GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY College of Education & Human Development Graduate School of Education

EDLE 616.DL1 Curriculum Development & Evaluation Fall, 2017 [3 credit hours]

Instructor

Name: Dr. Alan Sturrock

Office Hours: By appointment; Skype

Office Location: Thompson Hall, Suite 1300

Office Phone: 703-993-4413

Email: <u>asturro1@gmu.edu</u>

EDLE Fax #: 703-993-3643

Prerequisites: EDLE 620, EDLE 690, and EDLE 791

<u>Course Description:</u> Examines relationship of written, taught, and tested curriculum; and identifies critical leadership decisions that can positively impact student achievement. Identifies components of effective curriculum guides, and constructs a Curriculum Design model for emerging leaders. Mini document for personal use is constructed.

Program Vision: The Education Leadership Program is devoted to improving the quality of pre-K through 12 education through teaching, research and service. Candidates and practicing administrators engage in course work devoted to experiential learning, professional growth opportunities, and doctoral research that informs practice. We educate exceptional leaders who act with integrity as they work to improve schools.

Course Information

Class Location: Blackboard

Course Dates: August 28th through December 9th

Course Delivery Method

This course will be delivered online (100%) using an **asynchronous** format via Blackboard Learning Management system (LMS) housed in the MyMason portal. You will log in to the Blackboard (Bb) course site using your Mason email name (everything before @masonlive.gmu.edu) and email password. The course site will be available on or about August 24th.

Under no circumstances, may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

Technical Requirements

To participate in this course, students will need to satisfy the following technical requirements:

- High-speed Internet access with a standard up-to-date browser, either Internet Explorer or Mozilla Firefox is required (note: Opera and Safari are not compatible with Blackboard).
- Students must maintain consistent and reliable access to their GMU email and Blackboard, as these are the official methods of communication for this course.
- Students will need a headset microphone for use with the Blackboard Collaborate web conferencing tool. [Delete this sentence if not applicable.]
- Students may be asked to create logins and passwords on supplemental websites and/or to download trial software to their computer or tablet as part of course requirements.
- The following software plug-ins for PCs and Macs, respectively, are available for free download: [Add or delete options, as desire.]
 - o Adobe Acrobat Reader: https://get.adobe.com/reader/
 - Windows Media Player:

https://windows.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/downloads/windows-media-player/

o Apple Quick Time Player: www.apple.com/quicktime/download/

Video/Screencasting Tools: You may use Kaltura, Jing, to record your videos and Discussion Board assignments.

Group Work: You may use Google Docs or Wikis to collaborate with colleagues on group assignments. Discussion Board group activities will be pre-assigned on Blackboard.

Bb Collaborate/Skype: You may communicate also with colleagues using these platforms. Skype is also an option for Office Hours.

Email: All candidates are required to activate and monitor their GMU e-mail accounts. I strongly recommend that you do not forward your Mason e-mail to a different account because attachments are often lost that way. It is best to check e-mail directly from your Mason account daily. Per university policy in compliance with federal law, I will only communicate with candidates via their GMU email accounts and will be unable to respond to emails sent from other accounts (i.e., gmail, yahoo, work email, etc.). Any announcements regarding the course will be sent to your GMU account. I will respond to emails within 24 hours, excluding weekends.

Expectations

Course Week:

Because asynchronous courses do not have a "fixed" meeting day, our week will start on **Tuesdays**, and finish on the following **Tuesday**.

• Log-in Frequency:

Students must actively check the course Blackboard site and their GMU email for communications from the instructor, class discussions, and/or access to course materials at least <u>daily[or several times per week]</u>.

• Participation:

Students are expected to actively engage in all course activities throughout the semester, which includes viewing all course materials, completing course activities and assignments, and participating in course discussions and group interactions.

• Technical Competence:

Students are expected to demonstrate competence in the use of all course technology. Students who are struggling with technical components of the course are expected to seek assistance from the instructor and/or College or University technical services.

Technical Issues:

Students should anticipate some technical difficulties during the semester and should, therefore, budget their time accordingly. Late work will not be accepted based on individual technical issues.

Workload:

Please be aware that **this course is not self-paced**. Students are expected to meet specific deadlines and due dates listed in the Class Schedule section of this syllabus. It is the student's responsibility to keep track of the weekly course schedule of topics, readings, activities and assignments due.

• Instructor Support:

Students may schedule a one-on-one meeting to discuss course requirements, content or other course-related issues. Those unable to come to a Mason campus can meet with the instructor via telephone or Skype. Students should email the instructor to schedule a one-on-one session, including their preferred meeting method and suggested dates/times.

Netiquette:

The course environment is a collaborative space. Experience shows that even an innocent remark typed in the online environment can be misconstrued. Students must always reread their responses carefully before posting them, so as others do not consider them as personal offenses. Be positive in your approach with others and diplomatic in selecting your words. Remember that you are not competing with classmates, but sharing information and learning from others. All faculty are similarly expected to be respectful in all communications.

Accommodations:

Online learners who require effective accommodations to insure accessibility must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services.

Learner Outcomes:

Students who successfully complete the requirements for EDLE 616 will be able to:

[i] demonstrate an in-depth knowledge of curriculum design, development and evaluation and connect all parts to ELCC standards in the design [and presentation] of a mini curriculum framework

[ii] demonstrate the ability to analyze school demographic and assessment data and use the same to create a professional development plan [PDP] to improve student performance in two critical areas

[iii] identify an emerging/controversial issue in curriculum development/evaluation and create a plan to serve as a guide for educators to fully understand it [the plan should connect best thinking/practices on the issue to 2 or 3 essential questions]

[iv] investigate the components of a well-formed BOE policy on curriculum development/evaluation and apply that knowledge to *solve* a problem either at a specific grade level or content area.

Course Objectives:

- 1. Understand the myriad influences on the Field of Curriculum, Development, Design & Evaluation.
- 2. Investigate frameworks—Understanding by Design, Curriculum Mapping—that efficiently connect Curriculum Standards to Programs of Study.
- 3. Analyze Curriculum Leadership practices in the areas of Evaluation, Professional Development, Alignment and Standards-based practices.

Relationships to Program Goals and Professional Organizations:

The importance of strengthening and guiding instruction in educational settings is a leadership theme of academic and professional organizations alike. The purpose of the course is to strengthen the knowledge, skills and dispositions of EDLE candidates as instructional leaders and managers. The course provides models for (1) designing and managing curriculum; (2) relating to school board policy, professional development, and budget to effective instructional leadership; (3) constructing effective teacher-friendly curriculum guides; and (4) collecting and using demographic data to create a plan for improved student performance.

This course meets applicable competencies, standards, and guidelines set forth by the Virginia Department of Education (VA DOE), Interstate School Leaders Licensure Consortium (ISSLC), National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE), and Educational Leadership Constituent Council (ELCC), as shown below.

VA DOE Competencies (a 1,3,4,5 and 6; c1; e1; f 4,5)

NCATE Guidelines (Strategic Leadership: 1.3, 1.6, 2.4; Instructional Leadership: 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6. 3.9; Organizational Leadership: 9.1)

ELCC Standards [2011]: (1.1), (1.2), (1.4), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (6.3)

Required Textbook[s]:

[**Required**]: Mooney Nancy J., & Mausbach, Ann T. [2008]. *Align the Design: A Blueprint for School*

Improvement. Alexandria, VA.: ASCD.

[Reference text]: American Psychological Association (2009). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th edition). Washington DC: American Psychological Association.

Course Submission Policies and Evaluation Criteria

Assignments are due by 11:59 p.m. on the dates listed on the syllabus, electronically via Blackboard. Late assignments will not be accepted except in emergency situations that have been discussed and approved by the instructor in advance of the due date. Please take advantage of instructor office hours and availability to get assistance prior to assignment deadlines. Late penalties may be assessed for persistently late work.

TK20 Performance-Based Assessment Submission Requirement

Every student registered for any Education Leadership course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit these assessments [2]--The Demographic Analysis and The Curriculum Framework, to Tk20 through Blackboard (regardless of whether the student is taking the course as an elective, a onetime course or as part of an undergraduate minor). Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in Tk20 through Blackboard. Failure to submit the assessment to Tk20 (through Blackboard) will result in the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required Tk20 submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

Grading

Students can earn a total of 400 points in this course. Graded assignments account for 65% (260 points) of the overall grade, while online course participation [discussion boards, blogs and journals] accounts for 35% (140 points).

Participation Requirements (35% or 140 points of total grade)

To maximize learning and engagement in the online environment, students are expected to participate actively in asynchronous class discussions, asynchronous and synchronous group activities, and serve as critical friends to other students. In this course, **participation points are given by unit,** rather than per learning activity. Participation points are divided into two parts:

[i] Reflections [Journals]—individual submissions [7]

[ii] Discussion Boards [4], Blogs [9]: [i] Other than the introductory short video, Discussion Board activities require **group responses** [plus <u>one</u> response to another group post]; [ii] Blogs are **individual submissions**—but 'conversation' is strongly encouraged.

Below is a list of all required learning activities, by unit, which will count toward your overall participation grade. Please refer to the Course Participation Rubric for details.

Unit 1 [55 points]

- Journal Reflections [2] -[10 points]
- Discussion Boards [2] [25 points]
- Blogs [4] [20 points]

Unit 2 [50 points]

- Journal Reflections[3] [15 points]
- Discussion Boards[1]- [20 points]
- Blogs [3] [15 points]

Unit 3 [35 points]

- Journal Reflections [2] [10 points]
- Discussion Boards [1]- [15 points]
- Blogs [2] [10 points]

_

Grading Scale

A+ 400+ points Α 375 - 399350 - 374A---B+ 335 --- 349 315 --- 334 В B---300 --- 314 C 275 --- 299 F Below 275 points

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

CLASS SCHEDULE

Note: Please refer to the Weekly Schedule on Blackboard for the most up---to---date version of the Course Schedule—including reading and viewing assignments, etc.

DATE/WEEK	UNIT	 ACTIVITIES/READINGS[principal]/ ASSIGNMENTS

Week 1	0 /1	Orientation	
Week 1 [8/29 to 9/5]	,	Orientation Introduction to Unit 1 Lesson 1: EDLE 616 in Miniature	
Week 2 [9/5 to 9/12]	1	Lesson 2: Historical Foundations	
Week 3 [9/12 to 9/19]	1	Lesson 3: Philosophical Foundations [4]	
Week 4 [9/19 to 9/26]	1	Lesson 4: Sociological Theories [3]	Assignment #1 dueon or before September 26 th .
Week 5 [9/26 to 10/3]	1	Lesson 5: Political Influences	
Week 6 [10/3 to 10/10]	2	Introduction to Unit 2 Lesson 6: The Taught [& Hidden] Curriculum	
Week 7 [10/10 to 10/17]	2	Lesson 7: The Written Curriculum	
Week 8 [10/17 to 10/24]	2	Lesson 8: The Tested Curriculum	Assignment #2 due on or before October 24 th .
Week 9 [10/24 to 10/31]	2	Lesson 9: Conceptual Frameworks—UBD and Mapping	
Week 10 [10/31 to 11/ 7]		Introduction to Unit 3 Lesson 10: Curriculum Alignment	
Week 11 [11/7 to 11/14]	3	Lesson 11: Curriculum Evaluation	**optional submission of Assignment #3, part 1**

Week 12 [11/14 to	Lesson 12: Curriculum Development & Professional Development	
11/21]	•	
Week 13 [11/21 to 11/28]	Thanksgiving Recess	
Week 14 [11/28 to 12/5]		Assignment #3 dueon or before December 6th.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/.

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered
 with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will
 begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the
 instructor (see http://ods.gmu.edu/).
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

 Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/.

- The Writing Center provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (see http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/).
- The Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (see http://caps.gmu.edu/).
- The Student Support & Advocacy Center staff helps students develop and maintain healthy lifestyles through confidential one-on-one support as well as through interactive programs and resources. Some of the topics they address are healthy relationships, stress management, nutrition, sexual assault, drug and alcohol use, and sexual health (see http://ssac.gmu.edu/). Students in need of these services may contact the office by phone at 703-993-3686. Concerned students, faculty and staff may also make a referral to express concern for the safety or well-being of a Mason student or the community by going to http://ssac.gmu.edu/make-a-referral/.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/.

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS [3]

The three graded assignments required for this course are as follows:

1. School Board Policy versus SchoolHouse Practice [50 points]

As educators, it is important that we have a clear understanding of the educational policies developed by our school/governing boards, and our responsibility as educational leaders to implement them. This assignment will challenge your ability to investigate a particular policy adopted by a chosen school/governing board and examine its impact on student learning and achievement.

Instructions:

Prepare at minimum a 5-7 page, double spaced document that investigates one chosen school board policy and analyze its components in relation to the needs of a [i] specific grade level or [ii] content area. Candidates will conduct interviews with administrators and/or multiple stakeholders in order to gain detailed knowledge of the policy and its impact at the local school level.

DUE DATE: Lesson 4 [Upload your paper to the Course tab 'ASSIGNMENTS']

2. Demographic Analysis of Assessment Data [for Improved Student Performance] [90 points]

Purpose:

The purpose of this assignment is to demonstrate the ability to analyze demographic and test data *over a 3 year period* (Standards of Learning or other test results) as it relates to curriculum and/or instructional improvement. Each student will obtain the abovementioned information from their schools, and analyze strengths/weaknesses of existing Action Plans/School Improvement Plans with a view to helping teachers improve student performance in **two curriculum areas**. Students should also include recommendations for involving school staff in the change process, including relevant [recent] research-based strategies as a part of the effort to lead school improvement.

Instructions:

Prepare, at minimum, a Mini-CASE STUDY (12 to 15 pages, including graphics) utilizing the analysis of actual demographic and test data from your school, and, after examining existing site-based Action/School Improvement Plans, analyze the strengths and weaknesses in the Action Plan with a view to helping teachers/staff members improve student performance in the **two targeted curriculum areas**.

Plan of Action

- 1. Locate the most recent AYP/AMO/TEST data for your school for the past 3 years
- 2. Identify demographic information for your school as it relates to AYP/AMO/TEST data for NCLB sub-groups
- 3. Analyze the data in two academic areas. Include a brief description of your findings and conclusions regarding curriculum/instruction deficit areas.
- 4. Examine and critique existing site-based "action/school improvement plans" (focusing on strengths and weaknesses) that target the two curriculum areas you selected for improving student achievement. Discuss with colleagues in your school why this problem exists and probable causes for action plan strengths/weaknesses.
- 5. Locate current research-based strategies [recent] that would help [i] target the identified deficit areas, and [ii] strengthen (and improve) the delivery of curriculum/instruction to improve future student performance in those areas.
- 6. Finally, make recommendations to site-based leadership on ways to involve school staff in the change process.

3. Design of Curriculum Framework [120 points]

Purpose:

The purpose of this assignment is to demonstrate—on a smaller scale-- knowledge of program design in curriculum as evidenced in the creation of a model for emerging leaders in the field. The rationale for developing a program for emerging leaders instead of a grade level or subject area framework is because instructional/administrative leadership focuses on broad implementation, as opposed to teacher leadership that is more grade/subject specific (these curricula are often mandated by the state/district anyway). As one of the middle courses in the licensure program, creating a leadership framework allows students [i] an opportunity to reflect on what they've learned about site-based leadership to date and [ii] what they would still like to explore. Finally, creating the framework ties all [if not most] of the major **elements** of EDLE 616 together, allowing students to apply what they've learned in a concrete way [theory to practice], such as aligning the program with standards and assessments, as well as implementing the ideas of Backwards Design [UBD]. These concepts can then be applied to any curricular area as a site-based leader. Some examples of **smaller-scale program designs** in Curriculum might be: Special Education, Contemporary Issues, Global Education, Urban Settings, Technology Integration, Leadership for ELL Students, etc.

Instructions:

Students should first explore and research existing several [at least 3] leadership programs, then individually design and construct a Curriculum Framework [in PowerPoint] for aspiring educational leaders. The PowerPoint should not exceed 25 to 30 slides, including references that are written in APA style. Components to be included in the Curriculum Framework are listed below [see Rubric]:

Your curriculum framework –[a]conceptual design, and [b] 5 to 6 course offerings & brief descriptions, program assessment[s], and a UBD designed Professional Development Session [on ONE of the courses] should include the following components:

- 1. a philosophy and/or vision for the aspiring leaders' program [conceptual design]
- 2. a validation matrix connected to ELCC Standard elements [listed above on page 6]— [also conceptual design]
- 3. a list of the critical knowledge, skills, and dispositions needed by aspiring leaders [also conceptual design]
- 4. a list of essential questions to guide the content of your curriculum framework[also conceptual design]
- 5. evidence of thoughtful inclusion of UBD as part of the 5 to 6 Program [course] design [coursework]
- 6. an assessment plan for your curriculum coursework [coursework]
- 7. a brief Professional Development <u>proposal</u> [on the UBD template [attachment included in 'exemplar', Lesson 7] describing how you would roll out **ONE** of your 'cutting edge' courses to your faculty.

it is recommended [but not mandatory] that you submit the Conceptual Design [see items 1, 2, 3 and 4] as a Draft for feedback, somewhere before or on Lesson 11

DUE DATE: Lesson 13 [Upload both parts of your Framework to 'Assessments' tab]

Assignment #1: School Board Policy Assessment [Rubric]

Candidates understand and can anticipate and assess emerging trends and initiatives in order to adapt school-based leadership strategies. [ELCC: 6.3]

Criteria	Exceeds Expectations 90 to 100%	Meets Expectations 80 to 89%	Approaching Expectations 70 to 79%	Falls Below Expectations 0 to 69%
Clearly identifies a selected curricular area [weighting 10%]	Proposed curricular area is identified and adequately and clearly described.	Proposed curricular area is identified and adequately described.	Proposed curricular area is identified or described, but not both.	Proposed curricular area is not identified or described.
Includes a statement that relates to area of study [weighting 10%]	The statement is clear with adequate reference to the needs of student learners.	The statement is clear with adequate reference to learners.	The statement is vague or rambling with some reference to student learning.	No statement is included.
Connections made from School Board Policy to grade level and/or content area	Connections from School Board Policy to grade level and/or content area are clearly and concisely	Connections from School Board Policy to grade level and/or content area listed.	Connections from School Board Policy to grade level and/or content area are vaguely suggested	No connections are made.

[weighting 40%]	explained.			
Candidates conduct interviews with an Administration or [b] School Board member[s] or [c] multiple stakeholders regarding selected policy [weighting 35%]	The impact of the School Board Policy is clearly and concisely presented from multiple interviews [a, b and c].	The impact of the School Board Policy is presented from either interview [a] or interview [b].	The impact of the School Board Policy is discussed in general terms.	The impact of the School Board Policy is not discussed.
Spelling, grammar, mechanics [weighting 5%]	The project is error free and clearly and professionally presented	The project has no spelling errors and no more than two mechanical errors.	The project has some spelling grammar, and/or mechanical errors.	The project has multiple errors in spelling, and/or mechanics

Assignment #2: Analysis of Assessment Data [Rubric]

	Levels of Achievement					
Criteria	exceeds expectations	meets expectations	approaching expectations	falls below expectations		
ELCC 4.1:	90 to 100 %	80 to 89 %	70 to 79 %	0 to 69 %		
Candidates	Cultural diversity in	Cultural diversity	Cultural diversity	Cultural diversity		
understand and	the school and its	in the school is	is described and	of either the		
can collaborate	community is	described and	analyzed, but	school or		
with faculty and	described and	analyzed (race,	lacks information	community is		
community	analyzed (race,	ethnicity, gender,	on all 7	analyzed, but not		
members by	ethnicity, gender,	age, socio-	categories.	both.		
collecting and	age, socio-economic	economic levels,				
analyzing	status, English	English language				
information	language learners,	learners, and				
pertinent to the	and special	special education)				
improvement of	education) over at	over the last three				
the school's	least the last three	years.				
educational	years.					
environment.						
Weight 20.00%						

			1	
ELCC 1.2: Candidates demonstrate that they understand and can use data to plan, identify and achieve school goals Weight 15.00%	90 to 100 % Candidate provides evidence of a superior ability to collect and use data to identify school goals, assess organizational effectiveness, and create and implement plans to achieve school goals.	80 to 89 % Candidate provides evidence of an adequate ability to collect and use data to identify school goals, assess organizational effectiveness, and create and implement plans to achieve school goals.	70 to 79 % Candidate provides evidence of some ability to collect and use data to identify school goals, assess organizational effectiveness, and create and implement plans to achieve school goals.	O to 69 % Candidate does not provide evidence, or demonstrates an inability to collect and use data to identify school goals, assess organizational effectiveness, and create and implement plans to achieve school goals.
ELCC 1.3: Candidate' demonstrate the ability to promote continual and sustainable school improvement Weight 15.00%	90 to 100 % Candidate provides evidence of a superior ability to promote continual and sustainable school improvement.	80 to 89 % Candidate provides evidence of an adequate ability to promote continual and sustainable school improvement.	70 to 79 % Candidate provides evidence of some ability to promote continual and sustainable school improvement.	O to 69 % Candidate does not provide evidence, or demonstrates an inability to promote continual and sustainable school improvement.
ELCC 1.4 Candidates understand and can evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders Weight 10.00%	90 to 100 % Candidate provides evidence of a superior ability to evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders.	80 to 89 % Candidate provides evidence of an adequate ability to evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders.	70 to 79 % Candidate provides evidence of some ability to evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders.	O to 69 % Candidate does not provide evidence, or demonstrates an inability to evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders.
ELCC 2.2 Candidates understand and can create and evaluate a comprehensive, rigorous, and coherent curricular and instructional school program. Weight 15.00%	90 to 100 % Current school action plan(s) are analyzed in relation to identified achievement gap areas. Instructional practices, instructional programs, and assessments that support student learning in two curriculum areas are	80 to 89 % Current school action plan(s) are analyzed in relation to identified achievement gap areas. Instructional practices and/or instructional programs, and/or assessments that support student	70 to 79 % Current school action plan(s) are analyzed in relation to identified achievement gap areas. Instructional practices, programs, and assessments are not clearly described or	O to 69 % Limited analysis provided of school action plan(s) in relation to identified achievement gap areas. Instructional practices, programs, and assessments are not addressed.

ELCC 3.4 Candidates understand and can develop school capacity for distributed leadership. Weight 20.00%	described and evaluated. 90 to 100 % Recommendations highlight appropriate research strategies to promote improved student achievement in two curriculum areas and involve school staff in the change process. Strategies reflect students' learning needs analyzed from the school's	learning in two curriculum areas are described and evaluated. 80 to 89 % Recommendations highlight appropriate research strategies to promote improved student achievement in ONE curricular area and involve school staff in the change process. Strategies reflect students' learning needs analyzed	roto 79 % Recommendations include limited evidence of appropriate research strategies to improve student achievement and may involve school staff in the change process. Strategies may not reflect students' learning needs.	O to 69 % Recommendation does not include appropriate research strategies, involve the school staff, or connect to students' learning needs.
	school's demographic and assessment data.	needs analyzed from the school's demographic and assessment data.		
Spelling, grammar,	90 to 100 %	80 to 89 %	70 to 79 %	0 to 69 %
mechanics.	The project is error	The project has no	The project has	The project has
Weight 5.00%	free and is clearly	spelling errors and	some spelling,	multiple errors in
	and professionally	no more than two	grammar and/or	spelling and/or
	presented.	mechanical errors.	mechanical errors.	mechanics.

<u>Assignment #3: Design of Curriculum Framework [Rubric]</u>

	Levels of Achievement				
Criteria	exceeds	meets	approaching	falls below	
ELCC 6.3	expectations 90 to 100 %	expectations 80 to 89 %	expectations 70 to 79 %	expectations 0 to 69 %	
Candidates demonstrate skills in adapting leadership strategies and practice to address emerging school issues. Weight 20.00%	Proposed curriculum design model reflects [i] current best practices; [ii] emerging trends; [iii] validation by at least 4 Standards' authorities; and [iv] current research on Leadership Programs.	Proposed curriculum design model includes [a] emerging trends, and [b] 2 other elements listed.	Proposed curriculum design model includes [a] emerging trends, and [b] 1 other element listed.	Proposed curriculum design model suggests (generally) trends, best practices and current research.	
ELCC 6.2 Candidates understand and can act to influence decisions affecting student learning in the school environment. Weight 10.00%	90 to 100 % Proposed curriculum design model demonstrates candidate's superior ability to advocate for policies and programs that promote equitable learning opportunities for all students	80 to 89 % Proposed curriculum design model demonstrates candidate's ability to advocate for policies and programs that promote equitable learning opportunities for all students	70 to 79 % Proposed curriculum design model demonstrates some capacity to advocate for policies and programs that promote equitable learning opportunities for all students	O to 69 % The proposed model does not include evidence relate to candidate's superior ability to advocate for policies and programs that promote equitable learning opportunities for all students	
ELCC 1.1 Candidates demonstrate skills in the design and support of a collaborative process for developing and implementing a school vision. Weight 10.00%	90 to 100 % Proposed curriculum design model includes [i] a strong mission & philosophy statement; [ii] a vision for a program of excellence; [iii] specific indicators of knowledge, skills and dispositions	80 to 89 % Proposed curriculum design model includes 3 out of the 4 elements listed.	70 to 79 % Proposed curriculum design model includes 2 out of the 4 elements listed.	O to 69 % Proposed curriculum design model only focuses on 1 of the elements listed.	

ELCC 1.4 Candidates understand and can evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders Weight 10.00%	served; [iv] and at least 4 essential questions to guide the program. 90 to 100 % Candidate provides evidence of a superior ability to evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders.	80 to 89 % Candidate provides evidence of an adequate ability to evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders.	70 to 79 % Candidate provides evidence of some ability to evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders.	O to 69 % Candidate does not provide evidence, or demonstrates an inability to evaluate school progress and revise school plans supported by school stakeholders.
ELCC 2.2 Candidates understand and can create and evaluate a comprehensive, rigorous, and coherent curricular and instructional school program. Weight 15.00%	90 to 100 % Proposed curriculum design model incorporates current Adult Learning theories, multiple assessment (formal & informal) models, opportunities to showcase diverse learners, and strong evidence of the UBD backward design model.	80 to 89 % Proposed curriculum design model includes 3 out of the 4 elements listed.	70 to 79 % Proposed curriculum design model includes 2 out of the 4 elements listed.	O to 69 % Proposed curriculum design model focuses only on 1 element listed.
ELCC 2.3 Candidates demonstrate skills in designing the use of differentiated instructional strategies, curriculum materials, and evidence of UBD in design and the provision of high- quality instruction. Weight 15.00%	90 to 100 % Proposed curriculum design model reflects differentiation in the design, and a strong inquiry- based approach to learning in the entire course sequence.	80 to 89 % Proposed curriculum design model includes evidence of 2 out of the 3 elements listed.	70 to 79 % Proposed curriculum design model includes evidence of 1 out of the 3 elements listed.	O to 69 % Proposed curriculum design model only hints at generalities in all of the elements listed.
ELCC 2.4 Candidates demonstrate skills in using technologies for improved classroom	90 to 100 % Proposed curriculum design model incorporates the application of technologies in classroom	80 to 89 % Proposed curriculum design model includes 2 out of the 3 elements listed.	70 to 79 % Proposed curriculum design model lists only one of the elements.	O to 69 % No elements are included in the overall design.

instruction, student achievement and continuous school improvement. Weight 10.00%	instruction, student achievement, and school improvement.			
ELCC 3.5	90 to 100 %	80 to 89 %	70 to 79 %	0 to 69 %
Candidates	The proposed	The proposed	The proposed	The proposed
demonstrate that	curriculum model	curriculum model	curriculum model	model does not
they can	demonstrates a	demonstrates	demonstrates	provide evidence
understand and	superior	some	vague or	of candidate
ensure that teacher	understanding and	understanding	incomplete	understanding
time focuses on	ability to protect	and ability to	understanding	and ability to
supporting high	and account for use	protect and	and ability to	protect and
quality instruction	of time to focus on	account for use of	protect and	account for use of
and student	quality instruction	time to focus on	account for use of	time to focus on
learning	and learning for all	quality instruction	time to focus on	quality instruction
Weight 5.00%	students	and learning for	quality instruction	and learning for
		all students	and learning for	all students
			all students	
Unblemished	90 to 100 %	80 to 89 %	70 to 79 %	0 to 69 %
Prose.	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed
Weight 5.00%	curriculum design	curriculum design	curriculum design	curriculum design
	model is error free.	model contains 1	model contains 5	model is riddled
		or 2 errors.	or more errors.	with errors.