George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Graduate School of Education

EDRD 829 Advanced Foundations of Literacy Education

Section 001 Fall 2014 Tuesdays, 4:30 – 7:10 West 1001

PROFESSOR: Dr. Bill Brozo
Office: 1808 Thompson
Hours: by appointment
Phone: 703-993-3894
Email: wbrozo@gmu.edu

Mailing Address: MSN 4B3, Graduate School of Education, George Mason University

Fairfax, VA 22030

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Advanced Foundations of Literacy Education explores advanced foundational theory, research, and methodology across the broad field of literacy both nationally and internationally. Includes analysis of historical and current trends, research, practice, and policy in literacy. Individual projects will connect literacy to students' areas of interest. Appropriate for PhD in Education students in any specialization.

Prerequisite(s): EDUC 800, EDRS 810, or permission of instructor.

NATURE OF COURSE DELIVERY

This course will be taught from an inquiry-oriented perspective. Lecture, class discussion, and role plays will be employed to understand and critique literacy theory, research, policy, and practice. Students will also have the opportunity to develop and explore their own questions about literacy that are meaningful to them, given their work to this point in the doctoral program.

STUDENT OUTCOMES

Each individual will conduct a project based on course options and her/his own interests and learning needs. The choice for individual projects should be based on what has already been accomplished in previous graduate coursework as well as goals that have been set in the doctoral portfolio. The specific nature of each project will be determined through consultation with the professor.

REQUIRED TEXTS

The syllabus lists required readings, which may be accessed through GMU electronic databases.

Recommended text:

American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION

Important Note: Regardless of the assignment you choose, your paper must be original for this course. If relevant, you may draw on ideas from previous work, but only 10% of a paper completed for another course may comprise the overall content of the paper you write for EDRD 829.

I. Term Paper

Each student will choose to write one paper from a set of required options focusing on some aspect of literacy (See options below). Each option will be explained in class and each student will be given individual support in the development of the paper. Papers should be 15-25 pages in length and include a title, logical subheadings, and a Reference section. All students will present a brief oral summary of what they learned and accomplished through the paper during the final class sessions.

- **A.** Conduct a literature review documenting the historical development of an area of literacy related to your field of interest (e.g., content literacy in mathematics, family literacy, adult literacy, multicultural literacy). Research the earliest recommendations and applications of literacy strategies and practices for this aspect of literacy and track the literature in this area to the present day. Bring the discussion into the current context by explaining and analyzing prevailing approaches and their historical antecedents.
- **B.** What theories have been proposed to explain and impel approaches to literacy related to your field of interest? Describe and analyze one or more of these theories for their explanatory value as well as how they might serve as catalysts for research.

- C. Pose a question related to an aspect of literacy in which you are interested (e.g., Why has it been difficult to infuse literacy into the math curriculum? What are the best ways to promote family literacy?) Answer the question by providing an historical perspective on the topic. Analyze how the topic was studied in the past and compare this to how it is studied today.
- **D.** What foundational knowledge in literacy informs or could inform instructional approaches in the field of interest to you. Describe and analyze this critical foundational knowledge and demonstrate existing or potential connections to research supportable practices in your area.

II. Conference Proposal

Write a proposal to give either a paper or do a roundtable or poster session at a national or international conference. The focus of the conference should be literacy or related to your field of interest. The proposed paper must include a literacy component. Submit the proposal according to the conference guidelines. You are not required to attend the conference if the proposal is accepted; however, you are strongly encouraged to do so.

III. Class Participation

Students are expected to participate actively in each class by preparing for each class. Preparation entails completing all required readings and response heuristics (See below for details.). If an absence is necessary, please discuss it with the professor.

For each course reading, respond to the following prompts. Although you are not required to submit your responses in writing, you are required to bring your responses to class in order to participate actively in discussion.

• Author's Most Significant Points

What are the author's points you found to be most significant?

• **Ouestions and Criticisms**

What doubts, challenges, and lingering questions do you have as a result of reading the text?

• Text-to-Self Connections

How does the reading contribute to knowledge building for your own professionalism?

^{*} Assignments will be graded on a *Pass* or *In Progress* basis. A *Pass* grade converts to an "A". An *In Progress* grade means the student's work has not yet achieved a *Pass* grade and s/he will be expected to continue improving the assignment until a *Pass* grade is achieved. If necessary, the student will be offered the option of taking an *Incomplete* for the course in order to finish work at a *Pass* level.

^{*}Written assignments will be submitted electronically. Redrafted assignments must include tracked changes.

TENTATIVE AGENDA

Session	Topic	Assignments Due
1. 8/26	Course Introduction & Requirements	Bring copy of syllabus to class
2. 9/2	Foundations of Literacy: A Primer Historical Perspectives	Manguel (1996) Venezky (1987) Barry (2008)
3. 9/9	ТВА	
4. 9/16	Historical Perspectives	Vogt & Shearer (2010) van Kleeck & Schuele (2010) Kamil (2012) Moore, Readence, & Rickelman, 1983
5. 9/23	Political Perspectives	Learning Point Associates (2004) Pearson (2004) McGill-Franzen (2000) Shannon (1983) (2007)
6. 9/30	Political Perspectives	Shanahan & Lonigan, 2010 Pearson & Hiebert, 2010 Goodman (1967) Moats (2007) Allington (2007)
7. 10/7	Cognitive Psychological Perspectives	Spiro (1980) McVee, Dunsmore, & Gavelek, 2005
8. 10/14	COLUMBUS DAY HOLIDAY - NO CLASS	
9. 10/21	ТВА	
10. 10/28	Cognitive Psychological Perspectives	Dole et al (1991) Kintsch & Mangalath (2011)
11. 11/4	Social Constructivist Perspectives	Palincsar (1998) Van Enk, Dagenais, & Toohey (2005)
12.	International Literacy Studies Perspectives	Peterson, Woessmann, Hanushek,

11/11		Lastra-Anadón (2011) Open Letter in Guardian (2014) Brozo et al (2014)
13. 11/18	Critical Theoretical Perspectives	van Sluys, Lewison, & Seely Flint (2006) Behrman (2006)
14. 11/25	New Literacies Perspectives	Street (2003) Leu et al (2013)
15. 12/2	Presentation of Paper Reports	Paper Reports due
16. 12/9	ТВА	

GENERAL INFORMATION

Email Access

Students must have access to email and the Internet, either at home, work, or on the GMU campus. GMU provides students with free email accounts that must be accessed for information sent from the university or the Graduate School of Education. Go to http://mason.gmu.edu/ for information on accessing email.

Taskstream Requirements: N/A

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

- 1. GMU Policies and Resources for students
 - a. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See http://oai.gmu.edu/honor-code/].
 - b. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/].
 - c. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check It regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
 - d. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of

- services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See http://caps.gmu.edu/].
- e. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See http://ods.gmu.edu/].
- f. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- g. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].
- 2. Professional Dispositions Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.
- 3. Core Values Commitment The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/
- 4. For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See http://gse.gmu.edu/]

REQUIRED COURSE READINGS

- A closer look at the five essential components of effective reading instruction: A review of scientifically based reading research for teachers (2004). Naperville, IL:

 Learning Point Associates. Author.
- Allington, R. (2007). Whole-language high jinks: How to tell when "scientifically-based reading instruction" isn't (Review). East Lansing, MI: Great Lakes Center for Education Research and Practice.
- Barry, A. (2008). Reading the past: Historical antecedents to contemporary reading methods and materials. *Reading Horizons*, 49(1), 31-52.
- Behrman, E.H. (2006). Teaching about language, power, and text: A review of classroom practices that support critical literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 49(6), 490-498.
- Brozo, W.G., Sulkunen, S., Shiel, G., Garbe, C., Pandian, A., & Valtin, R. (2014).

 Reading, gender, and engagement: Lessons from five PISA countries. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 57(7), 584-593.
- Dole, J.A., Duffy, G.G., Roehler, L.R., Pearson, P.D. (1991). Moving from the old to the new: Research on reading comprehension instruction. *Review of Educational Research*, 61(2), 239-264.
- Goodman, K. (1967). Reading: A psycholinguistic guessing game. *Journal of the Reading Specialist*, 6(4), 126–135.

- Kamil, M. (2012). Current and historical perspectives on reading research and instruction. In K. R. Harris, S. Graham, and T. Urdan (Eds.), APA educational psychology handbook: Vol. 3. Application to learning and teaching. American Psychological Association.
- Kintsch, W., & Mangalath, P. (2011). The construction of meaning. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, *3*(2), 346–370.
- Leu, D.J., Kinzer, C.K., Coiro, J., Castek, J., & Henry, L.A. (2013). New literacies: A dual-level theory of the changing nature of literacy, instruction, and assessment.
 In D. E. Alvermann, N. J. Unrau, & R.B. Ruddell (Eds.), *Theoretical models and processes of reading* (6th ed) (pp. 1150-1181). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Manguel, A., (1996). A history of reading. New York; Viking.
- McGill-Franzen, A. (2000). The relationship between reading policy and reading instruction: A recent history. Albany, NY: National Research Center on English Learning & Achievement
- McVee, M.B., Dunsmore, K., & Gavelek, J.R. (2005). Schema theory revisited. *Review of Educational Research*, 75(4), 531-566.
- Moats, L. (2007). Whole-language high jinks: How to tell when "scientifically-based reading instruction" isn't. Washington, DC: Thomas Fordham Institute.
- Moore, D.W., Readence, J.E., & Rickelman, R.J. (1983). An historical exploration of content area reading instruction. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 18(4), 419-438.
- Palincsar, A.M. (1998). Social constructivist perspectives on teaching and learning.

 Annual Review of Psychology, 49(1), 345-375.

- Pearson P. D. (2004). The reading wars. Educational Policy, 18(1), 216-252.
- Pearson, P.D., & Hiebert, E.H. (2010). National reports in literacy: Building a scientific base for practice and policy. *Educational Researcher*, *39*(4), 286-294.
- Peterson, P.E., Woessmann, L., Hanushek, E.A., & Lastra-Anadón, C.X. (2011).

 Globally challenged: Are U. S. students ready to compete? Boston, MA:

 Harvard's Program on Education Policy and Governance & Education Next
- Shanahan, T., & Lonigan, C.J. (2010). The National Early Literacy Panel: A summary of the process and the report. *Educational Researcher*, *39*(4), 279-285.
- Shannon, P. (1983). The use of commercial reading materials in american elementary schools. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 19(1), 68-85.
- Shannon, P. (2007). Reading against democracy: The broken promises of reading instruction. Portsmouth, NH: Heinneman.
- Spiro, R. (1980). *Schema theory and reading comprehension: New directions*.

 Champaign, IL: Center for the Study of Reading.
- Street, B. (2003). What's "new" in New Literacy Studies? Critical approaches to literacy in theory and practice. *Current Issues in Comparative Education*, 52(2), 77-91.
- van Enk, A., Dagenais, D., & Toohey, K. (2005). A Socio-cultural perspective on school-based literacy research: Some emerging considerations. *Language and Education*, 19(6), 496-515.
- van Kleeck, A., & Schuele, C.M. (2010). Historical perspectives on literacy in early childhood. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 19, 341–355.
- Van Sluys, K., Lewison, M., & Seely Flint, A. (2006). Researching critical literacy:

 A critical study of analysis of classroom discourse. *Journal of Literacy Research*,

38(2), 197–233.

- Venesky, R. (1987). A history of American reading textbooks. *The Elementary School Journal*, 87(3), 246-265.
- Vogt, M.E., & Shearer, B.A. (2011). Reading specialists and literacy coaches: Honoring the past, shaping the future. New York: Pearson.