GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT STUDIES

EDPD 504: Instructional Design 3 credits, Fall 2014, September 3 – December 17 Wednesdays, 1:00 PM – 4:00 PM Foreign Service Institute (FSI)/School of Language Studies, Arlington, VA

PROFESSOR:

Name:Dr. Shahron Williams van RooijOffice hours:By appointment onlyOffice phone:703-993-9704Email address:swilliae@gmu.edu

UNIVERSITY CATALOG COURSE DESCRIPTION:

Helps students analyze, apply, and evaluate principles of instructional design to develop education and training materials spanning a wide range of knowledge domains and instructional technologies. Focuses on a variety of instructional design models, with emphasis on recent contributions from cognitive science and related fields.

COURSE PURPOSE AND INTENDED AUDIENCE:

An FSI priority is to enhance the ability of their language training professionals to design and develop instruction in a consistent, systematic way. This course will help those professionals to acquire and apply basic instructional design skills to meet the diverse needs of their language students. **Note**: Course credits not applicable to a degree program.

LEARNER OUTCOMES:

At the conclusion of this course, participants will be able to:

- Define instructional design
- Compare and contrast various models of instructional design
- Analyze and discuss various learning theories and how they relate to instructional design
- Collect and analyze data to identify an instructional need
- Conduct learner and contextual analyses
- Conduct task analysis
- Write measurable instructional/performance objectives
- Analyze and discuss instructional strategies used for various types of learning
- Define formative and summative evaluation
- Create an instructional design document (IDD) that provides a solution to an instructional problem/need
- Produce a rudimentary prototype of a design concept using media of choice (e.g., MS Office)

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS:

International Board of Standards for Training, Performance and Instruction (<u>IBSTPI</u>), Instructional Design Competencies

- A. Professional foundations
 - a. Communicate effectively in visual, oral and written form
- B. Planning and analysis
 - a. Conduct a needs assessment
 - b. Design a curriculum or program
 - c. Select and use a variety of techniques for determining instructional content
 - d. Identify and describe target population characteristics
 - e. Analyze the characteristics of the environment
 - f. Analyze the characteristics of existing and emerging technologies and their use in an instructional environment
 - g. Reflect upon the elements of a situation before finalizing design solutions and strategies
- C. Design and development
 - a. Select and use a variety of techniques to define and sequence the instructional content and strategies
 - b. Select or modify existing instructional materials
 - c. Develop instructional materials
 - d. Design instruction that reflects an understanding of the diversity of learners and groups of learners
 - e. Evaluate and assess instruction and its impact
- D. Implementation and management
 - a. Provide for the effective implementation of instructional products and programs

REQUIRED TEXTS:

Morrison, G.R., Ross, S.M., Kalman, H.K., & Kemp, J.E. (2013). *Designing effective instruction* (7th edition). Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons

Additional reading materials and resources will be made available throughout the course.

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS:

There are **four (4)** assignments required for successful completion of this course:

1. Practitioner Profile (10 points)

- a. Identify one individual who serves (or has served) as an instructional/training designer at FSI.
 NOTE: FSI will provide a pool of names from which you can select the person you would like to interview. FSI will also assist in setting up the interviews.
- b. Interview that individual via phone, email or face-to-face and collect the following information:
 - i. Educational background
 - ii. Instructional design experience
 - iii. Current responsibilities
 - iv. Most successful instructional design project and why that project was successful
 - v. Least successful instructional design project and why that project was not successful
 - vi. Professional advice and/or lessons learned that the individual would offer to others entering the instructional design field
- c. Prepare a 2 3 page single-spaced **summary** of the interview using standard Business English.

- d. Prepare a brief **slide presentation** (5 slides maximum, 10 minutes per person) of your interview experience, particularly the most memorable lessons learned, to share in class
- e. For more information on how your Practitioner Profile is assessed, please refer to the *Practitioner Profile Grading Rubric* at the back of this syllabus.

2. Panel Discussion of Course Readings – Team Assignment (15 points)

- a. There are **five (5) course member-led** discussions. Each discussion corresponds to a topic in the course readings:
 - i. Learner and Contextual Analysis
 - ii. Task Analysis
 - iii. Instructional Objectives
 - iv. Sequencing, Strategies, Messages
 - v. Formative and Summative Evaluation
- b. Each discussion will be led by a panel of **5** course members.
- c. Each panel will present a 10-15 minute summary of the readings on their selected topic. The presentation should include a **one-page handout** for the class that describes the main ideas and highlights of the readings.
- d. The panel will then pose questions and/or provide comments about the relevance of the readings to their work situation. All panel members must take part in leading the discussion.
- e. For more information on how discussion panel quality is assessed, please refer to the **Panel Discussion of Course Readings Grading Rubric** at the back of this syllabus.

3. Instructional Design Document & Prototype Presentation – Team Assignment (50 points)

a. Instructional Design Document (40 points)

- i. Working in teams of **3-5 members** (you may keep the same team members from your Panel groups or you may opt to work with entirely different people), course members will develop an instructional design document (IDD) which will detail their approach to development of the prototype instructional module prior to its actual development.
- ii. The topic will be determined **by the team collaboratively** but should be related to your current or upcoming area of specialization (e.g., language basics, composition).
- iii. The IDD will present the design concept and related materials in a professionallypolished document to the instructor. The design document will include the following components:
 - Instructional Problem Definition
 - Learner and Context Analysis
 - Task Analysis
 - Instructional Objectives
 - Instructional Approach (Sequencing, Strategies, Messages)
 - Limitations/constraints
 - Instructional Materials (Sample storyboards, flowcharts)
 - Formative & Summative Evaluation

b. Prototype Presentation (10 points)

- i. The prototype presentation will consist of an **in-class** demonstration of the rudimentary prototype of the instructional design outlined in the IDD. The demonstration should clearly convey ...
 - Scope of the prototype (e.g., topic, lesson, module, course)

- Media selected
- Sample assessment items
- Layout
- Essence of the design idea that demonstrates that your solution is the best choice based on the content of your IDD
- ii. For more information on how your IDD and prototype are assessed, please refer to the *Instructional Design Document & Prototype Presentation Grading Rubric* at the back of this syllabus.

4. Peer Reviews of IDD Components (25 points)

- a. There will be a total of **five (5)** peer reviews, each corresponding to one of the first five components of the IDD and each reflecting the iterative nature of the instructional design process.
- b. Each course member will be asked to provide constructive evaluative feedback to other teams as you work on the IDD.
- c. Your feedback will be based on the criteria set down in the *Instructional Design Document & Prototype Presentation Grading Rubric.*
- d. There will be one in-class peer review session for each of the five reviews, so that everyone can familiarize themselves with the peer review process.
- e. For more information about your peer review feedback is assessed, please consult the *Peer Review Grading Rubric* at the back of this Syllabus.

Total Possible Points for all Assignments: 100

Note: Late assignments will be penalized 10% for each class session past the due date.

GRADING:

The grading scale used in this course is the official George Mason University scale for graduate-level courses. Decimal percentage values \geq .5 will be rounded up (e.g., 92.5% will be rounded up to 93%); decimal percentage values <.5 will be rounded down (e.g., 92.4% will be rounded down to 92%).

Letter Grade	Total Points Earned	
A	93%-100%	
A-	90%-92%	
B+	88%-89%	
В	83%-87%	
B-	80%-82%	
С	70%-79%	
F	<70%	

GMU POLICIES AND RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS

- a. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code (See http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/
- b. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (See <u>http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/</u>).
- c. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- d. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (See <u>http://caps.gmu.edu/</u>).
- e. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester (See <u>http://ods.gmu.edu/</u>).
- f. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- g. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (See <u>http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/</u>).

PROFESSIONAL DISPOSITIONS

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

CORE VALUES COMMITMENT

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/</u>.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website <u>http://gse.gmu.edu/</u>.

COURSE SCHEDULE:

DATE	CLASS AGENDA	ASSIGNMENTS FOR NEXT CLASS
Week 1	Introductions	Read chapters 1 and 2 in the
Sept. 3	Review syllabus	Morrison text
	Sign-up for Panel Discussion team	Draft Instructional Problem
	Blackboard course site orientation	Definition
	• Instructor presentation: Instructional De	esign
	Overview	
	• Sign-up for IDD project team and select	
	project topic	
Week 2	• Peer Review #1 – present draft Instruct	ional • Read chapter 3 in Morrison text
Sept. 10	Definition	Panel #1: Prepare handout for
	Group work: Revise Instructional Proble	m panel discussion
	Definition	
	• Instructor presentation: Learner and Co	ntext
	Analysis: Data Collection Techniques	
Week 3	Panel #1 leads discussion on Learner ar	• Complete first draft of Learner and
Sept. 17	Context Analysis	Context Analysis
	Instructor summary of Learner and Con	text
	Analysis	
	Group work: Begin drafting Learner and	
	Context Analysis	
Week 4	Peer Review #2 – present draft Learner	-
Sept. 24	Context Analysis	Panel #2: Prepare handout for
	Group work: Revise Learner and Contex	t panel discussion
	Analysis	
	Instructor presentation: Overview of Ta	sk
	Analysis	
Week 5	Panel #2 leads discussion on Task Analy	• Complete draft Task Analysis
Oct. 1	Instructor summary of Task analysis	
	Group work: Begin drafting Task Analys	
Week 6	• Peer Review #3 – present draft Task An	
Oct. 8	Group work: Revise Task Analysis	assignment to instructor by 11:59
	Preparation for Practitioner Profile	PM on Sunday, October 12
	summaries and presentations	
Week 7	Practitioner Profile presentations	Read chapter 5 in Morrison text
Oct. 15	Instructor presentation: Writing	Review Gagné's <u>Conditions of</u>
	Instructional Objectives	Learning
		Review <u>Techniques & Methods for</u>
		Writing Objectives/Performance
		Outcomes
		Panel #3: Prepare handout for
		panel discussion

DATE	CLASS AGENDA	ASSIGNMENTS FOR NEXT CLASS
Week 8 Oct. 22	 Panel #3 leads discussion on Instructional Objectives Instructor summary of Instructional Objectives Group work: Begin drafting Instructional Objectives 	Complete draft Instructional Objectives
Week 9 Oct. 29 Week 10	 Peer Review #4 – present draft Instructional Objectives Group work: Revise Instructional Objectives Instructor presentation: Instructional Approach-Sequencing, Strategies, Messages Independent group work/no instructor 	 Read chapters 6-8 in Morrison text Read the article <i>Curriculum</i> <i>Approaches in Language Teaching</i> Panel #4: Prepare handout for
Nov. 5		panel discussion
Week 11 Nov. 12 Week 12 Nov. 19	 Panel #4 leads discussion on Instructional Approach Instructor summary of Instructional Approach Group work: Begin drafting Instructional Approach Peer Review #5 – present draft Instructional Approach Selecting media for project prototype: Cruising the <u>Directory of Learning &</u> <u>Performance Tools</u> Instructor presentation: Introduction to 	 Complete draft Instructional Approach Read chapters 9 and 10 in Morrison text Revise Instructional Approach Reach chapters 11-13 in Morrison text Read the <u>Kirkpatrick Model of</u> <u>Evaluation</u> Panel #5: Prepare handout for
	Evaluation NOVEMBER 26: THANKSGIVING REG	panel discussion CESS, NO CLASS
Week 13 Dec. 3	 Panel #5 leads discussion on Evaluation Instructor summary of Evaluation Group work: Begin drafting Formative and Summative Evaluation 	Work on consolidated IDD & prototype presentation
Week 14 Dec. 10	Final Project Presentations: I	Complete Mason Course Evaluations
Week 15 Dec. 17	Final Project Presentations: IICourse wrap-up	

ASSESSMENT RUBRICS:

A. Practitioner Profile Grading Rubric (Total Possible Points: 10)

Criteria	Does Not Meet	Meets Standards	Exceeds Standards
	Standards		
Completeness:	One or more of the six	All six elements of the	All six elements of the
	elements of the	assignment are present,	assignment are present
	assignment is missing,	but only some covered	and covered in a
	remainder covered	in a substantive way	substantive way
	superficially	Point values: 3.8-4.9	
	Point values: 0.0-3.7		Point value: 5
Clarity:	Major points not clearly	Major points are stated	Major points are stated
	stated, little or no	clearly, some supported	clearly, supported by
	specific details,	with specific details,	specific details,
	examples, or analysis	examples or analyses	examples or analysis
		Point values: 1.5-1.9	Point value: 2
	Point values: 0.0-1.4		
Organization:	Paper is unstructured	Structure of the paper is	Structure of the paper is
	and hard to follow	generally clear, little or	clear and easy to follow,
		no use of headings and	with use of accurate
		sub-headings	headings and sub-
		Point values: 0.8-0.9	headings
	Point values: 0.0-0.7		Point value: 1
Language:	Rules of English	Rules of English	Rules of grammar,
	grammar, usage,	grammar, usage,	usage, spelling and
	spelling and	spelling and	punctuation are
	punctuation are not	punctuation are	followed consistently
	followed, multiple	generally followed	throughout the paper,
	language errors	throughout the paper,	no language errors
		one or two minor	
		language errors	
	Point values: 0.0-0.7	Point values: 0.8-0.9	Point value: 1
Slide Presentation:	No slide presentation	Slide presentation	Slide presentation
	provided OR	covers interview	covers interview
	presentation does not	experience but little or	experience with most
	cover interview	no personal lessons	memorable personal
	experience	learned	lessons learned
	Point values: 0.0-0.7	Point values: 0.8-0.9	Point value: 1

Criteria	Does Not Meet	Meets Standards	Exceeds Standards
	Standards		
Preparation:	Most aspects of the	Most aspects of the	All aspects of the
	presentation were not	presentation were well	presentation were well
	well prepared and	prepared and presenters	prepared and presenters
	presenters	demonstrated some grasp	demonstrated a complete
	demonstrated little or	of topic	grasp of topic
	no grasp of topic		
	Point values: 0.0-3.1	Point values: 3.2-3.9	Point value: 4
Content Coverage/	Few aspects of the topic	Most aspects of the topic	All aspects of the topic
Ideas:	were covered and most	were covered and most	were covered and all
	objectives were not met	objectives were met	objectives were met
	Point values: 0.0-2.4	effectively	effectively
		Point values: 2.5-2.9	Point value: 3
Team	Major errors/issues in	Some minor errors in	Team was well
Coordination:	working as a team	coordination and/or	coordinated and all
		collaboration	members collaborated
			and cooperated
	Point values: 0.0-2.4	Point values: 2.5-2.9	Point value: 3
Facilitation:	No supplementary	Presenters occasionally	Presenters often
	comment or probing	supplemented comments	supplemented comments
	questions/hypotheses	with additional probing	with additional probing
	to stimulate class	questions or hypotheses	questions or hypothesis
	discussion	to stimulate class	to stimulate class
		discussion	discussion
			Point value: 3
	Point values: 0.0-2.4	Point values: 2.5-2.9	
Handout:	Handout did not	Handout generally	Handout consistently
	support the discussion,	supported the discussion	supported the discussion
	few aspects were	and most aspects were	and all aspects were
	covered	covered	covered completely
			Point value: 2
	Point values: 0.0-1.5	Point values: 1.6-1.9	

B. Panel Discussion of Course Readings Grading Rubric (Total Possible Points: 15)

C. Instructional Design Document & Prototype Presentation Grading Rubric (Total Possible Points: 50)

Criteria	Does Not Meet	Meets Standards	Exceeds Standards
	Standards		
Problem definition:	Instructional design	Instructional design	Instructional design
	problem is not clearly	problem is articulated	problem is articulated
	stated	clearly, but with little or	clearly and supported
		no supporting data	with a variety of data
			sources
	Point values: 0.0-2.3	Point values: 2.4-2.9	Point value: 3
Learner & Context	Little or no description	Adequate description of	Comprehensive, data-
Analysis:	of learner	learner characteristics	driven description of
	characteristics and how	and how the context	learner characteristics
	the context relates to	relates to the problem,	and how the context or
	the problem, little or no	some use of supporting	environment relates to
	supporting data	data	the problem
	Point values: 0.0-3.9	Point values: 4.0-4.9	
			Point value: 5
Task Analysis:	Method and content	Method and content	Method and content
	reflects neither SME	reflects some SME	clearly reflects use of
	input nor other data	input, little or no other	substantive SME input
	sources	data sources	as well as other data
			sources
	Point values: 0.0-3.9	Point values: 4.0-4.9	Point value: 5
Instructional	Few or none of the	Most instructional	All instructional
Objectives:	instructional objectives	objectives are	objectives are
	are measurable nor	measurable and most	measurable and all
	supported by the	supported by the	supported by the
	instructional need &	instructional need &	instructional need &
	task analysis data	task analysis data	task analysis data
	Point values: 0.0-3.9		
		Point values: 4.0-4.9	Point value: 5
Instructional Approach:	Instructional	Instructional	Instructional
	sequencing, strategies	sequencing, strategies	sequencing, strategies
	& messages do not flow	& messages generally	& messages all flow
	logically from the	flow logically from the	logically from the
	instructional need,	instructional need,	instructional need, learner, context & task
	learner, context & task analyses, major	learner, context & task	
	disconnects	analyses, with only minor disconnects	analyses
	uisconnects	Point values: 4.0-4.9	
	Point values: 0.0-3.9	Point vulues: 4.0-4.9	Point value: 5
	Point values: 0.0-3.9		Point value: 5

Criteria	Does Not Meet	Meets Standards	Exceeds Standards
	Standards		
Limitations,	Instructional design	Instructional design	Instructional design
Constraints:	document does not	document articulates	document clearly
	articulate any pre-	some pre-project	articulates all pre-
	project limitations or	limitations or	project limitations and
	constraints	constraints	constraints
	Point values: 0.0-0.7	Point values: 0.8-0.9	Point value: 1
Instructional Materials:	Choice of instructional	Choice of instructional	Choice of instructional
	materials does not	materials somewhat	materials clearly
	reflect instructional	reflects selected	reflects selected
	strategies,	instructional strategies,	instructional strategies,
	limitations/constraints	limitations/constraints	as well as
			limitations/constraints
	Point values: 0.0-3.9	Point values: 4.0-4.9	
			Point value: 5
Formative &	Instructional design	Instructional design	Instructional design
Summative Evaluation:	document does not	document contains a	document contains
	contain a formative	limited formative and	both a comprehensive
	and/or summative	summative evaluation	formative & summative
	evaluation plan, no	with little or no	evaluation plan,
	supporting data sources	supporting data sources	supported by a variety
			of data sources
	Point values: 0.0-3.9	Point values: 4.0-4.9	
			Point value: 5
Organization:	Instructional design	Structure of the	Structure of the
	document is	instructional design	instructional design
	unstructured and hard	document is generally	document is clear and
	to follow	clear, little or no use of	easy to follow, with use
		headings and sub-	of accurate headings
		headings	and sub-headings
			Point value: 3
	Point values: 0.0-2.3	Point values: 2.4-2.9	
Language:	Rules of English	Rules of English	Rules of grammar,
	grammar, usage,	grammar, usage,	usage, spelling and
	spelling and	spelling and	punctuation are
	punctuation are not	punctuation are	followed consistently
	followed, multiple	generally followed	throughout the
	language errors	throughout the	instructional design
	throughout the	instructional design	document, no language
	instructional design	document, one or two	errors
	document	minor language errors	
	Point values: 0.0-2.3	Point values: 2.4-2.9	Point value: 3

Alignment of Prototype	Prototype does not	Prototype	Prototype clearly
with IDD:	demonstrate the	demonstrates some of	demonstrates the
with iBB.	instructional strategies	the instructional	instructional strategies
	& approach outlined in	strategies & approach	& approach outlined in
	the instructional design	outlined in the	the instructional design
	document	instructional design	document
	uocument	document	document
	Point values: 0.0-1.5	Point values: 1.6-1.9	Point value: 2
Prototype media	Selected media are	Selected media are not	Selected media are
selection:	neither innovative nor	particularly innovative,	innovative and
	appropriate for chosen	yet appropriate for	appropriate for chosen
	strategies	chosen strategies	strategies
	_	Point values: 1.6-1.9	_
	Point values: 0.0-1.5		Point value: 2
Sample assessment	Sample assessment	Sample assessment	Sample assessment
items:	items do not measure	items measure some	items clearly measure
	learning objectives	learning objectives	all learning objectives
	Point values: 0.0-1.5	Point values: 1.6-1.9	Point value: 2
Team member	Individual team	Individual team	Individual team
contributions:	members did not	members generally	members consistently
	adhere to shared	adhered to shared	adhered to shared
	roles/responsibilities	roles/responsibilities	roles/responsibilities
	documented in Bb	documented in Bb	documented in Bb
	private team areas	private team areas	private team areas
	Point values: 0.01.5	Point values: 1.6-1.9	Point value: 2
PowerPoint [©] best	Presentation did not	Presentation generally	Presentation adhered
practices:	adhere to PowerPoint©	adhered to	consistently to
	best practices	PowerPoint© best	PowerPoint© best
	documented in the	practices documented	practices documented
	Resources area of the	in the Resources area of	in the Resources area of
	Bb course site	the Bb course site	the Bb course site
	Point values: 0.0-1.5	Point values: 1.6-1.9	Point value: 2