George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Graduate School of Education

EDRD 632.6L8 Literacy Assessments and Interventions for Groups 3 Credits, Fall 2016 Monday, 5:00 -7:40, Woodburn Elementary School (Media Center)

PROFESSOR:

Name: Amy Hutchison, Ph.D. Office Hours: By appointment Office location: Thompson Hall 1506, Fairfax Campus Telephone: (o) 703.993.2166 Email address: ahutchi9@gmu.edu

Prerequisites/Corequisites

EDRD 630-Advanced Literacy Foundations and Instruction, Birth to Middle Childhood; EDRD 631: Advanced Literacy Foundations and Instruction, Adolescent through Adulthood; admission to the Literacy emphasis or permission of the literacy program coordinator.

University Catalog Course Description

Provides literacy assessments and interventions for groups of learners. Includes exploration of assessment tools for classrooms and large populations. Class members conduct related practice in their own classrooms or specified field settings.

Course Overview

Not Applicable

Course Delivery Method

This course will be delivered using a face-to-face seminar format (though occasional online activities may be required).

Learner Outcomes or Objectives:

This course is designed to enable students to:

- Understand types of assessments and their purposes, strengths, and limitations.
- Select, develop, administer, and interpret assessments both traditional print and electronic, for specific purposes.
- Use assessment information to plan and evaluate instruction.
- Communicate assessment results and implications to a variety of audiences.
- Use data to tailor instruction for acceleration, intervention, remediation and flexible level groupings.
- Select strategies to increase vocabulary, reading comprehension, specifically in the ability to teach strategies to teach literal, interpretative, critical and evaluative comprehension.

Professional Standards (International Reading Association (IRA) Standards for Reading Professionals (2010)):

Upon completion of this course, students will have met the following professional standards:

- 2.1 Candidates use foundational knowledge to design or implement an integrated, comprehensive, and balanced curriculum.
- 2.2 Candidates use appropriate and varied instructional approaches, including those that develop word recognition, language comprehension, strategic knowledge, and reading writing connections.
- 3.1 Candidates understand types of assessments and their purposes, strengths, and limitations.
- 3.2 Candidates select, develop, administer, and interpret assessments both traditional print and electronic, for specific purposes.
- 3.3 Candidates use assessment information to plan and evaluate instruction.
- 3.4 Candidates communicate assessment results and implications to a variety of audiences.
- 5.4 Candidates use a variety of classroom configurations (i.e. whole class, small group, and individual) to differentiate instruction.

Virginia State Standards addressed in this course:

- 1a. Demonstrate expertise in the use of formal and informal screening, diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment for language proficiency, concepts of print, phoneme awareness, letter recognition, decoding, fluency, vocabulary, reading levels, comprehension.
- 1b. Demonstrate expertise in the ability to use diagnostic data to tailor instruction for acceleration, intervention, remediation, and flexible skill-level groupings.
- 3c. Demonstrate expertise in strategies to increase vocabulary.
- 3f. Demonstrate expertise in the ability to teach strategies in literal, interpretive, critical, and evaluative comprehension.
- 4a. Demonstrate expertise in the knowledge, skills, and processes necessary for teaching writing, including the domains of composing, written expression, and usage and mechanics and the writing process of planning, drafting, revising, editing, and sharing.
- 6b. Demonstrate an understanding of the needs of high achieving students and of strategies to challenge them at appropriate levels.
- 6f. Demonstrate expertise to interpret grade equivalents, percentile ranks, normal curve equivalents, and standards scores.
- 6g. Demonstrate the ability to instruct and advise teachers in the skills necessary to differentiate reading instruction for both low and high achieving readers.
- 6h. Demonstrate the ability to organize and supervise the reading program within the classroom, school, or division.

Required Texts

Lesaux, N. K. & Marietta, S. H. (2012). *Making assessment matter: Using test results to differentiate reading instruction*. New York, NY: Guilford.

Additional readings will be made available on Blackboard and through GMU Library databases.

Required Resource

Edthena must be purchased and used in this course. Details will be provided.

Recommended Resources

EDRD 632

- Gambrell, L. B., & Morrow, L. M. (Eds.) (2015). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Gipe, J. (2013). *Multiple paths to literacy: Assessment and differentiated instruction for diverse learners, K-12* (8th ed.). New York, NY: Pearson.
- Pinnell, G. S., & Fountas, I. C. (2009). *When readers struggle: Teaching that works*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Sadder, M., & Nidus, G. (2009). *The literacy coach's game plan: Making teacher collaboration, student learning, and school improvement a reality.* Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Seravallo, J. (2014). *The literacy teacher's playbook, grades K-2: Four steps for turning assessment data into goal-directed instruction*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Seravallo, J. (2013) The literacy teacher's playbook, Grades 3-6: Four steps for turning assessment data into goal-directed Instruction. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann
- Toll, C. (2014). *The literacy coach's survival guide: Essential questions and practical answers* (2nd ed.). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Walpole, S., & McKenna, M. C. (2012). *The literacy coach's handbook: A guide to research-based practice* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford.

Course Performance Evaluation

Assignments and Examinations

- 1. Performance-Based Assessment Part A: School Report Card Analysis & Presentation (State & Schoolwide Focus) (30%)
 - 1. *Data Gathering:* You will download reading and writing assessment data *for your school* from your state website (School Report Card from Virginia, D.C., or Maryland). In class, as an individual, with a partner, and with your teacher colleagues, discuss the measurements used, AYP and AMO criteria, and groups "at risk." Also discuss your state's testing framework.
 - 2. Summary Presentation (15%): Prepare a handout and a brief PowerPoint (or other technology) presentation for colleagues at your school that summarizes the information learned in #1. Then meet with a small group of school colleagues (such as your school team), share this handout/presentation, and lead a discussion about the meaning/potential importance of the information as well as "next steps" (how to apply this information to instruction). The goal is to lead your colleagues in analyzing portions of the test data to discover implications for their own teaching.
 - 3. *Written Analysis (15%)*: Write a 4-5 page reflection/analysis that (1) describes the quantitative and qualitative data you obtained from the School Report Card, (2) explains what you learned about the role of the reading specialist/literacy coach from sharing this information with your school and class colleagues, and (3) discusses how your state's testing program is consistent or inconsistent with the International Literacy Association's position statements on assessment (e.g., IRA *Position Statement on High Stakes Assessment in Reading*). Include <u>a minimum of</u> two

additional <u>peer-reviewed</u> sources related to appropriate/inappropriate uses of assessments to support your discussion. In your view, what should be modified and/or what should remain the same in your state's assessment system? (Include your handout/PowerPoint as an appendix to the paper).

Submit your handout, presentation, and analysis paper to Tk20.

2. Performance-Based Assessment Part B: Assessment Analysis (10%)

In this assignment, you will review a published assessment (DRA, PALS, etc.). We will begin by brainstorming as a class the various assessments available. The goal is to analyze as many assessments as possible within the class. Then, (1) with a partner, choose an assessment to evaluate: locate and read publishers' information on technical aspects of the assessment, including reliability/validity. (2) Prepare a handout on this information (one page per assessment) and present to your classmates. Include (a) a description of the purpose of the assessment; (b) target audience; (c) administration procedures; (d) content; (e) scoring; (f) technical adequacy; (g) usability; (h) links to intervention.

Submit your analysis to Tk20.

3. Performance-Based Assessment Part C: Classroom Focus on Data Analysis and Instruction (50%)

In this assignment, you will have the opportunity both to serve as a classmate's literacy coach and to be coached yourself. Complete the following steps together, with partners taking turns assuming the roles of the literacy coach and teacher.

- Spreadsheet (10%): (1) Create a spreadsheet showing the most recent reading and writing scores for all students in your class on at least three assessments. Include formal (e.g., Virginia SOL, DRA, PALS) and informal (e.g., Running Record) data, if available. If you are not currently teaching, you will complete this in a field assignment. (2) Compare your formal student assessment results with other existing data sources, such as homework and class assignment performance, to analyze individual student performance. Add relevant notes to your spreadsheet. (3) Then make notes on the spreadsheet related to each student's *instructional needs* (vocabulary, comprehension, fluency, etc.) in reading and writing as revealed through your data collection and analysis. (4) Share and discuss with your peer coach.
- 2. Lesson Planning (10%): Acting as a peer literacy coach, lead your partner to use the data to make instructional decisions, including flexible grouping arrangements for a specific unit or series of lessons he or she plans to teach in the future. Coach your partner in *creating two specific lesson plans* for his/her class in which students will be reading and/or writing. In each plan, specify how students will be grouped for instruction, using (across the two plans) at least two formats (e.g., small group, large group, individual). Be sure to provide a justification (using data and research) for your grouping decisions and instructional strategy choices. Also be sure to specify how you will assess student learning <u>during and after</u> each lesson. You may use the lesson plan format that is standard in your school. (20%)
- **3.** *Implementation of Lessons & Peer Coaching (20%):* Implement and videotape your first lesson, then reflect and annotate the video with Edthena to note salient points in the lesson, your teaching strengths and needs, and student outcomes that result from the lesson. Choose a clip of at least 15 minutes to share and discuss with your peer coach and instructor. Between the lessons, make adjustments as needed to improve outcomes for all students, with special attention to struggling readers. Implement and

videotape the second lesson, again reflecting and an annotating in Edthena. In class, on the designated day, you will watch segments of your video and talk with your coaching partner, discussing each other's results and offering insight into the instruction. You should also record these coaching sessions and upload them to Edthena.

4. Analysis (10%): Finally, write a 3-4-page analysis on what you learned about databased grouping and instruction and what you helped your partner learn. Be specific about the suggestions you made to each other and whether these were implemented. Also, clearly indicate how you and your partner helped each other create grouping plans linked to the assessment information. Include within this reflection a segment from one of your videos that was especially useful for your instructor to watch. ***Submit your final spreadsheet, both lesson plans with peer coach feedback, and your analysis paper to TK-20. All videos should be uploaded to Edthena.***

4. Participation (10%)

Class participation is a required component of your grade. In general, we will engage in three types of activities: lectures, activities, and discussions related to literacy leadership activities; discussion of the week's readings; and, partner and small group meetings in which you will provide feedback and support for each other's literacy leadership activities.

- Edthena Introductions: Create a short 1-2 minute video introducing yourself to your classmates. You might tell a bit about your teaching background, your goals for the class, or interesting personal facts. Upload this video to Edthena. Then, create a 3-5 minute video in which you provide a tour of your classroom (or school). This video will help your peer coach better understand your teaching context.
- Our course Blackboard site (mymasonportal.gmu.edu) will include information and resources important to your successful completion of the course. You should access Blackboard prior to every class session and/or module to download readings and other pertinent course documents. We may also hold discussions via Blackboard.
- The completion of all readings assigned for the course is assumed. Because the class will be structured around discussion and small group activities, *it is critical for you to complete all readings prior to the class for which they are assigned and to fully participate in class*. Failure to do so may result in a lower participation grade. It is your responsibility to come to class with insights, questions, comments, and concerns from the readings.

Other Requirements

Class Attendance

Class attendance is both important and required (see Academic Policy 1.6 in the GMU Catalog). If an emergency situation arises and you must miss class, please contact me **PRIOR** to the class session via phone or email. Attendance will influence your grade. More than 2 absences may result in failure of the course. You are responsible for obtaining information given during class discussions despite attendance. It is also your responsibility to discuss the readings and class content with a classmate to ensure understanding.

Assignment Guidelines

All assignments should be turned in (via paper copy OR Blackboard) by class time on the date noted in the course schedule. You are expected to submit assignments on time regardless of your class attendance on the day an assignment is due. Unless arrangements have been made prior to the due date, scores for assignments submitted late will be lowered by one letter grade for each day the work is late.

Assignments should be word processed using 12-point Times New Roman font, and double-spaced with one-inch margins. Writing quality (including mechanics, organization, and content) is figured into the overall score for each assignment, so proofread carefully. Be sure to use APA format (6th ed.) when providing citations for relevant research. When submitting electronic files, please name the files using your last name and assignment titles (ex: SMITH_LessonPlan1.docx).

Communication

Email is my preferred form of communication, so please check your GMU email account frequently, as that will be the account I use to contact you. I am always available via email, but please allow 24 hours response time for replies during the week, and 48 hours during weekends and holidays.

Course Performance Evaluation Weighting

Performance-Based Assessment Part A: State & Schoolwide Focus	30 %
Performance-Based Assessment Part B: Assessment Analysis	10%
Performance-Based Assessment Part C: Classroom Focus	50%
Participation	10%
Total:	100 %

Grading Policies

Please note that Literacy students must re-take any course in which they receive a grade of C or lower, in order to be eligible for licensure (Please note that the grade of B- is not given). Incompletes must be cleared before moving to any course for which the course is a prerequisite. (Note that EDRD 632 is a prerequisite for EDRD 634).

Grading Scale

- A = 94% 100%
- A = 90% 93%
- B + = 87% 89%
- B = 80% 86%
- C = 75% 79%
- F = below 75%

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/</u>EDRD 632

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see <u>http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/</u>).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see http://ods.gmu.edu/).
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to <u>tk20help@gmu.edu</u> or <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/api/tk20</u>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <u>http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/</u>.
- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (see http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/).
- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (see http://caps.gmu.edu/).
- The George Mason University Office of Student Support staff helps students negotiate life situations by connecting them with appropriate campus and off-campus resources. Students in need of these services may contact the office by phone (703-993-5376). Concerned students, faculty and staff may also make a referral to express concern for the safety or well-being of a Mason student or the community by going to

<u>http://studentsupport.gmu.edu/</u>, and the OSS staff will follow up with the student.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/</u>.

Proposed Class Schedule:

This schedule may be changed at the discretion of the professor or as needs of the students or the Literacy Program dictate.

Date	Topics/Essentia l Questions	Reading	Assignments Due
Class 1: Aug. 29	Course Overview What is assessment?	 International Reading Association. (2014). Using high- stakes assessments for grade retention and graduation decisions [Position statement]. Newark, DE: Author. 	– none
Sept. 5		Labor Day – NO CLASS	
Class 2: Sept. 12	What is the role of assessment?	 Lesaux & Marietta – Ch. 1, 2, 3 Chappuis, J. (2014). Thoughtful assessment with the learner in mind. <i>Educational Leadership</i>, 71(6), 20-26. 	 principal permission for the PBA School Assessment data (PBA A, #1) (Bb) Intro & classroom videos uploaded to Edthena
Class 3: Sept. 19	What are the various types of assessment?	 McKenna, M. C., & Walpole, S. (2005). How well does assessment inform our reading instruction? <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, <i>59</i>(1), 84-86. doi:10.1598/RT.59.1.9 Roskos, K., & Neuman, S. B. (2012). Formative assessment: Simply, No Additives. <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, <i>65</i>(8), 534-538. doi:10.1002/TRTR.01079 	 bring a hard copy of your School Assessment data (PBA A, #1)

Date	<u>Topics/Essentia</u> <u>l Questions</u>	Reading	Assignments Due
Class 4: Sept. 26	What is effective practice in using assessment?	 Lesaux & Marietta – Ch. 4, 5 Allington, R. L. (2011). What atrisk readers need. <i>Educational Leadership</i>, 68(6), 40-45. Afflerbach, P., Cho, B., Crassas, M. E., & Kim, J. (2015). Best practices in reading assessment: Working toward a balanced approach. In L. B. Gambrell & L. M. Morrow (Eds.), <i>Best practices in literacy instruction</i> (5th ed.) (pp. 315-339). New York, NY: Guilford. 	 Summary Presentation Draft for peer feedback (PBA A, #2) Select a literacy assessment to evaluate with your partner for PBA B (Bb)
Class 5: Oct. 3	Why evaluate literacy assessments for validity, reliability, and missing information?	 (Each partner choose 1 of the chapters below to read. Be sure both are read.) "The Language of Classroom Assessment" (pp. 15-33) from Frey, B. B. (2014). <i>Modern classroom assessment</i>. Los Angeles, CA: Sage. "Information About Tests" (pp. 71-87) from Lyman, H. B. (1998). <i>Test scores and what they mean</i> (6th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon. 	 Bring a copy of your selected literacy assessment to evaluate for PBA Part B
Class 6: Tuesday, Oct. 10		Professional Work Session	
Class 7: Oct. 17	How can assessments lead to improved instruction?	 Lesaux & Marietta – Ch. 6 McLaughlin, M. (2012). Reading comprehension: What every teacher needs to know. <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, 65(7), 432-440. doi:10.1002/TRTR.01064 Dennis, D. V. (2009). "I'm not stupid": How assessment drives (in)appropriate reading instruction. <i>Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy</i>, 53(4), 283-290. doi:10.1598?JAAL.53.4.2 	 Summary Presentation & Written Analysis (PBA A, #2, #3) (Bb) Classroom Data Spreadsheet Draft 1 (PBA C, #1) (Bb)

Date	Topics/Essentia l Questions	Reading	Assignments Due
Class 8: Oct. 24	How do we use assessment to improve instruction?	 Watts-Taffe, S., Laster, B. P., Broach, L., Marinak, B., Connor, C. M., & Walker-Dalhouse, D. (2012). Differentiated instruction: Making informed teacher decisions. <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, 66(4), 303-314. doi:10.1002/TRTR.01126 Walpole, S., & McKenna, M. C. (2006). The role of informal reading inventories in assessing word recognition. <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, 59(6), 592-594. doi:10.1598/RT.59.6.10 Wise, J. C., Sevcik, R. A., Morris, R. D., Lovett. M. W., Wolf, M., Kuhn, M.,Schwanenflugel, P. (2010). The relationship between different measures of oral reading fluency and reading comprehension in second-grade students who evidence different oral reading fluency difficulties. <i>Language, Speech, and Hearing</i> <i>Services in Schools, 41</i>, 340-348 	- Assessment Analysis (PBA B)
Class 9: Oct. 31 ONLINE CLASS	How do we develop plans from assessment data?	 Geisler, J. L., Hessler, T., Gardner, R., & Lovelace, T. S. (2009). Differentiated writing interventions for high achieving urban African American elementary students. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Advanced Academics</i>, 20(2), 214- 247. finish reading articles for PBA B, #3 	 Classroom Data Spreadsheet Draft 2 (PBA C, #1) (Bb & hard copy)
Class 10: Nov. 7	How does a coach support teachers?	 Showers, B., & Joyce, B. (1996). The evolution of peer coaching. <i>Educational Leadership</i>, 53(6), 12-16. Helf, S., & Cooke, N. L. (2011). Reading specialist: Key to a systematic schoolwide reading model, <i>Preventing School</i> <i>Failure</i>, 55(3), 140-147. 	 Peer Coaching: Lesson Plans (PBA B, #4) (Bb)

Date	Topics/Essentia l Questions	Reading	Assignments Due
		 doi:10.1080/1045988X.2010.499 392 Peterson, D. S., Taylor, B. M., Burnham, B., & Schock, R. (2009). Reflective coaching conversations: A missing piece. <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, 62(6), 500- 509. doi:10.1598/RT62.6.4 	
Class 11: Nov. 14	How does a coach support teachers? (continued)	 Lesaux & Marietta – Ch. 7, 8 Hasbrouck, J., & Denton, C. A. (2007). Student-focused coaching: A model for reaching coaches. <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, 60(7), 690-693. doi:10.1598/RT.60.7.11 	 Lesson 1 Video Reflection & Annotation for Peer Coaching Meeting (PBA B, #5) (Edthena)
Class 12: Nov. 21	Video Sharing & Coaching	– none	 Lesson 2 Video Reflection & Annotation for Peer Coaching Meeting (PBA B, #5) (Edthena)
Class 13: Nov. 28		Professional Work Session	
Class 14:	Project Debrief	– none	 All PBA assignments must
Dec. 5	Course Evaluation		be uploaded to Bb.
Class 15: Dec. 12	How do we include parents in assessment?	 Hill, S., & Diamond, A. (2013). Family literacy in response to local contexts. <i>Australian Journal</i> <i>of Language and Literacy</i>, <i>36</i>(1), 48-55. TBD 	– None

Assessment Rubrics

Part A Rubric: School Report Card Analysis and Presentation (NCATE 5)

IRA Standard/ Element	Exceeds Expectations (4)	Meets Expectations (3)	Approaching Expectations (2)	Below Expectations (1)
3.1a	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
Demonstrate an	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
understanding of	evidence of	evidence of	synthesizing	synthesizing
the literature and	synthesizing	synthesizing	literature related	literature related
research related	literature related	literature related	to assessment	to assessment
to assessments	to assessment	to assessment	and the	and the
and their uses	and the	and the	appropriate uses	appropriate uses
and misuses.	appropriate uses	appropriate uses	and misuses of	and misuses
	and misuses of	and misuses of	assessments.	assessments.
	assessments.	assessments.		
3.1d Explain	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
district and state	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
assessment	evidence of	evidence of	explaining	explaining
frameworks,	explaining	explaining	assessment	assessment
proficiency	assessment	assessment	frameworks,	frameworks,
standards, and	frameworks,	frameworks,	standards, and	standards, and
student	standards, and	standards, and	benchmarks.	benchmarks.
benchmarks.	benchmarks.	benchmarks.		
3.2b Collaborate	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
with and provide	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
support to all	evidence of	evidence of	collaboration	collaboration
teachers in the	collaboration	collaboration	with and support	with and support
analysis of data,	with and support	with and support	of teachers in	of teachers in
using the	of teachers in	of teachers in	the analysis of	the analysis of
assessment	the analysis of	the analysis of	data, using the	data, using the
results of all	data, using the	data, using the	assessment	assessment
students.	assessment results of all	assessment results of all	results of all students.	results of all students.
	students.	students.	students.	students.
3.4a Analyze	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
and report	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
assessment	evidence of	evidence of	analyzing and	analyzing and
results to a	analyzing and	analyzing and	reporting	reporting
variety of	reporting	reporting	assessment	assessment
appropriate	assessment	assessment	results to a	results to a
audiences for	results to a	results to a	variety of	variety of
relevant	variety of	variety of	colleagues and	colleagues and
implications,	colleagues and	colleagues and	classmates for	classmates for
instructional	classmates for	classmates for	relevant	relevant
purposes, and	relevant	relevant	implications,	implications,
accountability.	implications,	implications,	instructional	instructional
	instructional	instructional	purposes, and	purposes, and
	purposes, and	purposes, and	accountability.	accountability.
	accountability.	accountability.		

IRA Standard/	Exceeds	Meets	Approaching	Below
Element	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations
Element	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
3.1b	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
Demonstrate an	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of an	no evidence of
understanding of	evidence of an	evidence of an	understanding of	an
established	understanding of	understanding of	the established	understanding of
purposes for	the established	the established	purposes of	the established
assessing the	purposes of	purposes of	assessing the	purposes of
performance of	assessing the	assessing the	performance of	assessing the
all readers,	performance of	performance of	all readers,	performance of
including tools	all readers,	all readers,	including tools	all readers,
for screening,	including tools	including tools	for screening,	including tools
diagnosis,	for screening,	for screening,	diagnosis,	for screening,
progress	diagnosis,	diagnosis,	progress	diagnosis,
monitoring, and	progress	progress	monitoring, and	progress
measuring	monitoring, and	monitoring, and	measuring	monitoring, and
outcomes.	measuring	measuring	outcomes.	measuring
	outcomes.	outcomes.		outcomes.
3.1c Recognize	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
the basic	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
technical	evidence of	evidence of	recognizing the	recognizing the
adequacy of	recognizing the	recognizing the	basic technical	basic technical
assessments.	basic technical	basic technical	adequacy of	adequacy of
	adequacy of	adequacy of	assessments.	assessments.
	assessments.	assessments.		

Part B Rubric: Assessment Analysis

Part C Rubric: Cl	Exceeds	Meets	Approaching	Below
IRA Standard/	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations
Element	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
2.1 Use	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
foundational	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
knowledge to	evidence of	evidence of	developing	developing
design or	developing	developing	lessons based on	lessons based on
implement an	lessons based on	lessons based on	foundational	foundational
integrated,	foundational	foundational	knowledge to	knowledge to
comprehensive,	knowledge to	knowledge to	meet the needs	meet the needs
and balanced	meet the needs	meet the needs	of learners.	of learners.
curriculum.	of learners.	of learners.		
2.2 c Support	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
classroom	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
teachers to	evidence of	evidence of	supporting	supporting
implement	supporting	supporting	partner in	partner in
instructional	partner in	partner in	developing,	developing,
approaches for	developing,	developing,	implementing,	implementing,
all learners.	implementing,	implementing,	and analyzing	and analyzing
	and analyzing	and analyzing	lessons for all	lessons for all
	lessons for all	lessons for all	learners.	learners.
	learners.	learners.		
3.2a Interpret	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
appropriate	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
assessments for	evidence of	evidence of	interpreting	interpreting
students,	interpreting	interpreting	appropriate	appropriate
especially those	appropriate	appropriate	assessments for	assessments for
who struggle	assessments for	assessments for	students,	students,
with reading and	students,	students,	especially those	especially those
writing.	especially those	especially those	who struggle	who struggle
	who struggle	who struggle	with reading and	with reading and
	with reading and	with reading and	writing.	writing.
	writing.	writing.		
3.3a Use	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little to
multiple data	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
sources to	evidence of	evidence of	using multiple	using multiple
analyze	using multiple	using multiple	data sources to	data sources to
individual	data sources to	data sources to	analyze	analyze
readers'	analyze	analyze	individual	individual
performance and	individual	individual	readers'	readers'
to plan	readers'	readers'	performance and	performance and
instruction and	performance and	performance and	to plan	to plan
intervention.	to plan	to plan	instruction and	instruction and
	instruction and	instruction and	intervention.	intervention.
	intervention.	intervention.		

Part C Rubric: Classroom Focus on Data Analysis and Instruction

	D 11	D 11		
3.3c. Lead	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
teachers in	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
analyzing and	evidence of	evidence of	leading teachers	leading teachers
using classroom,	leading teachers	leading teachers	in analyzing and	in analyzing and
individual,	in analyzing and	in analyzing and	using multiple	using multiple
grade-level, or	using multiple	using multiple	sources of	sources of
school wide	sources of	sources of	assessment data	assessment data
assessment data	assessment data	assessment data	to make	to make
to make	to make	to make	instructional	instructional
instructional	instructional	instructional	decisions.	decisions.
decisions.	decisions.	decisions.		
5.4a Use	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
evidence-based	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
grouping	evidence of	evidence of	using evidence-	using evidence-
practices to meet	using evidence-	using evidence-	based grouping	based grouping
the needs of all	based grouping	based grouping	to meet the	to meet the
students,	to meet the	to meet the	needs of all	needs of all
especially those	needs of all	needs of all	learners	learners
who struggle	learners	learners		
with reading and				
writing.				
5.4b Support	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
teachers in using	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
evidence-based	evidence of	evidence of	supporting	supporting
grouping	supporting	supporting	another teacher	another teacher
practices to meet	another teacher	another teacher	in using	in using
the needs of all	in using	in using	evidence-based	evidence-based
students.	evidence-based	evidence-based	grouping	grouping
	grouping	grouping	practices to meet	practices to meet
	practices to meet	practices to meet	the needs of all	the needs of all
	the needs of all	the needs of all	students.	students.
	students.	students.		