GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION Education Leadership Program

EDLE 620, Section 602: Organizational Theory and Leadership Development 3 credits, Spring 2016

Instructor:	Dr. Mary V. Kealy
Phone:	571 252-1023
E-mail:	mary.kealy@lcps.org
Website:	https://mymasonportal.gmu.edu
Office Hours:	After class Wednesdays and by appointment

Schedule Information

Course Dates:	January 13 – April 13, 2016	
Meeting Times:	Wednesdays, 4:30 – 7:30 pm	
	All students are expected to attend every class session. Personal problems that prevent students from attending class should be reported ahead of time to the instructor via telephone or e-mail.	
Location:	LCPS Administration Building, Rooms 420/421 21000 Education Court Ashburn, VA 20148	

Course Description

A. Corequisite(s): Application to the Education Leadership Program.

B. Catalogue Description

EDLE 620 Organizational Theory and Leadership Development (3:3:0)

Studies basic organizational theories and models of leadership and management. Emphasizes shared leadership in professional environments, communication skills, systems thinking, and personal and organizational change. Bridges theory to practical applications in educational settings.

C. <u>General Goals</u> - Organizational Theory and Leadership Development is intended to provide students with an opportunity to explore meanings of leadership in schools, leaders' role in school change and restructuring; and ways school leaders make sense of school organization. Students will explore both how organizations function and leadership choices within organizations, and they will have an opportunity to begin to develop a vision of their leadership practice and situate this practice within a perspective of how school organizations work.

Content

In order to develop leadership savvy, students will deepen their understanding of how organizations function and how leaders influence school change and improvement. Specific content includes:

- 1. Reviewing meanings of leadership and the role leaders play in school change and improvement;
- 2. Articulating a vision for effective school leadership and your beliefs about leadership, teaching, and learning;
- 3. Learning four major frameworks for analyzing organizational behavior and outcomes;
- 4. Clarifying which framework(s) students find most useful for informing their own leadership styles and choices;
- 5. Applying skills, knowledge, and dispositions gained through the Education Leadership Program to the analysis of case studies and in role-playing exercises involving leadership behavior and school change.

In addition to the content goals stated above, the following represent process goals for this course:

Teaching and Learning:

Each class will include a variety of activities and exercises. Out-of-class work will rely in part on the use of Blackboard, on readings, and on the use of resource task sheets created to complement the primary text. Specific process goals for the class are as follows:

- 1. Each class will mirror as much as possible effective leadership practice and will reflect good management. We will:
 - start and end on time;
 - maintain and follow a written agenda for each class;
 - listen first to understand, then seek to be understood; and
 - work toward common goals in a professional and cordial manner.
- 2. As they develop and refine oral presentation skills, students will
 - work individually and in groups to develop strategies for addressing organizational problems or challenges;
 - engage in a variety of learning activities, including case studies and simulations, and present their analysis orally; and
 - assess the oral effectiveness of peers.
- 3. Students are expected to apply what they have learned previously to the writing assignments for this course and to their self-assessments and assessments of peers.

We will endeavor to create a classroom climate that approximates what we know about learning organizations. Consequently, it is important that we create a space that allows participants to try out new ideas and voice opinions without fear of ridicule or embarrassment. The hallmark of a learning organization is a balance between openness and constructive feedback; hence, everyone is expected to:

- come fully prepared to each class;
- demonstrate appropriate respect for one another, guest speakers and instructor;
- voice concerns and opinions about class process openly;
- engage in genuine inquiry;
- recognize and celebrate each other's ideas and accomplishments;
- show an awareness of each other's needs; and
- maintain strict confidentiality regarding any information shared in the classroom.

<u>Nature of Course Delivery</u> - Consistent with the EDLE program goals and approach to leadership preparation, we will engage in a variety of learning activities in class, including exercises, debates, oral presentations, and analyses of cases. Students will serve as critical friends for each other, including providing periodic feedback on written assignments.

Class activities and assignments will emphasize connecting theory on leadership and school organization with the realities of professional educator's work in schools. Since an important component of any leader's learning involves balancing action and reflection, assignments will emphasize using theory as a lens for reflecting on leadership practice, and on sharing thoughts and opinions about the ways leaders impact teaching and learning in schools.

<u>Course Objectives</u> - This course is the first class in the licensure sequence in Education Leadership and is therefore intended to introduce students to theory and practice in school leadership. Students taking this class will:

- refine their perspectives on education administration as they hone their leadership skills;
- develop a personal philosophy of education and a personal vision relating to their leadership practice;
- assess their leadership strengths and areas for development;
- understand leadership roles in schools and school districts in settings characterized by diversity;
- use various social science perspectives as the foundation for advocacy and change;
- learn how to work with the larger community; and
- develop oral and written communication skills.

<u>Student Outcomes</u> - At the conclusion of this course, successful students should be able to:

- 1. Articulate their core beliefs about teaching, learning, and leadership, and relate these to their vision of effective school leadership;
- 2. Analyze educational issues using four major frameworks for analyzing organizational behavior and outcomes;
- 3. Connect major leadership and organizational theories, and apply these to the understanding of real-world puzzles associated with leadership practice;
- 4. Articulate the leadership role(s) they aspire to take at the conclusion of their program of study;
- 5. Begin to articulate how they plan to develop their leadership capabilities in the near future.

<u>National Standards and Virginia Competencies</u> - The course addresses a variety of the **ELLC Standards**, focusing <u>primarily</u> on the following: Standards 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, and corresponding components of the Virginia Standards for School Leaders:

- 1. The program in administration and supervision preK-12 shall ensure that the candidate has demonstrated the following competencies:
 - a. Knowledge understanding, and application of planning, assessment, and instructional leadership that builds collective professional capacity, including:

(7) Identification, analysis, and resolution of problems using effective problem-solving techniques;

(8) Communication of a clear vision of excellence, linked to mission and core beliefs that promotes continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.

b. Knowledge, understanding and application of systems and organizations, including:

 (1) Systems theory and the change process of systems, organizations and individuals, using appropriate and effective adult learning models;
 (2) Aligning organizational practice, division mission, and core beliefs for developing and implementing strategic plans;

e. Knowledge, understanding and application of the purpose of education and the role of professionalism in advancing educational goals, including;
(3) Reflective understanding of theories of leadership and their application to decision-making in the school setting;

(5) Intentional and purposeful effort to model continuous professional learning and to work collegially and collaboratively with all members of the school community to support the school's goals and enhance its collective capacity.

f. Knowledge understanding and application of basic leadership theories and influences that impact schools including;

(1) Concepts of leadership including systems theory, change theory, learning organizations and current leadership theory;

(2) Historical leadership theories including organizational theory, motivational theory, political and social systems theory to practical situations;

(3) Identify and respond to internal and external forces and influences on a school.

Relationship of Course Goals to Program Goals - The Education Leadership program is designed to prepare candidates for leadership and management positions in a variety of educational settings. The program emphasizes an understanding of the complexities of change in schools, communities, and organizations. This is the first class in the licensure sequence in Education Leadership and is therefore intended to introduce students to theory and practice in school leadership. Theory introduced in this class will be used throughout the program to frame candidate's thinking about leadership practice and decision making.

<u>Relationship of Course to Internship</u> - Although the internship is a separate course, the Education Leadership program has integrated "embedded experiences" into course work. This means that some of the work for class may be related to your internship. You may write about embedded experiences in your internship journal and Collective Record, but they can only count over and above the minimum 320 hours required for the internship. [However, EDLE 620 is typically taken prior to enrolling in EDLE 791, Internship; hence it is unlikely that any class work would be included in the Collective Record.]

Course Materials

Required Texts:

- Bolman, L. & Deal, T. (2013). *Reframing organizations: Artistry, choice, and leadership* (5th ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Fullan, M. (2001). Leading in a culture of change. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Recommended:

• The American Psychological Association (2009). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th edition). Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Outside-of-Class Resources:

All students are now required to activate and monitor their GMU e-mail accounts. It is my expectation that you will be fully competent to send and receive e-mail messages with attachments. If your computer at school or home has spam blocking that will prevent you from seeing messages with attachments, you are responsible for addressing this problem immediately.

Online access is vital for this class. All students are required to use Mason's Blackboard portal as part of this course. This is an Internet site to which I will post vital information for the course and through which we will communicate from time to time. Samples of student work will be archived on this site for purposes of course, program, and college assessment.

It is my expectation that all students have access to standard word processing software that can be read by Microsoft Office (2003, 2007, 2010 or 2013).

Course Assignments, Performance-based Assessment, and Evaluation Criteria

Attendance

Students are expected to attend every class for its entirety. Maximum class participation points will be earned by students who attend all classes, are on time and do not leave early.

TK20 Performance Based Assessment Submission and Blackboard Requirements

Every student registered for any Education Leadership course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit the Reframing assessment to TK20 through Blackboard. Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in TK20 through Blackboard. Failure to submit the assessment to TK20 (through Blackboard) will result in the course instructor reporting the grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required Blackboard submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

General Expectations

Consistent with expectations of a master's level course in the Education Leadership program, grading is based heavily on student performance on written assignments. Overall, written work will be assessed using the following broad criteria:

- 1. Application of concepts reflected in class discussion and readings
- 2. Original thinking and persuasiveness
- 3. The ability to write in a clear, concise, and organized fashion

Additionally, a portion of the class grade will be based on participation and the contribution you make to class discussions. The overall weights of the various performances are as follows:

Class Participation [20 points]

Students are expected to participate actively in class discussions, in group activities, and in serving as critical friends to other students. Attendance is expected for all classes. **If you must be absent, please notify me by e-mail.** However, any absence will result in a reduction of participation points. Arriving at class more than 30 minutes late or leaving more than 30 minutes before the end of class may result in loss of points.

Since collaboration, including teamwork, is an important component of leadership and a core value of GMU CEHD, the Team Presentations will count toward the total participation requirement. Students will earn 10 points for their work on the content and delivery of their presentation.

Written Assignments [80 points]

Several different types of performance-based assignments will be completed during the semester. Each assignment relates to the application of leadership and organizational theory in school settings. Each assignment and a rubric for grading each assignment are described at the end of this syllabus.

The final assignment, i.e., the Reframing paper, is the <u>program-level Performance-</u> Based Assessments for this course.

Papers are due as indicated on the schedule that follows. ALL ASSIGNMENTS must be submitted **electronically, through Blackboard**.

<u>Late work</u>: All students are expected to submit their work on time, meaning no later than by midnight of the due date. If you happen to be absent on the day a paper is due, the due date remains and the paper must be submitted electronically.

Determination of Course Grade:

Assignment #1: Platform of Beliefs	20 points
Assignment #2: Team Presentation	10 points
Assignment #3: Personal Best Reflection Paper	20 points
Assignment #4: Reframing Paper	40 points
Class Participation	10 points

Grading Scale:

A+=100 points	A=95-99 points	A- =90-94 points
B+=87-89 points	B=83 -86 points	B- =80 -82 points
C=75 -79 points	F=74 points or below	-

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

- a. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See <u>http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/</u>].
- b. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See <u>http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/</u>
- c. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- d. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See http://caps.gmu.edu/].
- e. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See http://ods.gmu.edu/].
- f. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- g. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/</u>

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See <u>http://gse.gmu.edu/</u>]

Assignments

Assignment #1: Platform of Beliefs Due Date: February 10 20 points

Rationale

The Maine School Leadership Network developed the Platform of Beliefs exercise as a tool they use with school leaders as a way of helping them identify the core beliefs that form the foundation of their decision-making and professional practice. We believe that it is important for you to identify and reflect on such beliefs so that when you step into a leadership role you will have a reliable compass. Your final internship submission requires you to re-visit and write about your Platform of Beliefs.

Process

Each person approaches a reflective exercise like this somewhat uniquely, based on past experiences, knowledge, and hopes for the future.

To create your platform:

- Identify 3 or so core beliefs that are important to you when you think about teaching, learning, and leadership.
- For each of these, explain why it is a critically important belief, and how it relates to the other beliefs.
- Then for each belief, expand on it by including a few principles that describe what the belief means and how it appears in school practices. What are people actually doing when this belief is manifested in behaviors?

Product

For this assignment, you will prepare a paper (3-4 pages) that explains the type of school leader you want to be and why. The paper should reflect APA formatting.

Students will be expected to share the highlights of their paper in class with a focus on their beliefs regarding teaching, learning and particularly, leadership.

EDLE 620 Platform of Beliefs Rubric (20 points)

	Levels of Achievement			
Criteria	Exceeds Expectations 4	Meets Expectations 3	Approaches Expectations 2	Falls Below Expectations 1
Introduction and thesis Weight 15% The introduction should draw the reader into the topic and include a one-sentence thesis. The thesis states what the author intends to prove or demonstrate in the body of the written work. For this paper, the thesis must name the focal area(s) of your platform of beliefs	The paper starts with a clear and concise statement of the topic and an introduction that provides a clear thesis that lays out the focus area(s).	The paper starts with a brief introduction that alludes to the focus area(s) and provides a general thesis.	An introduction is provided that gives only the barest hint about the focus area(s) or the information to be shared.	The paper lacks an introduction entirely, or the introduction fails to provide useful information that is focus area(s)
Explanation of personal importance of each core belief and linkages to other core beliefs Weight 25 %	Three or more core beliefs are explained and discussed clearly and skillfully in terms of personal importance and linkages to other core beliefs.	Three or more core beliefs are explained in terms of personal importance, but linkages to other beliefs are unclear.	Explanation of personal importance of core beliefs and linkages to other beliefs are incomplete or poorly constructed.	Explanation of personal importance of core beliefs and linkages to other beliefs is largely missing or inadequate.
Description of each core belief according to its associated principle(s) in leadership practice. Weight 25 %	Each core belief is described skillfully, including its associated principle(s) in leadership practice.	Description of each core belief and its associated principle(s) in leadership practice is presented, but some details are unclear.	Description of each core belief and its associated principle(s) in leadership practice is incomplete or poorly constructed.	Description of each core belief and its associated principle(s) in leadership practice is largely missing or inadequate.
Conclusion Weight 15%	The conclusion clearly explains what was learned from completing this assignment.	The conclusion suggests some of what was learned from completing this assignment.	The conclusion adds little to the understanding of the assignment.	There is no conclusion.
Organization of paper Weight 10%	The paper is powerfully organized and fully developed. Development of thesis is clear through use of specific and appropriate examples; transitions are clear and create a succinct and even flow.	The paper includes a logical progression of ideas aided by clear transitions. The sequence of information is well- organized for the most part, but more clarity with transitions is needed.	The paper includes most required elements, but content is loosely connected, transitions lack clarity.	The paper lacks a logical progression of ideas. No apparent logical order of presentation, unclear focus.
Mechanics and APA Weight 10%	The paper is error-free in terms of grammar, spelling, and APA format.	The paper includes few grammatical, spelling and/or APA format errors.	The paper lacks a logical progression of ideas; has several errors in grammar, spelling, APA format.	The paper includes frequent errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation, and APA format.

Assignment #2: Team Presentation 10 points

Team Presentation is based on one of the frames identified by Bolman and Deal:

This assignment provides you with an opportunity to join a team of class colleagues to further investigate one of the frames and to identify ways in which the particular frame may be applied in situations requiring leadership. Teams will be configured based on a sign-up list, and your team will be scheduled for a one-hour class presentation. Your team's presentation should engage the class and seek to deepen our understanding of the frames and ways to make them applicable in our current and future work. Be creative – presentation may include, but is not limited to, case studies, discussion questions, use of media, and/or role playing!!

Due Dates:

Team 1 - The Structural Frame	February 24
Team 2 - The Human Resources Frame	March 2
Team 3 - The Political Frame	March 9
Team 4 - The Symbolic Frame	March 16

Assignment #3: Personal Best Reflection Paper Due Date: February 17 20 points

Rationale

Candidates in our program come from a variety of backgrounds, and have a variety of professional interests and experiences. To discover attributes of effective school leadership we will borrow a research activity from a classic leadership work.

Process

This assignment, we will borrow an exercise from James Kouzes and Barry Posner's book, The Leadership Challenge. As a part of their studies of leaders and followers, they asked leaders to write a **personal best case**, which they then discussed to discover themes about leader behavior.

Product

The first part of this paper is the case description, which you should write up based on your own experience. For the first part of this 2-part paper, think back over your own leadership experiences and choose one that you consider to be a "personal best" -- a time when you performed at your peak as a leader. Review the experience in your mind, and ask yourself some questions used in the K & P study:

1. Description

- What characterized the situation? Who was involved, where and when did it take place, and who initiated the situation?
- What motivated you to get involved? How did you challenge yourself and others?
- How did you build enthusiasm and excitement? How did you involve others and foster collaboration? How did you build trust and respect?
- What principles and values guided you and others? How did you set an example?

Write an introductory paragraph that starts with a clear and concise statement of purpose and narrows down to a one sentence thesis that is the last sentence of the paragraph. Your thesis states your main focus and introduces what you intend to cover in your paper.

Follow the rubric (on next page) so that all aspects are covered in depth.

2. Reflection

The above helps you describe your leadership best situation. To complete the paper, examine the leadership model Fullan presents in his book. Using this model as an analytic tool, in what ways did you excel as a leader in the situation you described above? What might you have done differently to enhance your performance? What lessons did you learn about leadership from the experience? How will you apply this knowledge in the future? This is a short paper (3-5 pages), excluding title and reference pages in APA format.

3. Discussion

Be prepared to share your 'Personal Best" as part of the class discussion.

EDLE 620 Personal Best Paper Rubric (20 points)

	Levels of Achievement			
Criteria	Exceeds Expectations 4	Meets Expectations 3	Approaches Expectations 2	Falls Below Expectations 1
Thesis & Introduction Weight 10%	Establishes thesis in introduction and maintains a clear purpose	Evidence of thesis can be found in a clear introduction to the paper	Attempt to create a thesis statement and communicate the purpose in the introduction	There is no clear purpose to the paper (no attempt to create a thesis)
Description of personal best Weight 20%	The case is described thoroughly, including the rationale as to why it was selected as the "personal best"	The case is described in detailbut lacks specificity as to why it was selected as a "personal best"	Description of the case is incomplete or poorly constructed	Description of the case is missing or inadequate
Case analysis Weight 25%	The case is analyzed in a thorough manner using leadership models (from class and/or outside readings)	Leadership models from class and/or outside readings are noted without specificity	The analysis is weak or superficial	The analysis is unrelated to the case, or is missing or inadequate
Implications for leadership development Weight 25%	Lessons are derived relating to the need to develop specific actions and/or leadership development leadership dispositions and/or traits	General lessons are presented relating to future actions and/or leadership development	Lessons relating to personal leadership development are superficial	Conclusions and implications are missing or inadequate
Organization of paper Weight 10%	Paper is powerfully organized and fully developed. Development of thesis is clear through use of specific and appropriate examples; transitions are clear and create a succinct and even flow.	Paper includes logical progression of ideas aided by clear transitions. The sequence of information is well- organized for the most part, but more clarity with transitions is needed.	Paper includes brief skeleton (intro, body & conclusions) but lacks transitions. The paper includes most required elements, but content is loosely connected, transitions lack clarity.	Paper lacks logical progression of ideas. No apparent logical order of presentation, unclear focus.
Mechanics and APA Weight 10%	Error free in terms of grammar, spelling, and APA format which reflects thorough proofreading	The paper includes few grammatical, spelling, grammar, punctuation, and/or APA format errors.	The paper has several errors in grammar, spelling, grammar, punctuation, and/or APA format.	The paper includes frequent errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation, and/or APA format.

Assignment #4: Reframing Paper Due Date: April 6 40 points

Rationale

Bolman and Deal (2013) say that the essence of reframing is examining the same situation from different perspectives to develop a more holistic picture. To practice this critical leadership skill, you will reconsider a school improvement project focused on instruction that you've experienced in the last year or two at your school. You will analyze the project as a case using multiple frames to see what you can learn about the specific project and about leadership generally.

Process

Briefly describe the improvement or change

- What was the performance or achievement gap being addressed by the change?
- How was data or evidence employed to determine or explain the gap?
- What was the specific goal?
- What strategy or action was used to promote improvement? (What was the objective of the school improvement project?)
- To what degree did collaboration take place? Was it meaningful? Helpful?
- What was the rationale for using this strategy to promote improvement? (Why did anyone think implementing the action plan would bring about the specific improvement you sought?) What was the theory of action behind the project?
- What happened, and what did you learn from implementation of this project?

Product

Step back and consider the bases for your description—what frame are you using when you describe and analyze the change? Discuss your conclusions explicitly in terms of the use of the frame. What does the use of this conceptual lens help you understand about the case?

Then, select one or more other frames to examine the case:

- What do you learn by analyzing this case through the lens of this frame?
- Do you see different opportunities, challenges, or outcomes from an alternative perspective?

Reflecting on your frame analysis:

- Was the improvement effort successful? To what degree? How do you know?
- Most important: What actions would you take to make the improvement effort in your case more effective? Do any of these actions involve changing the school planning process? In what ways?

HINT: It seems likely that you would select the structural or human resources frames instinctively. As a comparison, try to select the political or symbolic frames—these may provide you with the best opportunities to see different things in the same case.

In your thesis, be sure to explain which frames you are using and why. In the body of your paper, develop what you believe to be the primary features of each frame (be brief, but let me know that you know what's unique and valuable about the frame as a way of seeing), and what you learn about the case by using the frame.

This is a somewhat longer paper ($10 \pm -$ pages) than the others assigned in this class. It must be word-processed and conform to APA format.

EDLE 620 Reframing Paper Rubric (40 points)

	Levels of Achievement			
Criteria	Exceeds Expectations 4	Meets Expectations 3	Approaches Expectations 2	Falls Below Expectations 1
Thesis & introduction 10%	The introduction draws the reader into the paper and ends with a clear and compelling thesis. The introduction provides a clear roadmap for the reader, foreshadowing what the paper is intended to cover.	The paper starts with a brief introduction that alludes to the purpose of the paper, contains a thesis, and provides a general foreshadowing of what is to be included.	The introduction provides some indication of the purpose of the paper, but lacks a thesis and/or provides inadequate or confusing information about what is to be shared.	There is no clear introduction or purpose.
Description of school improvement case: Present information pertinent to the improvement of the school's goals and educational environment (ELCC 1.2) 15%	The case is described thoroughly, with clear delineation of the critical events relating to the school improvement effort, including the data and/or information that drove school change.	The case is described generally with reference to important data or information that drove school change.	Description of the case is incomplete or poorly constructed.	Description of the case is largely missing or wholly inadequate.
Case analysis - Framing: Use theories relevant to building, articulating, implementing, and stewarding a school vision (ELCC 1.1) 20%	The frame used to initially describe the case is accurately identified, characteristics of the frame are clearly explained, and the frame is used to articulate the effectiveness of data use for school improvement in relation to school vision and goals.	The frame used to present the case initially is identified, discussed, and applied as a conceptual lens for understanding the case.	Analysis is weak or incomplete, or superficially considers the application of the frame to the analysis.	Analysis is unrelated to the case, is largely missing, or wholly inadequate.
Case re-analysis - Reframing: Promote continual and sustainable school improvement (ELCC 1.3) 20%	At least one additional theoretical frame is clearly and thoroughly described, and used to re-analyze the case. Analysis includes plans or processes for continuous improvement on the basis of the re-analysis.	At least one additional theoretical frame is briefly described and used as a conceptual lens for re- analyzing the case.	Re-analysis is weak or incomplete, or superficially considers the application of at least one additional theoretical frame.	Re-analysis is unrelated to the case, is largely missing, or wholly inadequate.
Reflection: Evaluate school progress and develop ideas for revising school plans (ELCC 1.4) 15%	Specific lessons derived from frame analysis are presented. Compelling arguments regarding the success of the improvement effort analyzed and how school plans might be revised are presented.	General lessons derived from frame analysis are presented.	Suggested actions are superficial or weakly related to the analysis and re-analysis.	Suggested actions are largely missing or wholly inadequate
Support: Assessing organizational effectiveness 10%	Specific, developed ideas and/or evidence from theory or research are used to support analysis of school improvement effectiveness.	Supporting theory or research used to support analysis of school effectiveness lacks specificity or is loosely developed.	The paper presents some supporting ideas and/or evidence in analysis of the school improvement case.	Few to no solid supporting ideas or evidence are presented.
Organization of paper: 5%	The paper is powerfully organized and fully developed.	The paper includes a logical progression of ideas aided by clear transitions.	The paper includes skeletal structure (introduction, body, conclusion) but lacks transitions.	The paper lacks a logical progression of ideas.
Mechanics and APA: 5%	The paper is nearly error- free, reflecting clear understanding of mechanics and APA and thorough proofreading.	The paper contains occasional grammatical errors and questionable word choice.	Errors in grammar and punctuation are frequent, but spelling has been proofread.	The paper contains frequent errors in spelling, grammar, and punctuation.

EDLE 620 - Organizational Theory and Leadership Development Spring 2016

PROPOSED CLASS SCHEDULE

The topic and reading schedule may be amended during the semester. When the tentative weekly schedule is revised, revisions will be posted on Blackboard.

SESSION/DATE	TOPICS	READINGS/ ASSIGNMENTS DUE
Session 1	Course Overview & Expectations	Prepare for Session 2
Jamuamy 12	Syllabus and Class Schedule	Read and reflect on:
January 13	Blackboard	Bolman & Deal, Part 1
	Course Assignments	• Fullan, Chapters 1-7
	Team Assignments	
	Introduction to Leadership Frameworks:	Assigned reading on Blackboard (BB)
	Bolman & Deal	
	Fullan	
Session 2	Leadership Theories	Prepare for Session 3
1	Leadership Standards: Draft ISLLC Standards	Review Platform of Beliefs Assignment
January 20	Fullan: Discussion Group 1	(rationale, process, product, and rubric)
	Moral Purpose	
	Understanding Change	Assigned reading on BB
	The Leader's Role in School Change: <i>The Change</i>	
	Leader	
Session 3	Leadership Theories and Styles	Prepare for Session 4
		Read and reflect on:
January 27	Fullan: Discussion Group 2	
	Relationships	How Leadership Influences Student Learning
	Knowledge Building	What We Know about Successful
	Coherence making	• What we know about Successful School Leadership
	Platform of Beliefs	-
		Assigned reading on BB
Session 4	Leadership and School Improvement	Prepare for Session 5
	Leadership for 21 st Century Schools	Read and reflect on :
February 3	Leadership for 21 Century Schools	• Bolman & Deal-Chapters 1-2
		Review:
		• Personal Best Assignment (process,
		tasks, and rubric)
		Define:
		Personal Best topic
a : c		Prepare for Platform of Beliefs Presentations
Session 5	Platform of Beliefs Presentations	Platform of Beliefs assignment due
February 10		Prepare for Session 6:
1 001001 y 10		Personal Best Discussions
Session 6	Personal Best Discussions	Prepare for Session 7
		Read and reflect on:
February 17		• Bolman & Deal-Chapters 3-5 with
		emphasis on structural frame
		assumptions
		Personal Best assignment due

Session 7	Ways of Seeing & Characteristics of	Prepare for Session 8
February 24	Organizations Team 1 Presentation:	Read and reflect on:Bolman & Deal-Chapters 6-8 with
	Structural Frame Key Concepts	emphasis on human resource frame assumptions
	Assumptions	Review:
	Organizations as Structures "Architectural" Leadership	• Reframing Assignment (rationale, process, product, and rubric)
	Fullan Leadership Framework Connection	Define:
		School Improvement Project focused on instruction topic
Session 8	Team 2 Presentation:	Prepare for Session 9
	Human Resource Frame	Read and reflect on:
March 2	Key Concepts	Bolman & Deal-Chapters 9-11 with
	Assumptions Organizations as Human and Collegial "Empowerment" Leadership	emphasis on political frame assumptions
<u> </u>	Fullan Leadership Framework Connection	
Session 9	Team 3 Presentation: Political Frame	Prepare for Session 10
March 9	Key Concepts	Read and reflect on:
Waren y	Assumptions	• Bolman & Deal-Chapters 12-14 with
	Organizations as Political	emphasis on symbolic frame
	"Advocacy" Leadership	assumptions
	Fullan Leadership Framework Connection	
Session 10	Team 4 Presentation:	Review and reflect on:
March 16	Symbolic Frame Key Concepts	• Outline/draft of Reframing assignment
Water 10	Assumptions	
	Organizations Culture	
	"Inspirational" Leadership	
	Fullan Leadership Framework Connection	
Session 11		Prepare for Session 12
	No Class-Spring Break	Read
March 23		Bolman & Deal-Chapters 15-17
		• Bonnan & Dear-Chapters 15-17
		Prepare to share Reframing assignment
Session 12	Reframing in Action	Prepare for Session 13
March 30	Corre Descentation	Read
	Case Presentations	Bolman & Deal-Chapters 18-20
		Assigned Reading on BB
Session 13	Reframing Change	Reframing assignment due
April 6	Case Presentations	
Session 14	Wrap-Up	
	Evaluation	
April 13	Celebration!	