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EDCI 776.001 – Consultation and Collaboration in Diverse K-12 Settings  
3 Credits, Spring 2018  
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Office Location: Thompson Hall, Room 2604 (program office)  
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Prerequisites/Corequisites  
Completion of 12 credits in degree concentration.

University Catalog Course Description  
Focuses on ways in which practicing education professionals collaborate in serving diverse learners and their families. Explores methods for co-planning and co-teaching in the general education classroom and ways for sharing responsibilities for instruction and assessment. Includes ways for dealing with difficult interactions are part of understanding how to implement collaborative and inclusive models of education for diverse learners.

Course Overview  
Not Applicable

Course Delivery Method  
This course is designed to model the effective elements of collaboration and consultation in the classroom. Therefore, we will engage in a wide variety of learning opportunities including but not limited to: discussion, mini-lecture, demonstration, videotape/online learning, and reflection both in writing and orally.
**Learner Outcomes or Objectives**

This course is designed to enable students to do the following:

1. Identify key elements of successful educator consultation and collaboration (Proposition 4).
2. Examine models of collaboration and consultation in K-12 settings (Proposition 5).
3. Discuss the rationale for using consultation and collaboration in K-12 settings (Proposition 5).
4. Explain the importance of consulting and collaboration for the delivery of effective instruction for TCLDEL (Proposition 4).
5. Explain the importance of consulting and collaboration as part of reflective practice (Proposition 4).
6. Demonstrate essential communication skills including: consensus building, conflict management, negotiation and persuasion (Proposition 4).
7. Describe and apply the steps in the collaborative consulting process to problem solving student issues (Proposition 5).

**Professional Standards** (National Board of Professional Teaching Standards)

Upon completion of this course, students will have met the following professional standards:

As part of the advanced capstone coursework for the master’s degree this course encompasses standards from National Board of Professional Teaching Standards:

Proposition 4: Teachers Think Systematically about Their Practice and Learn from Experience.

- NBCTs model what it means to be an educated person – they read, they question, they create and they are willing to try new things.
- They are familiar with learning theories and instructional strategies and stay abreast of current issues in American education.
- They critically examine their practice on a regular basis to deepen knowledge, expand their repertoire of skills, and incorporate new findings into their practice.

See more at: http://www.nbpts.org/five-core-propositions#sthash.mqOb4pjx.dpuf

Proposition 5: Teachers are Members of Learning Communities.

- NBCTs collaborate with others to improve student learning.
- They are leaders and actively know how to seek and build partnerships with community groups and businesses.
- They work with other professionals on instructional policy, curriculum development and staff development.
- They can evaluate school progress and the allocation of resources in order to meet state and local education objectives.
- They know how to work collaboratively with parents to engage them productively in the work of the school.

See more at: http://www.nbpts.org/members-learning-communities#sthash.uDU4DOni.dpuf
**Required Texts**


**Additional Recommended Readings:**


**Course Performance Evaluation**

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy).

**FIELDWORK REQUIREMENT**

**Field Experience Record and Evaluation**

The **field experience is a required component** of the teacher preparation program at George Mason University. All students will complete a minimum of **20 hours in field experience** for this course. Documentation of your field experience is required as well as a signed statement from your field experience teacher(s) or supervisor(s). If you are taking more than one course in a semester, you must complete 20 hours per course (e.g., two courses require 40 hours of field experience). This means you may be completing different tasks for different courses in the same placement. Materials and products used for one course cannot be used for another course (e.g., videos, lesson plans, activities, etc.)

**In-service teachers:** Field experience can often be conducted in your own classroom if you have access to the population of students required for the PBAs and other assignments. Please consult your instructor if you have questions about the viability of your classroom for fieldwork in this class. You must register for your school as your field experience site in the online Field Experience Request form available here: [https://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf](https://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf). You will check the box indicating that: “I will arrange my own field experiences (observations and/or case studies) because I am a full-time contracted school system employee and will complete field experience at my workplace.” The deadline to submit your field experience placement is Week 2 of class. Failure to do so will result in an unsatisfactory grade for your fieldwork assignment. If you are taking this course as part of a cohort program, please indicate “TCLDEL Cohort” on your request form FIRST, then select your program and placement location. HINT: Cohort courses have section numbers beginning with “6F” (e.g. EDUC 511.6F1).
**Pre-service teachers:** If you are not currently working in a K-12 school, you will need to be placed in an appropriate fieldwork setting to complete your required PBAs and fieldwork hours. You must request a fieldwork site using the online Field Experience Request form available here: [https://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf](https://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf). You will check the box indicating that: I will need George Mason (Clinical Practice Specialist) to arrange a placement for my field experiences (including observations and/or case studies). The deadline to submit your field experience placement is Week 2 of class. Failure to do so will result in an unsatisfactory grade for your fieldwork assignment. If you are taking this course as part of a cohort program, please indicate “TCLDEL Cohort” on your request form, then select your program and placement location. HINT: Cohort courses have section numbers beginning with “6F” (e.g. EDUC 511.6F1).

**Virginia state or county cohort teachers:** Cohort Students are required by their district and by TCLDEL to complete field experiences as required by the Virginia Department of Education for this program. Each district has arranged for candidates to be able to work at K-12 grade levels in order to complete all licensure requirements. Please contact your district coordinator for further information.

**TCLDEL Fieldwork Log of Hours and Evaluation Assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status of Student Work</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork Log of Hours demonstrates 20 hours of fieldwork completed, with a teacher-mentor or supervisor signature.</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Not Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Failure to submit documentation of successful completion of your fieldwork in a timely manner will make you ineligible to register for coursework, be recommended for licensure, or receive a grade for this course.
• Assignments and/or Examinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment Description</th>
<th>Grade %</th>
<th>Standards Addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Experience</td>
<td>S/U</td>
<td>Program Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Reflective Journal</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Proposition 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essay in Conflict Analysis</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Proposition 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document/Resource Analysis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Proposition 4, Proposition 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PBA Co-Teaching/Demonstration Episode</strong></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Proposition 4, Proposition 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed Participation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Proposition 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Critical Reflective Journal (20 points) - participants will maintain a reflective journal throughout the course. This journal process is designed to help participants develop a frame of reference for consulting and collaboration as a worldview in teaching. Systematic and regular journaling will be used to provide evidence of growth as a reflective educator.

2. Essay in Conflict Analysis (20 points) – this essay will be designed around the major points of conflict and strategies to address them. Identify one specific conflict that you have had to manage in the past. List the sequence of events and make specific text connections to support your reflection about what happened and why. Which aspects of the conflict were easiest/hardest to resolve and why?

3. Document/Resource Analysis (15 points) – focusing on a specific school setting (one in which you are employed or wish to be employed) construct a resource list with names, titles, contact information and areas of expertise for individuals who might serve as consultants in your classroom.

4. PBA Co-Teaching/Demonstration Episode (30 points) – the performance based assessment for this class is multilayered and will involve a minimum of two individuals. Paired participants will design a co-teaching episode, submit lesson plans outlining the shared responsibilities and conduct a micro-teaching demonstration in class. Further, each participant will evaluate the contribution of their peer and the overall demonstration will be evaluated by the instructor (see detailed instructions and rubric attached).

• Other Requirements

Informed Participation (15 points): This class is based upon informed participation. Students are expected to come to class prepared to discuss the assigned content using examples from the text or other readings to support classroom experiences and knowledge about collaboration and consulting in professional learning communities. While your current knowledge as a teacher is important to your understandings, finding evidence and research-based support is critical to expanding your knowledge as a practitioner. Further, students are expected to actively participate in in-class activities, be supportive of their classmates and conduct themselves in a professional manner throughout the program. Finally, students are expected to arrive to class on time and be mindful of breaks and departure times from class. Any departure from the above expectations will result in a deduction of points in the participation grade – simply ‘being’ in class is insufficient to obtain full participation points.
• Grading
At George Mason University course work is measured in terms of quantity and quality. A credit normally represents one hour per week of lecture or recitation or not fewer than two hours per week of laboratory work throughout a semester. The number of credits is a measure of quantity. The grade is a measure of quality. The university-wide system for grading graduate courses is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>GRADING</th>
<th>Grade Points</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>=100</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>Represents mastery of the subject through effort beyond basic requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>94-99</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90-93</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>85-89</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>Reflects an understanding of and the ability to apply theories and principles at a basic level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>80-84</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C*</td>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Denotes an unacceptable level of understanding and application of the basic elements of the course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F*</td>
<td>&lt;69</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “C” is not satisfactory for a licensure course; “F” does not meet requirements of the Graduate School of Education

See the University Catalog for details: http://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/academic/grading/

Honor Code & Integrity of Work

Integrity of Work: TCLDEL students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code (https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/). The principle of academic integrity is taken very seriously and violations are treated as such.

Violations of the Honor Code include:
1. Copying a paper or part of a paper from another student (current or past);
2. Reusing work that you have already submitted for another class (unless express permission has been granted by your current professor before you submit the work);
3. Copying the words of an author from a textbook or any printed source (including the Internet) or closely paraphrasing without providing a citation to credit the author. For examples of what should be cited, please refer to: https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/589/02/
4. You may also not “reuse” fieldwork hours. Each placement must have 20 documented hours that are solely for each course that you are in; you may be at the same site, but the same hours may not be counted towards the same course.

Late Work Policy
At the graduate level all work is expected to be of high quality and submitted on the dates due. Work submitted late will be reduced one letter grade for every day of delay. Because we live in uncertain times, if you have any extraordinary circumstances (think flood, earthquake, evacuation) that prevent you from submitting your work in a timely manner, it is your responsibility to contact the instructor as soon as possible after the circumstances occur and make arrangements to complete your work. It is up to the discretion of the instructor to approve the late/makeup work.
Course Withdrawal with Dean Approval
For graduate and non-degree students, withdrawal after the last day for dropping a course requires approval by the student's academic dean, and is permitted only for nonacademic reasons that prevent course completion (Mason catalog). Students must contact an academic advisor in APTDIE to withdraw after the deadline. There is no guarantee that such withdraws will be permitted.

Online Participation/Attendance Policy
Students are expected to participate in all online discussions. Not participating in an online discussion module will be reflected with a zero for the week and as an absence. Students with two or more absences will not receive credit for the course.

Incomplete (IN)
This grade may be given to students who are in good standing, but who may be unable to complete scheduled course work for a cause beyond reasonable control. The student must then complete all the requirements by the end of the ninth week of the next semester, not including summer term, and the instructor must turn in the final grade by the end of the 9th week. Unless an explicit written extension is filed with the Registrar's Office by the faculty deadline, the grade of IN is changed by the registrar to an F (Mason catalog). Faculty may grant an incomplete with a contract developed by the student with a reasonable time to complete the course at the discretion of the faculty member. The faculty member does not need to allow up to the following semester for the student to complete the course. A copy of the contract will be kept on file in the APTDIE office.
### Proposed Class Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Readings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Week 2**<br>Jan. 29 | Building Collaborative Classrooms  <br>Worldviews of Teaching: Roles & Responsibilities | - Friend & Cook (2012), Chapter 1  
| **Week 3**<br>Feb. 5 | Intercultural Competency for Teaching in Culturally Responsive Classrooms | - Friend & Cook (2012), Chapter 2  
| **Week 4**<br>Feb. 12 | Developing positive environments for collaboration  <br>Learning the Skills of Collaboration & Consulting | - Friend & Cook (2012), Chapter 3  
<p>| <strong>Week 5</strong>&lt;br&gt;Feb. 19  | Building on our Strengths  &lt;br&gt;<em>ONLINE Session</em> | - Complete the Gallup StrengthsFinder assessment and review your results |
| <strong>Week 6</strong>&lt;br&gt;Feb. 26 | Analyzing our Strengths  &lt;br&gt;Conflict Management: Negotiation &amp; Persuasion | - Friend &amp; Cook (2012), Chapter 5 &amp; 9 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Assignments Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Week 7     | Meeting Student Needs Through Co-Teaching & Teaming       | • Friend & Cook (2012), Chapter 6 & 7  
• The Effectiveness of Co-Teaching Models: A Review of the Literature (2012). The Hanover Report, hanoverresearch.com  
| March 5    |                                                             | No Class March 12: GMU SPRING BREAK                                                                                                                                   |                 |
| Week 8     | Models of Collaboration and Consulting in Diverse Classrooms: Guest expert* | • Readings provided by our guest speaker                                                                                                                                                                  |                 |
| March 19   |                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| Week 9     | Work form a desired location: Document Resource Analysis   | • Friend & Cook (2012), Chapter 4  
Review other class readings as you finalize your document/resource analysis                                                                                                                              |                 |
| March 26   |                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| *ONLINE Session |                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| Week 10    | Meeting Student Needs Through Response to Intervention     | • Response to Intervention Resources for Teachers: http://www.specialeducationguide.com/pre-k-12/response-to-intervention/effective-rti-strategies-for-teachers/  
• Response to Intervention Archive of Articles/Disciplines: http://www.readingrockets.org/atoz/1145/all                                                                                   | Document/Resource Analysis Due |
| April 2    | Evaluation and Follow Up: Principles & Techniques          |                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| Week 11    | Work form a desired location: Conflict analysis preparation | Review class readings as you finalize your conflict analysis                                                                                                                                              |                 |
| April 9    |                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| *ONLINE Session |                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| Week 12    | Meeting Student Needs Through Collaborative Consulting     | • Friend & Cook (2012), Chapter 8  
<p>| April 16   | Problem Identification: Assessment &amp; Goal Setting          |                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Assignments Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 13</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 23</td>
<td><em>ONLINE Session</em></td>
<td><em>Conferences w/Instructor (as needed) &amp; Time for collaboration &amp; consulting</em></td>
<td>Post a reflection to the Db</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Review class readings as you work on your co-teaching demonstrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 14</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 30</td>
<td>Co-Teaching Demonstrations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Co-Teaching PBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Course Evaluations &amp; Feedback</td>
<td></td>
<td>Critical Reflective Journal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All assignments/resubmissions due by May 7*

Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.
Performance Based Assessment: Co-Teaching Episode Description & Rubric

The Performance Based Assessment for this course is a collaborative Co-Teaching / Demonstration Episode (30 points). Working in teamed pairs (e.g. ESL & FL, ESL & Elementary, SPED & Elementary…) each team will prepare a teaching demonstration that reflects a model of collaboration (e.g., parallel, station, alternative, team). Each team will give a demonstration of their collaborative teaching plan to the class. Each team will provide an evaluation of the contribution of each member of the team to the overall plans and demonstration. For the purposes of the PBA, each member of the team will upload the detailed lesson plans to TK20. Lesson plans will be scored on TK20 in the first four areas. The remaining scores will come from the demonstration.

Each team will prepare:

a) **Detailed lesson plans**: Plans should address specific objective(s) for the lesson, phases of instruction, what each teacher will be doing at each phase (e.g. work agreement), accommodations for specific students, and evaluation of co-teaching. Documentation of student outcomes related to instructional objectives including the types of student work to be included.

b) **Reflection** on the contribution made to the co-teaching demonstration by each member. Your independent written reflection should answer each of the questions below providing two or three specific examples or occurrences in your team that come to mind:

- What specific examples or occurrences did you have that demonstrates joint work on connecting or integrating ideas, strategies, or skills from sessions offered during this class?
- What specific examples or occurrences did you have with your teammates that show joint/shared contributions to the planning and demonstration presentation?
- What specific examples or occurrences did you have with your teammates that show joint/shared contribution to the development of resources to the planning and demonstration presentation?
- What specific examples or occurrences did you have with your teammates that show joint/shared contribution to the development of assessment of potential student outcomes to the planning and demonstration presentation?

Evaluating your contribution and that of your teammate, rate the experience as to the level and quality of the contribution by each of you:

- 4 = we jointly shared all preparation and demonstration planning and implementation
- 3 = we shared some planning and preparation but did most of our work separately and only came together for the demonstration.
- 2 = we each made some contribution to planning and preparation but (I/colleague) did the majority of the work for the demonstration.
- 1 = we divided the assignment and came together only for the purposes of the demonstration.
- 0 = this team did not work together at all, it was a mess.
### Rubric for Co-Teaching/Demonstration Episode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Does Not Meet Standards (0/1)</th>
<th>Beginning to meet standards (2)</th>
<th>Meets standards (3)</th>
<th>Exceeds Standards (4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative planning is modeled by the team of educators focused on SOL grade level content standards</td>
<td>Lesson plan does not have sufficient evidence to determine it was jointly planned around grade level standard(s)</td>
<td>Lesson plan provides some evidence of joint planning but one team member appears to have taken the lead.</td>
<td>Lesson plan provides evidence of joint contributions reflecting the expertise of each team member</td>
<td>Lesson plan clearly identifies equal and integrated contributions by team members and reflects content expertise and teaching strengths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Development</td>
<td>No evidence in planning that indicates an equal distribution of resource development (e.g. handouts, hands on activities…)</td>
<td>Some evidence in planning that resource ideas were generally shared but one member appears to have taken the lead.</td>
<td>Lesson plan provides evidence of joint resource development with contributions reflecting the expertise of each team member.</td>
<td>Lesson plan clearly identifies equal and integrated resource development by team members and reflects content expertise and teaching strengths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>Instruction is divided and appears to be unconnected to the learning goals. Both team members appear to be lead and it is disruptive to the flow of the lesson.</td>
<td>Instruction appears to be a ‘trade off’ with little flow or accomplishment of the goals of the lesson. One team member appears to be the lead.</td>
<td>Instruction appears to be equally shared but timing and pacing are impeding the flow of the lesson and accomplishing the goals.</td>
<td>Instruction is equally shared, pacing and timing are engaging and there appears to be no ‘lead’ teacher as the goals are accomplished.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Assessment
Teachers plan and model pre/post-assessment of student learning and use the information to plan, implement and adjust future instruction. Both teachers are actively engaged in delivering content and assessing student learning.

- No attempt is made to use assessment during the demonstration.
- Teachers provide a discussion of assessment practices but do not engage students nor use it to modify instruction.
- Teachers conduct a pre-assessment of student learning however they do not actively use it to differentiate or guide instruction.
- Teachers are actively engaged in assessment student learning and instruction. Pre-assessment of student learning is used to differentiate and guide instruction.

### Engagement
Teachers model the use of a variety of instructional materials/methods to engage students and provide options for the students to demonstrate mastery of the content.

- Limited or no variety of instructional materials are used; one of the team appears to use all materials for the lesson demonstration.
- Some variety of instructional materials are used jointly during the demonstration however only one member of the team uses the material.
- Multiple options are provided to address different learner needs. Both teachers engage students in an equitable manner.
- Targeted materials are used with specific students to engage and allow students to demonstrate mastery of the content; both teachers are highly engaged with the demonstration.

### Joint Involvement
Both teachers share the delivery and have equally active roles in leading the class. Both teachers are actively engaged in the delivery of core instruction.

- There is no attempt to share or balance instruction; at least one team member takes over the demonstration.
- There is an unbalanced approach to the teaching demonstration with minimal engagement during delivery.
- There is some balance between the team during the demonstration, both members display their expertise.
- Both teachers share equally in the demonstration lesson, providing evidence of their expertise and skills relevant to their teaching assignments.
Professional Dispositions
See https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/undergraduate#profdisp

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

• Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/).

• Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).

• Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.

• Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see http://ods.gmu.edu/).

• Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

• Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/.

• For information on student support resources on campus, see https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/.