview on page nine).

The final paper is due Monday July 23rd no later than 5 p.m.

Closing Reflection on Ways of Knowing (10%)

You will maintain regular reflective writings (above) that are both reflective and analytic in nature. The overall purpose is to use informal journal writing as a means to think, make personal connections to, and reflect on the content of the course. In particular, reflection is a means for you to connect course material to your own experiences and to analyze the course readings critically. The course outline lists specific assignments for the journal reflections. For this final paper, you will look across the semester and consider its effects on you. The guiding questions for this final paper are:

- 1. How would you describe your way(s) of knowing, learning, and thinking when you began this class? As you consider your autobiography/personal history, what factors personal, experiential, familial, sociocultural, historical, and/or disciplinary influenced your ways of knowing?
- 2. How has the course affected your ways of knowing as a practitioner and as a researcher? How would you describe the evolution of your current way of knowing?
- 3. What are some likely implications of your reflections on question 2 with regard to your personal and professional growth during your doctoral study? For your scholarly work?

Criteria for assessment include: evidence of serious reflection and analysis, clear organization and clear writing. This paper is the culminating activity of the course and is due at the beginning of the last class meeting (Due Saturday July 21st, 5 p.m.). Since this is a reflection, complete APA format is not required.

All assignments should be completed in MS Word and submitted via Blackboard by the due date specified in the syllabus and on Blackboard.

SUMMARY OF DUE DATES

Reflection #1 – Before class 3 – June 28th Reflection #2 – Before class 4 – July 2nd Reflection #3 – Before class 5– July 5th Reflection #4 – Before class 6 – July 16th Reflection #5 – Before class 9 – July 18

New Way of Knowing Paper Description due on July 11th Draft shared in class July 19th Paper due on Monday July 23rd

Closing Reflection on Ways of Knowing **Due on July 21**st.

Grading Scale:

At George Mason University, course work is measured in terms of quantity and quality. A credit normally represents one hour per week of lecture or recitation or not fewer than two hours per week of laboratory work throughout a semester. The number of credits is a measure of quantity. The grade is a measure of quality. The system for grading graduate courses is as follows:

Grade	GRADING	Graduate Courses	
A+	100	Highly Satisfactory / Passing	
Α	94-99	Satisfactory / Passing	
A-	90-93	Satisfactory / Passing	
B +	85-89	Satisfactory / Passing	
В	80-84	Satisfactory / Passing	
С	70-79	Does not meet requirements of the Graduate School of Education	
F	<69	Does not meet requirements of the Graduate School of Education	

In order to receive an A+ in this course all participation, assignments and discussion must be at the very highest level and represent the highest level of discourse for a PhD program.

Week	Readings	Assignment	Due
One	'Modern' Ways of Knowing	Rationalism, Empiricism &	
	Foundations of Western	Positivism	
	Inquiry		
Monday June 25	Bb: How to be a Successful	Goal Setting	
What is a way of	Doctoral Student; Challenges	Syllabus/Assignment Review	
knowing?	of Becoming A Scholar	APA	
What are the	Descartes:	How Do You Know?	
foundations to inquiry?	Introduction/Discourse on	Critical Reflection	
How do you come to	Method (pp 1-54)	12:30-1:30 Library	
know?		Orientation	
		Fenwick 1014 A	
		Anne Melville, Education	
		Librarian	
SWednesday June 27	Descartes: Rules, pp 117-169	Language of Knowing:	
	Bb: Rose, <i>On Reflection</i> and	Ontology, Epistemology and	
	Fendler, <i>Reflection in a Hall</i>	Axiology	
	of Mirrors	Identifying Assumptions	
Thursday June 28	Scientific Revolution		Reflection #1 DUE: What
How do ways of knowing	Bb: Thomas Kuhn		role do you see reflection
change?	Bb: Pushkin, the Relevance of		, playing in helping you
What influences change	Thomas Kuhn to Teaching		identify and develop an
in knowledge?	and Intellectualism		understanding of your
C	Gage, The paradigm wars		and others' ways of
	and their aftermath; Rizo,		knowing? Where do
	The Controversy about		some of the complexities
	quantification in social		mentioned in the Fendler
	research		(2003) article emerge for
			you?
Two	Expanding Perspectives on		
	Ways of Knowing: Chaos and		
	Complexity		
Monday July 2	Strogatz: pp 1-100		Reflection #2 DUE: Open
What is the 'butterfly	Bb: Wheatley, Chaos &		your web browser and
effect' and how might it	Complexity: What Can		, explore rationalism,
influence our way of	Science Teach		empiricism and
knowing?			positivism. Examine and
			define each and their
			historical context.
			What are examples of
			these in your discipline,
			job and/or life?
			(Bring or have access to
			copy)
Wednesday July 4	U.S. HOLIDAY – No Class		
Thursday July 5	Bb: Dewey Aims of	Pragmatism	Reflection #3 DUE:
	Education; Choose Either:	Cultural & Linguistic Scaffold	Imagine a conversation
	Sikandar, John Dewey and	(CLS)	between Kuhn and
	Sikanuai, John Dewey unu		

Three How do we make and negotiate meaning? How is research situated? What 'test frame' will you use in your research?	His Philosophy of Education; Talebi, John Dewey Philosopher and Educational Reformer The influence of Culture on Ways of Knowing	Constructivism and post- constructivism	Descartes (Discourse). Many argue that Descartes created a scientific revolution; does it meet Kuhn's attributes? Why or why not?
Monday July 9	Bruner, Preface and pp 1-99 Clandinin & Huber, <i>Narrative</i> <i>Inquiry</i>		Reflection #4 DUE: consider the cultural influences you identified in CLS. Are the values, perspectives and messages conveyed to you by these influences consistent with one another? How do you think these influences have affected your perspectives and ways of knowing? How do you see them impacting you as a scholar and researcher?
Wednesday July 11	Bruner, pp 100-185 Lopez-Alvarado, Educational Research: Education Purposes, The Nature of Knowing and Ethical Issues	Rubric for NWOK paper	WOK DRAFT DUE: 1 page description and rationale (share in class)
Four How is knowledge socially constructed? Why do we learn about certain things (people)or ideas and not others? Why does some research serve the interests of some at the expense of others?	Postmodernism: Ways of Knowing	Critical Theory Feminist Perspectives on Science	
Monday July 16	Bb: Paolo Freire, Chapter 1 Pedagogy of The Oppressed Kilgore, Critical & Postmodern perspectives on		Reflection # 4 DUE: Situate Bruner within Cartesian philosophy. How does Bruner argue

	Adult Learning Kellner, Toward A Critical Theory of Education		that we come to know? What is the essence of Bruner's argument about culture: How does it fit into your own way of knowing?
Wednesday July 18	Bb: Ladson-Billings & Tate, Toward a Critical Race Theory in Education; Yilmaz: Comparison of Quantitative and Qualitative Research Traditions	Optional Readings	Reflection # 5 DUE: What arguments about the creation of knowledge do the authors from the postmodern theories make? How do they compare to Descartes, Kuhn & Bruner?
Thursday July 19	Bb: Burns, Cooke & Schweidler, Community Based Participatory Research Maxwell: Paradigms or Toolkits? Valentine: Theorizing Researching Intersectionality	Peer Review: Draft NWOK Course Evaluations	DUE: DRAFT NWOK – peer review
Saturday July 21st			Critical Reflection DUE
Monday July 23rd			Final WOK Due

Weeks 1-3, classes are 8:30 to 3:30; Week 4, classes are 8:30-12:00

EDGC 600 Terrormance Assessment: Rubre for the Rhowing Taper				
Elements	Does not meet standard (Little or no evidence)	Approaches standard (Some evidence)	<i>Meets standard</i> (Clear evidence)	(Clear convincing and substantial evidence)
Focus of paper	The new way of knowing is not clearly identified and/or its historical roots are not described.	The new way of knowing is somewhat identified and/or its historical roots are minimally described.	The new way of knowing is clearly identified and its historical roots are clearly described	The new way of knowing is clearly and explicitly identified; its historical roots are clearly and thoroughly described.
Presentation of Assumptions	Assumptions about the nature of knowledge in the new way of knowing are not made clear. Key terms are not defined.	Fundamental assumptions about the nature of knowledge in the new way of knowing are explained minimally; some key terms are defined.	Fundamental assumptions about the nature of knowledge in the new way of knowing are explained clearly; the key terms necessary to understand this way of knowing are defined.	Fundamental assumptions about the nature of knowledge in the new way of knowing are explained clearly and thoroughly; the key terms necessary to understand this way of knowing are defined with depth and clarity.
Connections to research	Neither the nature of the research questions this way of knowing has been used to explore are clear nor are the examples presented.	The nature of the research questions this way of knowing has been used to explore are minimally or not clearly included and/or examples are not adequately presented.	The nature of the research questions this way of knowing has been used to explore are included and described clearly with relevant examples	The nature of the research questions this way of knowing has been used to explore are articulated clearly and robustly; they are described with relevant examples
Comparison	Minimal to no attention is	Includes a cursory discussion where	Includes a discussion that reveals	Includes a rich and meaningful
and discussion	given to how this way of	distinctions are present, but may not	a delineation between your way	discussion that reveals clear
with reflection	knowing is new to you.	be developed in depth.	of knowing and the new way of	delineation between your way of
on new way of knowing			knowing.	knowing and the new way of knowing.
Resources	Minimal or no EDUC 800 references are included; does not comply with APA style, or contains multiple irregularities.	EDUC 800 or other references are mentioned but clarity or relevance may be lacking; some APA (6 th ed) style irregularities may be present.	Appropriate EDUC 800 references are included; some APA (6 th ed) style irregularities may be present.	Appropriate EDUC 800 references are clearly and accurately incorporated, and are in accurate APA (6 th ed) style.
Language and Writing	Author makes more than 5 errors in grammar, mechanics or spelling that distract the reader from the content, or there are error patterns evident. Writing is not at the level of graduate work.	Author makes some errors in grammar, mechanics or spelling that distracts the reader from the content. Uses language that is appropriate but may not be fluent or engaging. Writing approaches that of graduate level quality, but may need additional development.	Author makes minimal to few errors in grammar, mechanics or spelling. Uses language with elaboration to express ideas. Writing is generally at the graduate level, but may benefit from more careful editing.	Author makes no, or very few, errors in grammar, spelling or mechanics. Uses language masterfully and thoughtfully to express ideas. Writing is clearly at the graduate level and shows careful editing.

EDUC 800 Performance Assessment: Rubric for the "Knowing" Paper