# George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Education Leadership Program

EDLE 614.DL1– Managing Financial and Human Resources 3 Credits, Spring 2018 (1/22/2018 – 5/05/2018) Online

#### Faculty

| Name:            | Roberto Pamas, Ed.D.                                                        |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Office Hours:    | By appointment via Skype at drpamas. I am also available by email or phone. |
| Office Location: | Thompson Hall, Suite 1301 – Fairfax Campus                                  |
| Office Phone:    | 703-993-2033                                                                |
| Email Address:   | rpamas@gmu.edu                                                              |

#### **Prerequisites/Corequisites**

EDLE 620, EDLE 690, and EDLE 791.

#### **University Catalog Course Description**

Explores basic functions in financial and human resource management. Examines legalities, ethics, and politics of resource procurement and allocation. Provides experiences to help students better understand tasks typically performed by school leaders.

## **Course Overview**

The course provides authentic experiences that help students to achieve a deeper understanding of the tasks typically performed by school leaders. Students are expected to participate actively in hands-on, real world-based activities, applying what they read and learn in class.

#### **Course Delivery Method**

This course will be delivered online (100%) using an asynchronous (not "real time") format via Blackboard Learning Management system (LMS) housed in the MyMason portal. You will log in to the Blackboard (Bb) course site using your Mason email name (everything before @masonlive.gmu.edu) and email password. The course site will be available on Monday, January 22, 2018.

Under no circumstances, may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

## Technical Requirements

To participate in this course, students will need to satisfy the following technical requirements:

- High-speed Internet access with a standard up-to-date browser, either Internet Explorer or Mozilla Firefox is required (note: Opera and Safari are not compatible with Blackboard).
- Students must maintain consistent and reliable access to their GMU email and Blackboard, as these are the official methods of communication for this course.
- Students may be asked to create logins and passwords on supplemental websites and/or to download trial software to their computer or tablet as part of course requirements.
- The following software plug-ins for PCs and Macs, respectively, are available for free download:
  - Adobe Acrobat Reader: <u>https://get.adobe.com/reader/</u>
  - Windows Media Player: <u>https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/help/14209/get-windows-media-player</u>
  - Apple Quick Time Player: <u>www.apple.com/quicktime/download/</u>

## Expectations

• <u>Course Week:</u>

# Because asynchronous courses do not have a "fixed" meeting day, our week will start on Wednesday, and finish on Tuesday at 11:59 pm.

Our course week will begin on the day that our synchronous meetings take place as indicated on the Schedule of Classes, January 22, 2018.

• Log-in Frequency:

Students must actively check the course Blackboard site and their GMU email for communications from the instructor, class discussions, and/or access to course materials at least 3 times per week. In addition, students must log-in for all scheduled online synchronous meetings.

• <u>Participation:</u>

Students are expected to actively engage in all course activities throughout the semester, which includes viewing all course materials, completing course activities and assignments, and participating in course discussions and group interactions.

# • <u>Technical Competence:</u> Students are expected to demonstrate competence in the use of all course technology. Students who are struggling with technical components of the course are expected to seek assistance from the instructor and/or College or University technical services.

# • <u>Technical Issues:</u>

Students should anticipate some technical difficulties during the semester and should, therefore, budget their time accordingly. Late work will not be accepted based on individual technical issues.

• <u>Workload:</u>

Please be aware that this course is **not** self-paced. Students are expected to meet *specific deadlines* and *due dates* listed in the **Class Schedule** section of this syllabus. It is the

student's responsibility to keep track of the weekly course schedule of topics, readings, activities and assignments due.

• Instructor Support:

Students may schedule a one-on-one meeting to discuss course requirements, content or other course-related issues. Those unable to come to a Mason campus can meet with the instructor via telephone or web conference. Students should email the instructor to schedule a one-on-one session, including their preferred meeting method and suggested dates/times.

• <u>Netiquette:</u>

The course environment is a collaborative space. Experience shows that even an innocent remark typed in the online environment can be misconstrued. Students must always re-read their responses carefully before posting them, so as others do not consider them as personal offenses. *Be positive in your approach with others and diplomatic in selecting your words*. Remember that you are not competing with classmates, but sharing information and learning from others. All faculty are similarly expected to be respectful in all communications.

• Accommodations:

Online learners who require effective accommodations to insure accessibility must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services.

## Learner Outcomes or Objectives

This course is designed to enable students to do the following:

- 1. apply major concepts related to financial and human resource allocation and management;
- 2. use a site allocation to build a budget that supports school mission and goals (required performance);
- 3. develop a staffing plan that is consistent with site goals and district guidelines (required performance);
- 4. evaluate the effectiveness of simulated teacher interviews that are consistent with legal guidelines;
- 5. experience their efforts to mediate disputes;
- 6. construct a persuasive grant proposal to support school improvement;
- 7. use technology for learning and administrative purposes; and
- 8. participate in reflective practice.

## **Professional Standards (National Standards and Virginia Competencies)**

Upon completion of this course, students will have met the following professional standards:

ELCC Standard 1.0: A building-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by collaboratively facilitating the development, articulation, implementation, and stewardship of a shared school vision of learning through the collection and use of data to identify school goals, assess organizational effectiveness, and implement school plans to achieve school

goals; promotion of continual and sustainable school improvement; and evaluation of school progress and revision of school plans supported by school-based stakeholders.

1.1 Candidates understand and can collaboratively develop, articulate, implement, and steward a shared vision of learning for a school.

1.2 Candidates understand and can collect and use data to identify school goals, assess organizational effectiveness, and implement plans to achieve school goals.

ELCC Standard 2.0: A building-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by sustaining a school culture and instructional program conducive to student learning through collaboration, trust, and a personalized learning environment with high expectations for students; creating and evaluating a comprehensive, rigorous and coherent curricular and instructional school program; developing and supervising the instructional and leadership capacity of school staff; and promoting the most effective and appropriate technologies to support teaching and learning within a school environment.

2.1 Candidates understand and can sustain a school culture and instructional program conducive to student learning through collaboration, trust, and a personalized learning environment with high expectations for students.

ELCC Standard 3.0: A building-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by ensuring the management of the school organization, operation, and resources through monitoring and evaluating the school management and operational systems; efficiently using human, fiscal, and technological resources in a school environment; promoting and protecting the welfare and safety of school students and staff; developing school capacity for distributed leadership; and ensuring that teacher and organizational time is focused to support high-quality instruction and student learning.

3.1 Candidates understand and can monitor and evaluate school management and operational systems.

3.2 Candidates understand and can efficiently use human, fiscal, and technological resources to manage school operations.

ELCC Standard 5.0: A building-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by acting with integrity, fairness, and in an ethical manner to ensure a school system of accountability for every student's academic and social success by modeling school principles of self-awareness, reflective practice, transparency, and ethical behavior as related to their roles within the school; safeguarding the values of democracy, equity, and diversity within the school; evaluating the potential moral and legal consequences of decision making in the school; and promoting social justice within the school to ensure that individual student needs inform all aspects of schooling.

5.1 Candidates understand and can act with integrity and fairness to ensure a school system of accountability for every student's academic and social success.

5.5 Candidates understand and can promote social justice within the school to ensure that individual student needs inform all aspects of schooling.

Standard 6.0: A building-level education leader applies knowledge that promotes the success of every student by understanding, responding to, and influencing the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context through advocating for school students, families, and caregivers; acting to influence local, district, state, and national decisions affecting student learning in a school environment; and anticipating and assessing emerging trends and initiatives in order to adapt school- based leadership strategies.

6.3 Candidates understand and can anticipate and assess emerging trends and initiatives in order to adapt school-based leadership strategies.

This course addresses the following VDOE Competencies:

b. Knowledge, understanding and application of systems and organizations, including
(7) Effective communication skills including consensus building, negotiation, and mediation skills.
c. Knowledge understanding and application of management and leadership skills that achieve effective and efficient organizational operations, including

(3) Management decisions that ensure successful teaching and learning including, human resources management and development, theories of motivation, change in school culture, innovation and creativity, conflict resolution, adult learning and professional development models;

(4) Principles and issues related to fiscal operations of school management; and

(7) Technologies that support management functions.

# **Required Texts**

Schilling, Craig A. & Tomal, Daniel R. (2013). *Resource Management for School Administrators*. Lanham, Maryland: Rowman & Littlefield Education.

# **Course Performance Evaluation**

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy).

Submission of Work: All assignments must be submitted on time, meaning no later than by midnight of the due date, electronically via Blackboard and/or Tk20. Late assignments will not be accepted except in emergency situations that have been discussed and approved by the instructor in advance of the due date. Please take advantage of instructor office hours and availability to get assistance prior to assignment deadlines.

Consistent with expectations of a Master's level course in the Education Leadership program, grading is based heavily on student performance on written assignments and project based learning activities. The assignments constructed for this course reflect a mix of skills associated with the application of school budget and staffing practices as it pertains to the national, state, and local practices. Overall, written work will be assessed using the following broad criteria:

1. Application of concepts embedded in assigned readings and other materials and reinforced in classroom activities.

2. The quality of analysis, synthesis, and application. Template Revision Date: 11/14/16

3. The ability to write in a clear, concise, and organized fashion.

Additionally, a significant portion of the class grade will be based on participation in various individual and group activities. The overall weights of the various performances are as follows:

#### • Assignments and/or Examinations

Students can earn a total **500 points** in this course. Here is a quick overview of the breakdown of possible points through assignments (60%), participation and reflection (40%).

#### Assignments (300 points)

The four graded assignments required for this course are as follows: The Budget Interview (50 points) The Budget Allocation Proposal (100 points) The Staffing Allocation Proposal (100 points) The Grant Proposal (50 points)

Assignment descriptions and rubrics are available on the course site and at the end of the syllabus.

#### • Other Requirements

#### Participation and Reflection Requirements (200 points)

To maximize learning and engagement in the online environment, students are expected to participate actively in class discussions, group activities, and serve as critical friends to other students. In this course, participation points are given by unit, rather than per learning activity. The participation rubric and related activities can be found on our course site and in the syllabus.

Below is a list of all required learning activities, by unit, which will count toward your overall participation grade.

Orientation (5 points)

- Introductory Journal

Unit 1 (75 points)

- Individual and Group Exercises
- Class discussion and Reflection
- Unit 2 (75 points)
- Individual and Group Exercises
- Class discussion and Reflection

Unit 3 (45 points)

- Individual and Group Exercises
- Class discussion and Reflection

#### • Grading

| A+ | = | 500 points       |
|----|---|------------------|
| А  | = | 475 - 499        |
| A- | = | 450 - 474        |
| B+ | = | 435 - 449        |
| В  | = | 415 - 434        |
| B- | = | 400 - 414        |
| С  | = | 375 - 399        |
| F  | = | Below 375 points |

#### **Professional Dispositions**

See https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/

#### **Core Values Commitment**

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/</u>.

#### **GMU Policies and Resources for Students**

#### Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see <a href="https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/">https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/</a>).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see <a href="http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/">http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/</a>).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the

time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see <u>http://ods.gmu.edu/</u>).

• Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

#### Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to <u>tk20help@gmu.edu</u> or <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20</u>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <u>http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/</u>.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see <a href="https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus">https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus</a>

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/students</u>.

# EDLE 614.DL1 Weekly Course Schedule (Spring 2018)

Please Note: To accommodate the learning needs of the class, the topics and reading schedule may be amended during the semester. Any changes will be communicated via email or Blackboard.

| WEEK | DATE            | UNIT | LESSON                                                                     | ACTIVITIES/READINGS/<br>ASSIGNMENTS                                                               |
|------|-----------------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | Jan 22 – Jan 30 | 1    | Course Overview; Lesson<br>1: Historical Perspectives<br>of School Finance | Course Syllabus<br>Read Chapter 1, pages 1-32                                                     |
| 2    | Jan 31 – Feb 06 | 1    | Lesson 1: Historical<br>Perspectives of School<br>Finance (continued)      | Begin to collect necessary data for<br>Budget and Staffing Allocation<br>Proposals                |
| 3    | Feb 07 - 13     | 1    | Lesson 2: Financial<br>Planning                                            | Read Chapter 2, pages 37-52<br>Administrator Interview                                            |
| 4    | Feb 14 - 20     | 1    | Lesson 3: Sources of<br>Revenue                                            | Read Chapter 2, pages 52-63<br>Case Study #1 on page 64                                           |
| 5    | Feb 21 – 27     | 1    | Lesson 4: Accounting and<br>Accountability                                 | Read Chapter 3 and Articles<br>Case Study<br>Assignment Due (02-27): Budget<br>Interview (50 pts) |
| 6    | Feb 28 – Mar 06 | 1    | Lesson 5: Managing<br>Financial Resources                                  | Read Chapter 4<br>Group Activity<br>Case Study                                                    |
| 7    | Mar 07 - 13     | 2    | Lesson 1: Workforce<br>Planning                                            | Read Chapter 5, pages 129-131                                                                     |
| 8    | Mar 14 - 20     | 2    | Lesson 2: Acquiring Talent                                                 | Write your resume                                                                                 |
| 9    | Mar 21 - 27     | 2    | Lesson 3: Managing<br>Resources for Performance<br>and Productivity        | Read Chapter 4, pages 99-124<br>Case Study on page 120<br>Group Activity                          |
| 10   | Mar 28 – Apr 03 |      | Spring Break                                                               |                                                                                                   |
| 11   | Apr 04 – Apr 10 | 2    | Lesson 4: Supervision                                                      | Assignment Due (04-10): Budget<br>Allocation Proposal (100 pts)                                   |
| 12   | Apr 11 - 17     | 2    | Lesson 5: Personnel and<br>Legal Issues                                    | Read Articles & Case Study<br>Assignment Due (04-17): Staffing<br>Allocation Proposal (100 pts)   |
| 13   | Apr 18 - 24     | 3    | Lesson 1: Facilities<br>Management                                         | <i>Interview</i><br>Group activity                                                                |
| 14   | Apr 25 – May 01 | 3    | Lesson 2: Master<br>Scheduling                                             | Read Articles<br>Assignment Due (05-01): Grant<br>Proposal/Application (50 pts)                   |
| 15   | May 02 – May 05 | 3    | Lesson 3: Auxiliary<br>Services                                            | Read Chapter 7, pages 181-196<br>Case Study on page 196                                           |

#### WRITING ASSIGNMENT THE BUDGET ALLOCATION PROPOSAL (Required Performance) 100 Points

#### **Rationale**

Budget allocations must be prepared in a fashion that is both comprehensive and clearly understood by school personnel, central office administrators, and parents. The primary goal of this assignment is to help students learn how to take a site budget dollar amount; allocate it among needs at the school site consistent with the school's vision, mission, and goals; and present the allocation in a brief verbal statement, in detail using numbers. An additional important goal is to learn how to present and discuss a budget with others who need to be persuaded as to the budget's merits.

All students will work with a school level of their choosing, an elementary, middle, or a high school budget in order to experience concerns at that level. It is recommended that students work with a level outside of their comfort area to diversify their experiences.

#### **Product**

Each student will submit a budget allocation proposal based on the selected level. Budget allocations are to be made based on the unique needs of each school. Budgets must be consistent with an explicitly stated vision, mission, and/or goals and should be used to address achievement deficiencies anticipated based on the given scenarios.

Each student is required to submit four written pieces for this assignment:

- 1. For each school, a brief, verbal overview of the budget that includes
  - a statement of vision, mission, and/or goals that guide the budget making process;
  - a description of how the budget was developed and who will be responsible for what during its implementation;
  - a clear rationale for the allocation of funds; and
  - an explanation of why the budget makes sense in the more general budget context.

The paper must be written in an acceptable format.

2. For each school, a spreadsheet that shows the dollar amount allocated to each relevant budget category and the fact that the budget balances.

# **Budget Allocation Assessment Rubric**

|                                                                                                                                                                       | Exceeds Expectations<br>4                                                                                                                                                                                     | Meets Expectations<br>3                                                                                                                              | Approaching<br>Expectations<br>2                                                                                                    | Falls Below<br>Expectations<br>1                                                                                                                      |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ELCC 1.1<br>Candidates<br>demonstrate the<br>ability to develop,<br>articulate, and<br>steward a vision<br>(10%)                                                      | The proposal specifies<br>the participatory role of<br>school stakeholders in<br>helping to achieve the<br>school vision through<br>their active development<br>and implementation of<br>the budget.          | The proposal<br>explains how the<br>budget was<br>developed so that<br>stakeholders will<br>understand its<br>rationale.                             | The proposal is vague<br>with respect to<br>rationale and/or<br>stakeholder<br>participation.                                       | The proposal is<br>silent on the<br>issues of rationale<br>and stakeholder<br>participation.                                                          |
| ELCC 3.2<br>Candidates<br>demonstrate the<br>ability to use of<br>human, fiscal, and<br>technological<br>resources<br>efficiently<br>(15%)                            | The proposal<br>communicates a clear,<br>persuasive, and<br>comprehensive<br>explanation for why this<br>particular allocation of<br>resources has a high<br>probability of improving<br>student achievement. | The proposal<br>communicates a<br>clear explanation for<br>why this resource<br>allocation will help<br>improve student<br>achievement.              | The explanation in the<br>proposal is not<br>entirely clear and the<br>connection to student<br>achievement is<br>ambiguous.        | No connection<br>between the<br>budget and<br>student<br>achievement is in<br>evidence.                                                               |
| ELCC 3.1<br>Candidates<br>demonstrate the<br>ability to monitor<br>and evaluate<br>school<br>management and<br>operational<br>systems (15%)                           | The proposal provides a<br>persuasive plan of<br>action for efficient and<br>effective allocation of<br>resources focused on<br>school improvement.                                                           | The proposal<br>provides a clear plan<br>of action for<br>efficient and<br>effective allocation<br>of resources focused<br>on school<br>improvement. | The proposal leaves<br>open questions of<br>effectiveness and/or<br>efficiency, but intent<br>is communicated.                      | The proposal does<br>not communicate<br>how criteria of<br>efficiency and<br>effectiveness are<br>met.                                                |
| ELCC 6.3<br>Candidates<br>demonstrate the<br>ability to<br>understand,<br>anticipate, and<br>assess emerging<br>trends (10%)                                          | The proposal provides<br>clear and persuasive<br>analysis of emerging<br>trends and how they are<br>addressed in the budget.                                                                                  | The proposal<br>provides some<br>discussion about<br>how the budget<br>addresses emerging<br>trends.                                                 | The proposal mentions<br>one or two contextual<br>factors, but does not<br>correlate them with<br>the development of<br>the budget. | The proposal fails<br>to mention<br>anything about<br>how the budget<br>addresses<br>emerging trends.                                                 |
| ELCC 1.2<br>Candidates<br>demonstrate the<br>ability to<br>understand, collect,<br>and use data to<br>identify and assess<br>organizational<br>effectiveness<br>(10%) | The proposal clearly and<br>persuasively<br>demonstrates how the<br>budget will help the<br>school to achieve its<br>strategic and tactical<br>goals.                                                         | The proposal<br>presents a budget<br>that logically<br>follows from the<br>school's strategic<br>and tactical goals.                                 | It is unclear how the<br>budget presented in<br>the proposal supports<br>the school's strategic<br>and tactical goals.              | There are no<br>apparent<br>connections<br>between the<br>school's strategic<br>and tactical goals<br>and the budget<br>presented in the<br>proposal. |

| ELCC 5.1<br>Candidates<br>demonstrate the<br>ability to act with<br>integrity and<br>fairness to ensure<br>students' success<br>(15%)                                              | The proposal<br>demonstrates equitable<br>decision making that is<br>clearly consistent with<br>students' priority needs.                                                                                                                                               | The proposal<br>demonstrates<br>equitable decision<br>making, however<br>selected allocations<br>appear inconsistent<br>with students'<br>priority needs.                                         | The proposal<br>demonstrates limited<br>evidence of equity in<br>decision making<br>and/or the allocations<br>are inconsistent with<br>students' priority<br>needs.                                                           | The proposal is<br>confusing and/or<br>is not consistent<br>with the cover<br>memo.                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ELCC 5.5<br>Candidates<br>demonstrate the<br>ability to<br>understand and<br>promote social<br>justice (15%)                                                                       | The proposal clearly and<br>persuasively<br>demonstrates how<br>resources are allocated<br>to special needs students<br>in a manner that<br>addresses their<br>particular teaching and<br>learning challenges<br>within district<br>guidelines and legal<br>boundaries. | The proposal<br>acknowledges the<br>importance of<br>addressing the<br>special needs of<br>specific student<br>populations, but<br>may not be entirely<br>persuasive with<br>respect to adequacy. | Special needs students<br>are represented in the<br>proposal, but resource<br>allocation appears<br>inadequate. There may<br>also be some<br>indication of violation<br>of district procedures<br>and/or legal<br>boundaries. | Special needs<br>students are not<br>represented in the<br>proposal or are<br>inadequately<br>addressed. |
| Mechanics and<br>Accuracy (10%)<br>Students use<br>standard English<br>and avoid grammar<br>and punctuation<br>errors. All data is<br>accurately and<br>consistently<br>presented. | The assignment is <b>free</b> of errors—both verbal and numerical.                                                                                                                                                                                                      | The assignment has a few errors.                                                                                                                                                                  | The assignment has some errors.                                                                                                                                                                                               | The assignment<br>has numerous<br>errors.                                                                |

## WRITING ASSIGNMENT— THE STAFFING ALLOCATION (Required Performance) 100 Points

## **Rationale**

This assignment is very similar in format and requirements to the budget allocation assignment. The reason for this is that the needs are essentially the same—to present somewhat complicated data in a fashion that others can easily grasp.

Staffing allocations must be prepared in a fashion that is both comprehensive and clearly understood by various constituencies. The primary goal of this assignment is to help students learn how to take a site staffing allotment; allocate it among needs at the school site consistent with the school's vision, mission, and goals; and present the school staffing in a brief verbal statement, in detail, using numbers. An additional important goal is to learn how to present and discuss a staffing allocation with others who need to be persuaded as to its merits.

All students will work to create an elementary, middle, or a high school staffing allocation in order to experience concerns at that level. It is recommended for students to select a level different from their comfort area.

## **Product**

Each student will submit a staffing allocation with an overview of the demographic of the school. Staffing allocations are to be made based on the unique needs of each school. Staffing must be consistent with an explicitly stated vision, mission, and/or goals and should be used to address achievement deficiencies anticipated based on the given scenarios.

Each student is required to submit four written pieces for this assignment:

- 1. For each school, a brief, verbal overview of the staffing allocation in a paper that includes
  - a statement of vision, mission, and/or goals that guide the staffing allocation process;
  - a description of how the staffing was developed and who will be responsible for what during its implementation;
  - a clear rationale for the allocation of staff; and
  - an explanation of why the staffing makes sense in the more general budget/resources context.

The paper must be written in an acceptable format.

2. For each school, a spreadsheet that shows a detailed staffing allocation and the fact that the allocation does not exceed the district allotment.

# **Staffing Allocation Assessment Rubric**

|                                                                                                                                                                         | Exceeds Expectations<br>4                                                                                                                                                                                            | Meets Expectations<br>3                                                                                                                                   | Approaching<br>Expectations<br>2                                                                                                                                                        | Falls Below<br>Expectations<br>1                                                                                                                   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ELCC 1.1<br>Candidates demonstrate<br>the ability to develop,<br>articulate, and steward a<br>vision (10%)                                                              | The proposal specifies<br>the participatory role<br>of school stakeholders<br>in helping to achieve<br>the school vision<br>through their active<br>development and<br>implementation of the<br>staffing allocation. | The proposal<br>explains how the<br>staffing allocation<br>was developed so<br>that stakeholders<br>will understand its<br>rationale.                     | The proposal is<br>vague with respect to<br>rationale and/or<br>stakeholder<br>participation.                                                                                           | The proposal is<br>silent on the<br>issues of rationale<br>and stakeholder<br>participation.                                                       |
| ELCC 2.1<br>Candidates demonstrate<br>the ability to collaborate,<br>build trust and a<br>personalized learning<br>environment with high<br>expectations<br>(10%)       | The proposal<br>communicates a clear,<br>persuasive, and<br>comprehensive<br>explanation for why<br>this particular staffing<br>allocation has a high<br>probability of<br>improving student<br>achievement.         | The proposal<br>communicates a<br>clear explanation for<br>why this staffing<br>allocation will help<br>improve student<br>achievement.                   | The explanation in<br>the proposal is not<br>entirely clear and the<br>connection to student<br>achievement is<br>ambiguous.                                                            | No connection<br>between the<br>staffing allocation<br>and student<br>achievement is<br>evident.                                                   |
| ELCC 6.3<br>Candidates demonstrate<br>the ability to understand,<br>anticipate, and assess<br>emerging trends (10%)                                                     | The proposal provides<br>clear and persuasive<br>analysis of emerging<br>trends and how they<br>are addressed in the<br>staffing allocation.                                                                         | The proposal<br>provides some<br>discussion about<br>how the staffing<br>allocation addresses<br>emerging trends.                                         | The proposal<br>mentions one or two<br>contextual factors,<br>but does not correlate<br>them with the<br>development of the<br>staffing allocation.                                     | The proposal fails<br>to mention<br>anything about<br>how the staffing<br>allocation<br>addresses<br>emerging trends.                              |
| ELCC 3.1<br>Candidates demonstrate<br>the ability to monitor and<br>evaluate school<br>management and<br>operational systems<br>(15%)                                   | The proposal provides<br>clear and compelling<br>evidence of the<br>candidate's ability to<br>monitor and evaluate<br>school management<br>and operations                                                            | The proposal<br>provides some<br>evidence of the<br>candidate's ability to<br>monitor and evaluate<br>school management<br>and operations                 | The proposal is<br>vague or unclear<br>about the candidate's<br>ability to monitor and<br>evaluate school<br>management and<br>operations                                               | The proposal<br>does not contain<br>evidence of the<br>candidate's<br>ability to monitor<br>and evaluate<br>school<br>management and<br>operations |
| ELCC 3.2<br>Candidates demonstrate<br>an understanding and can<br>use human, fiscal, and<br>technological resources<br>efficiently to manage<br>school operations (15%) | The spreadsheet<br>persuasively supports<br>the cover memo and<br>demonstrates creative<br>and effective use of<br>the district allocation<br>of human resources.                                                    | The spreadsheet is<br>consistent with the<br>cover memo and<br>shows that all<br>district-allocated<br>human resources are<br>put to good use.            | The spreadsheet has<br>some positive<br>features, but it either<br>does not fully utilize<br>the district staffing<br>allotment and/or it<br>contains questionable<br>staffing choices. | The proposal<br>does not<br>adequately<br>allocate district-<br>allotted human<br>resources.                                                       |
| ELCC 5.1<br>Candidates demonstrate<br>the ability to act with<br>integrity and fairness to<br>ensure students' success<br>(15%)                                         | The proposal<br>demonstrates equitable<br>decision making that<br>is clearly consistent<br>with students' priority<br>needs.                                                                                         | The proposal<br>demonstrates<br>equitable decision<br>making, however<br>selected allocations<br>appear inconsistent<br>with students'<br>priority needs. | The proposal<br>demonstrates limited<br>evidence of equity in<br>decision making<br>and/or the allocations<br>are inconsistent with<br>students' priority<br>needs.                     | The proposal is<br>confusing and/or<br>is not consistent<br>with the cover<br>memo.                                                                |

| ELCC 5.5<br>Candidates demonstrate<br>the ability to promotes<br>social justice (15%)                                                                                        | The proposal clearly<br>and persuasively<br>demonstrates how<br>staffing is allocated to<br>special needs students<br>in a manner that<br>addresses their<br>particular teaching and<br>learning challenges<br>within district<br>guidelines and legal<br>boundaries. | The proposal<br>acknowledges the<br>importance of<br>addressing the<br>special needs of<br>specific student<br>populations, but may<br>not be entirely<br>persuasive with<br>respect to adequacy. | Special needs<br>students are<br>represented in the<br>proposal, but staffing<br>allocation appears<br>inadequate. There<br>may also be some<br>indication of<br>violation of district<br>procedures and/or<br>legal boundaries. | Special needs<br>students are not<br>represented in the<br>proposal or are<br>inadequately<br>addressed. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mechanics and Accuracy<br>(10%)<br>Students use standard<br>English and avoid<br>grammar and<br>punctuation errors. All<br>data is accurately and<br>consistently presented. | The assignment is <u>free</u> of errors—both verbal and numerical.                                                                                                                                                                                                    | The assignment has a few errors.                                                                                                                                                                  | The assignment has some errors.                                                                                                                                                                                                  | The assignment<br>has numerous<br>errors.                                                                |

## ASSIGNMENT GRANT PROPOSAL 50 points

## **Rationale**

Funds are usually tight in schools and districts, making it very difficult to initiate new and innovative programs. Consequently, grant money is often the only way to reach a particular population of students in a new way. Learning how to write a grant is a vital skill for a future education leader. The ideal way to approach this assignment is to pursue a grant that will support the School Improvement Project proposal you created in EDLE 690.

#### **Product**

- 1. Identify the financial needs of your SIP that cannot or will not be met through your school or district and target your grant toward meeting these needs. Alternatively, work with your principal or supervisor to identify an area of need that could be addressed through the procurement of additional funds.
- 2. Once you have identified a need at your site, you will explore several different sources of grant money to find one that is most appropriate to the need you have identified.
- 3. Following the guidelines of the grant you wish to receive, you will write a proposal that presents the need, your plan for how to meet the need, expected outcomes, how you will evaluate the success of your project, and a budget for the grant.
- 4. Grant proposals must be for not less than \$250 and should probably not exceed \$20,000.

# **Grant Proposal Assessment Rubric**

|                                                                                                                                                                                           | Exceeds Expectations<br>4                                                                                                                                                                   | Meets Expectations<br>3                                                                                                                     | Approaching<br>Expectations<br>2                                                                                                                | Falls Below<br>Expectations<br>1                                                                                       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Statement of Need<br>(20%)<br>The need for the<br>grant money must<br>be obvious for a<br>funding agency to<br>be interested in<br>providing it to your<br>school or district.            | The need is very<br>persuasively stated and<br>directly tied to teaching<br>and learning.                                                                                                   | The need is logically<br>presented, but may<br>not be as persuasive<br>as it could be.                                                      | The need is apparent,<br>but not as clearly or<br>persuasively<br>presented as it might<br>be. Critical<br>information might be<br>missing.     | The need for the grant is unclear.                                                                                     |
| Plan to Meet the<br>Need (20%)<br>For a plan to gain<br>the confidence of a<br>funding agency, it<br>must be clearly and<br>directly tied to the<br>specific need you<br>have identified. | The plan addresses the<br>need in detail,<br>demonstrating numerous<br>links that show each<br>aspect of the need will<br>be met through the plan.                                          | The plan is logically<br>and tightly linked to<br>the identified need.                                                                      | The plan seems<br>attractive but may<br>have some areas that<br>do not seem to be<br>tied to need as you<br>have identified it.                 | The plan is unclear<br>and/or not directly<br>linked to the need<br>as you have<br>articulated it.                     |
| <b>Expected</b><br><b>Outcomes (20%)</b><br>The funding<br>agency wants a<br>clear picture of<br>how the grant will<br>help your site.                                                    | The expected outcomes<br>are persuasively stated<br>so that any person<br>observing the grant in<br>action would recognize<br>them. Expected teaching<br>and learning results are<br>clear. | The expected<br>outcomes are clearly<br>presented and<br>logically linked to<br>the plan.                                                   | Expected outcomes<br>are stated, but they<br>are not as clear as<br>they could be, or<br>they seem unrelated<br>to the plan and/or the<br>need. | Expected outcomes<br>are unclear or<br>missing.                                                                        |
| Evaluation of the<br><u>Project (15%)</u><br>The funding<br>agency usually<br>wants to know how<br>you will know if<br>the money was<br>well spent.                                       | The evaluation plan<br>persuasively addresses<br>all components of the<br>plan to meet the need.<br>Clear criteria and<br>standards are<br>established.                                     | The evaluation plan<br>addresses the plan to<br>meet the need.<br>Criteria and<br>standards may not<br>be entirely clear<br>and/or logical. | The evaluation plan<br>is not clearly<br>connected to other<br>aspects of the grant<br>and/or lacks clear<br>criteria and<br>standards.         | The evaluation<br>plan is missing or<br>difficult to<br>understand.<br>Criteria and/or<br>standards may be<br>missing. |
| Budget (15%)<br>All grants require a<br>budget so that the<br>funding agency has<br>a clear picture of<br>how granted<br>money will be<br>spent.                                          | The budget meets the<br>criteria contained in the<br>budget allocation<br>assessment rubric. It<br>supports all aspects of<br>the plan to meet the<br>need.                                 | The budget is clear<br>and well presented.                                                                                                  | The budget is somewhat confusing.                                                                                                               | The budget is<br>missing or<br>incomplete.                                                                             |
| Grammar &<br>Mechanics (10%)<br>Any writing<br>submitted for<br>public review<br>should be free of<br>errors.                                                                             | The grant proposal is <u>free</u> of errors.                                                                                                                                                | The grant proposal contains a few errors.                                                                                                   | The grant proposal contains some errors.                                                                                                        | The grant proposal contains numerous errors.                                                                           |