EDCI 790 - Section 002

ESL Teaching Internship

Fall 2013

Meet daily at School Site Locations

Professor in Charge	Dr. Lorraine Valdez Pierce (Ph.D., Georgetown University)		
Mailing Address	Graduate School of Education, CEHD, MSN 1E8		
	George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030-4444		
Office Location	Thompson Hall, Rm. 1502		
Office Hours	Thursdays, 2 to 3:30 p.m. (walk-in basis) and by appointment		
Phone:	(703) 993-2050		
To make an appointment, request, or ask a question: Email: lpierce@gmu.edu			
To fax a document:	FAX: (703) 993-5300		

Catalog Description

Intensive, supervised clinical experience for full semester in PreK-12 accredited school. Students must register for appropriate [ESL] section.

Prerequisites:

Completion of all licensure courses, passing scores on PRAXIS I, II, and VCLA

Grading: Satisfactory/No credit

Graduate Credits: 6

Textbooks: No texts required

Fall 2013

DESCRIPTION

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

The Graduate School of Education (GSE) of George Mason University in Fairfax, VA prepares teacher licensure candidates to be reflective practitioners who use research-based practices and are responsive to diversity. Field experiences and Teaching Internships are an integral part of coursework and are significant opportunities for candidates to apply new knowledge, skills and dispositions in their teaching field.

Virginia state licensure for ESL teachers at the PreK-12 levels requires traditional interns to conduct <u>Teaching Internships at both elementary and secondary levels</u>. Since GMU's Teaching Internship is a 6-credit, one semester requirement, the state mandate to do internships at two separate age/grade levels (and schools) limits the traditional Teacher Intern to approximately 7 weeks at each level. By contrast, the On-the-Job teacher intern spends the entire semester at one school, with required observation hours at a school with students at a different grade level (elementary or secondary).

EDCI 790, Internship in Education, is the final course and culminating experience in GMU's state-approved ESL teacher licensure program. It provides opportunities for extended practice under the guidance of experienced professionals from the schools and university. Such professionals in the schools are called *Cooperating Teachers* (CT), except when they have been trained as clinical faculty to assume more responsibility for supervision of Teacher Interns. The *University Supervisor (US)* may be full-time or adjunct faculty.

The purpose of this *syllabus* is to provide guidance to the Teacher Intern regarding procedures and required documentation for the English as a Second Language (ESL) Teaching Internship. This syllabus does not purport to provide detailed assistance on planning, teaching, observation, supervision, or evaluation. These are covered in coursework or the *ESL Teaching Internship Manual* and training provided to members of the Teaching Internship team.

Many problems can be avoided by following instructions in the manual and by seeking assistance early. Always try to solve a problem as close to the source as possible. If that is not possible, respect the lines of communication and authority in the school and university. For example, students should work with their Cooperating Teachers, principals, and the Onsite Supervisor before seeking help from the university supervisor. However, the ESL teacher preparation program is available to provide information and assistance to all members of the student teaching team.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce Syllabus

Learning Objectives

As a result of completing the ESL Teaching Internship, each candidate will be able to:

1. Plan and implement a variety of research- and standards-based lessons and instructional activities that meet students' learning needs;

2. Teach the language of the content areas;

3. Use technology to engage students and promote their learning;

4. Use knowledge of culture to plan activities that encourage student participation;

5. Provide scaffolding for student learning;

6. Check for learner comprehension

7. Identify productive approaches to managing disruptive or unengaged students;

8. Design and use formative, classroom- and performance-based assessments to monitor student learning and direct instruction;

9. Engage in self-assessment to identify areas of strength and areas for improvement; and

10. Respond appropriately to constructive feedback.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce Syllabus

College of Education & Human Development: 5 Core Values



The College of Education & Human Development is committed to five CORE VALUES: *collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice*. Graduate students are expected to adhere to these values both in and out of class. <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/</u>

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

The Graduate School of Education (GSE) expects that *all students* abide by the following principles:

Expectations for Teacher Interns -- HONOR CODE: NO PLAGIARISM.

Teacher Interns must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code

(http:// <u>oai.gmu.edu/honor-code/</u>). The principle of academic integrity is taken very seriously and violations are treated as such.

What does academic integrity mean? Essentially this: when you are responsible for a task, you will perform that task. When you rely on someone else's work (online, published, printed handouts, personal communication) in any part of performing your assigned task, you will give full credit in the proper, accepted form.

Another aspect of academic integrity is the free play of ideas. Vigorous discussion and debate are encouraged in this course, with the firm expectation that all aspects of the class will be conducted with civility and respect for differing ideas, perspectives, and traditions. When in doubt (of any kind) please ask for guidance and clarification.

Honor Code

To promote a stronger sense of mutual responsibility, respect, trust, and fairness among all members of the George Mason University community and with the desire for greater academic and personal achievement, we, the student members of the university community, have set forth this honor code:

Student members of the George Mason University community pledge not to cheat, plagiarize, steal, or lie in matters related to academic work.

Honor Code: Faculty Responsibilities

Faculty members have a responsibility for maintaining the integrity of the learning and testing process. They should explain at the beginning of each semester what would be considered an integrity violation in their courses. Special attention should be given to the subject of **plagiarism**. Faculty members may actively proctor exams in situations that they believe warrant it. At the beginning of each semester, faculty members have the responsibility of explaining to their classes their policy regarding the Honor Code. They must also explain the extent to which aid, if any, is permitted on academic work.

EDCI 790 – ESL Teaching Internship	L.V. Pierce
Fall 2013	Syllabus

For the Teaching Internship, *Violations of the Honor Code* include:

1. Copying a paper or part of a paper from a previous student (current or past);

2. Plagiarizing or copying the words of an author from a textbook or any printed source (including the Internet) without using quotation marks and not inserting a citation immediately following a paraphrase of these words;

3. Working with another individual to prepare your papers or projects (you must write your own papers). Except for appointments to the GMU Writing Center, assistance with writing papers for this course is not allowed. As a prospective teacher, you are being graded on your own ability to write papers.

CAMPUS RESOURCES

OFFICE OF DISABILITY SERVICES
 If you are a student with a disability and you need academic accommodations, please see
 me and contact the Office of Disability Services (ODS) at 993-2474. All academic
 accommodations must be arranged through the ODS. http://ods.gmu.edu

 WRITING CENTER: Robinson Hall A, Rm. 114; (703) 993-1200;
 http://writingcenter.gmu.edu

The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing.

- UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES "Ask a Librarian" <u>http://library.gmu.edu/mudge/IM/IMRef.html</u>
- COUNSELING AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES (CAPS): (703) 993-2380; http://caps.gmu.edu

The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group

counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance.

- UNIVERSITY POLICIES. The University Catalog, <u>http://catalog.gmu.edu</u>, is the central resource for university policies affecting student, faculty, and staff conduct in university academic affairs. Other policies are available at <u>http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/</u>. All members of the university community are responsible for knowing and following established policies.
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing
- Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Technology Requirements

1. Teacher Interns will be asked to use a PC for preparing papers, for accessing the course web site on MyMason/BlackBoard, and for contacting the instructor and classmates through email. However, computers (personal, school, or GMU property, including lpads, tablets, E-readers, laptops, cell phones) are not to be used during school hours for any purpose other than researching or preparing teaching materials and communicating with the CT and University Supervisor.

2. **Teaching Internship Web Site:** Each Teacher Intern will access course **EDCI 790** on **MYMason/ (Blackboard)** using *his/her GMU email login name and password* to obtain course assignments, handouts, and other materials and also to submit documents, if needed. Go to the COURSES tab at <u>http://mymason.gmu.edu</u>

If you have problems logging in to MyMason, please go to <mymason@gmu.edu> or call (703) 993-8870.

3. GMU EMAIL ACCOUNTS: Students must use their Mason email accounts to receive important University information, including messages related to this class. See http://masonlive.gmu.edu for more information.

EDCI 790 – ESL Teaching InternshipL.V. PierceFall 2013Syllabus

TaskStream: Required Submission of CAEP Performance-Based Assessment (PBA)

Every graduate student registered for any ESL or FL licensure course <u>that requires a CAEP</u> <u>performance-based assessment</u> is required to submit this assessment through TaskStream.

Evaluation of your performance-based assessment will be provided through TaskStream at

https://www1.taskstream.com/

Failure to submit the assessment to TaskStream by the specified deadline will result in the course instructor reporting your course grade as Incomplete(IN). Unless this grade is changed upon completion of the <u>required TaskStream submission</u>, the IN will convert to a grade of F nine weeks into the following semester.

The CAEP Performance-Based Assessments for the ESL Teaching Internship are:

Classroom-Based Assessment Project (scoring rubric)

Lesson Plan Rating Scales (4 lessons)

Evaluation of ESL Teacher Intern (scoring rubric)

File-Naming Protocol

During the ESL Teaching Internship, please name each file submitted for feedback, for a score or for a grade using the following protocol:

LAST NAME_FIRST INITIAL_Requirement Name * 021213

(Month Day Year of Date Submitted)

EXAMPLE: VALDEZ_L_CBA Project * 110513

GRADING POLICY	Syllabus
Fall 2013	
EDCI 790 – ESL Teaching Internship	L.V. Pierce

The Graduate School of Education has approved the following grading policy for *EDCI 790, the Teaching Internship:*

- 1. The grading scale will be <u>Satisfactory (S) or Unsatisfactory (U)</u>.
- 2. The <u>Cooperating Teacher(s) and the University Supervisor</u> shall determine the interim and final grades jointly after consultation (but <u>final grades will not be assigned until the GMU Supervising Faculty Member has scored the Classroom-based Assessment Project and evidence is provided that the Intern has achieved a passing score on this project). If the CT & US cannot agree on a final grade, the Director of the Division of Advanced Professional Teacher Development & International Education will determine the grade based on a review of the documentation and, in some cases, observation of the intern's performance.</u>
- 3. A Teacher Intern who receives a total <u>score less than 3</u> on the *Classroom-Based Assessment Project* or on the final overall *Analytic Scoring Rubric for Evaluation of ESL Teacher Intern* <u>will not be recommended for a state teaching license</u> until he/she repeats all or part of the internship with a grade of *S* (This may require enrolling and paying tuition for additional credit hours in a subsequent semester.)
- 4. A Teacher Intern whose performance cannot be evaluated at the end of the grading period will receive a grade *of IN*. An *IN* grade will be changed to *S* or <u>U</u> before the beginning of the next semester.
- 5. In some cases, a grade of *U* may be accompanied by a recommendation that the student not be allowed to repeat the Teaching Internship. In such cases, the student will be counseled out of the licensure program, although not necessarily out of the degree program.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Teaching Schedule & Timeline A for Traditional Interns

Working with your CT, use the table below to schedule a transition to your independent teaching

Weeks*	Teacher Intern (TI)	Cooperating Teacher (CT)	University Supervisor (US)
1-2	Observe CT & several content teachers for differentiation strategies and classroom management approaches (shadow several ESL students to their content or general education classrooms). Learn about language proficiency tests used for program placement Plan short lessons to co-teach Week 1 - Draft 3 pre-tests for CBA Project & submit to University Supervisor & Dr. Pierce for approval Week 2 – Administer 3 pre-tests to students Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Report	Orient intern to school Facilitate observations of other teachers and classrooms Plan short lessons w/ intern Complete Informal Observation Form Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Report	Meet with Intern and CT Schedule observations Explain, clarify, answer questions, especially required documentation
2 - 3	Plan longer lessons, reflect on the lessons presented Participate in student-related activities Take responsibility for teaching at least one class of students, then 2 and 3 classes Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Reports	Assist TI in lesson planning and provide feedback (Appendix A) Allow TI to do independent teaching with at least one class of students Complete Informal Observation Form Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Reports	Conduct Formal Observation 1 Complete Formal Observation Report & Lesson Plan Rating Scale Provide feedback and approve 3 pre-tests for CBA Project
4 - 7	Take over total teaching responsibility in coordination with CT Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Reports, Log of Hours, all evaluation forms Weeks 7 – Revise 3 pre-test assessment tools and submit to US & Dr. Pierce for feedback and approval Week 8 –Submit final CBA Project to University Supervisor and Dr. Pierce During Weeks 7 (and 8), gradually return all classes to the CT and freely observe other teachers	Assist TI in taking over total teaching responsibility of classes agreed upon (eventually leave the classroom) Complete Informal Observation Form, Bi-Weekly Progress Reports, Interim or Final Evaluation Rubric with US, and all evaluation forms During Weeks 7 (and 8) Gradually resume teaching responsibilities for all classes	Conduct Formal Observation 2 Complete Lesson Plan Rating Scale, CBA Rubric, Complete Interim or Final Evaluation Rubric with CT. and all evaluation forms

*Items in red indicate documents due to the University Supervisor.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Teaching Schedule & Timeline B for On-the-Job Interns

Weeks*	Teacher Intern (TI)	Cooperating Teacher (CT)	University Supervisor (US)
1 – 2 &	Meet CT & content teachers who work with your ESL students	Orient TI to school	Meet with Intern and CT
9 – 10	Observe your CT teach her own, and perhaps your class	Facilitate observations of other teachers and classrooms	Schedule observations
	Ask CT to cover your class while you observe content or grade-level teachers for differentiation strategies and classroom management approaches	Provide feedback on lessons created by the Intern	Explain, clarify, answer questions, especially required documentation
	Find out about school system's requirement to observe in an elementary school if you are teaching at	Complete Informal Observation Form	
	the secondary level (and vice versa)	Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Reports	
	Learn about WIDA language proficiency testing schedule and how your own students' scores are used for placement in the ESL program		
	Week 1 - Draft 3 pre-tests for CBA Project & submit to University Supervisor & Dr. Pierce for approval**		
	Week 2 – Administer 3 pre-tests to students		
2 – 3 & 11 - 12	Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Reports Continue drafting lesson plans and getting feedback from CT Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Reports	Provide feedback & suggestions on lesson plans, assessments, & classroom management	Conduct Formal Observation 1 Complete Formal
		Complete Informal Observation Form Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Reports	Observation Report & Lesson Plan Rating Scale Provide feedback and approve 3 pre-tests for CBA Project
4 – 7 &	Complete Bi-Weekly Progress Reports	Provide feedback & suggestions on lesson plans,	Conduct Formal Observation 2
13 - 14	Weeks 7 – Revise 3 pre-test assessment tools and submit to US & Dr. Pierce for feedback and approval	assessments, & classroom management Complete Informal	Complete Formal Observation Report, Lesson
	Week 8 –Submit final CBA Project to University Supervisor and Dr. Pierce	Observation Form, Bi-Weekly Progress Reports, Mid-Term or Final Evaluation Rubric	Plan Rating Scale, CBA Rubric, Mid-Term or Final Evaluation Rubric with CT
	Week 15- Complete Log of Hours & all evaluation forms	with US, and all evaluation forms	

*Items in red indicate documents due to the University Supervisor.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Required Forms*

To be turned in to the University Supervisor by End of each School Placement (for *Traditional* Interns) or End of Semester (for *On-the-Job* Interns)**

Appendix TI: Forms to be completed by the ESL Teacher Intern

- TI-1: Log of Hours
- TI-2: Bi-Weekly Progress Report
- TI-3: Teacher Intern's Evaluation Form

Appendix CT: Forms to be completed by the Cooperating Teacher

- CT-1: Informal Observation Form (exception not turned in to US)
- CT-2: Bi-Weekly Progress Report
- CT-3: Lesson Plan Rating Scale
- CT-4: Analytic Scoring Rubric for Evaluation of ESL Teaching Intern
- CT-5: Cooperating Teacher's Evaluation Form

Appendix US: Forms to be completed by the University Supervisor

- US-1: Lesson Plan Rating Scale
- US-2: Formal Observation Report
- US-3: Analytic Scoring Rubric for Classroom-Based Assessment Project
- US-4: Analytic Scoring Rubric for Evaluation of ESL Teacher Intern
- US-5: University Supervisor's Evaluation Form

*All required forms are available in the ESL Teaching Internship Manual.

**For confidentiality purposes, if you would like to put Evaluation forms in separate envelopes (e.g., those the intern fills out in one envelope, the ones the Cooperating Teacher and University Supervisor fill out in different envelopes), and sign on the seal of the back of the envelope, that would be acceptable.

EDCI 790 – ESL Teaching Internship	L.V. Pierce
Fall 2013	Syllabus

TEACHING INTERNSHIP GUIDELINES

Attendance: Teacher Interns maintain the same schedule as Cooperating Teachers, with the contract day as the minimum but not the norm. They <u>follow the school's calendar</u>, not the university calendar, until the end of the GMU semester.

Absences: Teacher Interns follow school division policy regarding notification of absences for illness or other emergencies. <u>The Cooperating Teacher and principal must approve all</u> <u>absences</u> (except emergencies) in advance. <u>The University Supervisor must be notified of all</u> <u>absences as they occur</u>, by email and by phone. <u>Time missed in excess of three days usually</u> <u>must be made up</u> in order to provide sufficient time for independent teaching or other experiences.

School Dress Code: Teacher Interns are required to obtain information on and follow the school dress code for teachers.

Substituting: Teacher Interns are not allowed to be employed or paid as substitute teachers.

Professional and Legal Responsibilities: Teacher Interns are <u>expected to meet</u> <u>professional standards</u> in every respect, including <u>personal appearance and behavior</u>. Personal commitments are no excuse for failing to fulfill all duties and responsibilities of the Teaching Internship. Outside employment is not allowed during the Teaching Internship. Teacher Interns are legally responsible for exercising reasonable care for their ESL students' welfare and for complying with federal, state, and local policies and regulations. This is best accomplished through careful study of the school's Teacher Handbook and through guidance from teachers or administrators. Special attention should be given to responsibilities and procedures for dealing with suspected child abuse.

Confidentiality of Records: School Divisions and GMU policies regarding student records will be followed. A Teacher Intern's evaluation may be shared with the Cooperating Teacher, University Supervisor, and administrators until the University Supervisor submits the Teacher Intern's cumulative folder to the Office of Academic and Student Affairs. After that time, access will be in accordance with the Privacy Act. No materials will be released for employment purposes other than for verification of meeting licensure standards.

Records Retention: Contents of cumulative folders will be retained for one year after completion of the internship. After that, the transcript and the Analytic Scoring Rubric for Evaluation of Teacher Interns will be the only available records. Therefore, Teacher Interns should keep their own copies of evaluations, logs, summaries, and other records pertaining to the Teaching Internship.

Fall 2013

TEACHING INTERNSHIP PLACEMENTS

Requests for placements are processed by the <u>Office of Academic and Student Affairs' Field</u> <u>Placement Specialist (FPS)</u> [Thompson Hall Rm. 1708] based on the number of applicants, the licensure areas, the availability of voluntary, qualified Cooperating Teachers recommended by school principals, and each school division's conditions for accepting Teacher Interns.

Assignments of University Supervisors are made before the semester begins based on their experience and availability. Full-time faculty are required to take responsibility for a minimum of 5 Teacher Interns. Qualified adjunct faculty are employed as University Supervisors when full-time faculty are not available.

Changes in Teaching Internship placements, Cooperating Teachers, and/or University Supervisor assignments are rarely made and considered only for serious reasons. Such changes must be approved by the GMU Supervising Faculty Member in charge of ESL Teacher Interns and coordinated through the Office of Academic and Student Affairs.

FORMAT FOR TEACHING INTERNSHIP

Teacher Interns can be either *Traditional* or *On-the-Job* Interns. *Traditional* Interns are preservice teachers who go into another teacher's classroom to learn from that teacher and take on that teacher's responsibilities for teaching. *On-the-Job* (OTJ) Interns, on the other hand, have been hired by a school system under a Provisional License and will be working independently in their own classrooms.

Traditional Teacher Interns in the ESL PreK-12 program will spend half of their internship period at the elementary grade level (K-6) and the remaining half at the secondary level. This will typically require a change of school.

On-the-Job Teacher Interns have been hired by a school system under a Provisional License and will be required to conduct their Internship at their school of employment. However, because they will be teaching at either the elementary or secondary level, they will also be required by the state and the college to observe students at a different school <u>for a minimum</u> <u>of 20 hours during the on-the-job internship</u>. This will entail leaving their own classrooms to observe teachers working with students at a different grade level. For example, an OTJ intern hired to work in a high school will be required to observe an ESL teacher in an elementary school during the Teaching Internship. On-the-Job Teacher Interns should make arrangements with their principal or supervisor to complete required observation hours at a different grade level. <u>Hours can be completed by utilizing early release days, planning periods, or by taking leave.</u>

L.V. Pierce Syllabus

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

The Teaching Internship begins by observing the ESL teacher and general education or content teachers and co-teaching with any of these teachers. The purpose of conducting preliminary observations during Week 1 is to familiarize the Intern with the content of instruction in ESL and various content or general education classrooms to see how each teacher differentiates instruction (or does not) for ESL students, to introduce the Intern to the nature of learning challenges posed to ESL students in both ESL and content-area classrooms (by *shadowing* an ESL student), and to generate ideas for conducting the Classroom-Based Assessment (CBA) Project.

The *Traditional Teacher Intern* will gradually assume responsibility for each class until he/she carries a full teaching load for a minimum of 4 weeks. Toward the end of the assignment, the Teacher Intern gradually returns responsibility for instruction of each class to the ESL teacher. During the transition periods before and after independent teaching, the Cooperating Teacher and the Teacher Intern may co-teach or share responsibility for specific periods or subjects.

Teacher Interns should always progress at a rate appropriate to their preparedness to assume responsibility for instruction.

LENGTH OF TEACHING INTERNSHIP

<u>GMU requires 300 student teaching clock hours, including 150 clock hours of direct teaching.</u> <u>This commitment is a 15-week **full-time** experience.</u> These requirements exceed the current state licensure requirement. An extended period of student teaching provides better preparation and is protection against contingencies such as illness or other interruptions. Traditional teacher interns are expected to complete the full semester of student teaching in two different placements (K-6 & 7-12 grades).

During student teaching, each traditional intern must complete a minimum of 75 hours of direct teaching in their classroom for each placement (i.e. 75 hours in a K-6 classroom and 75 hours in a 7-12 classroom). This is a gradual evolution of accepting responsibility during the 7 week placement in which the Cooperating Teacher slowly decreases their active role as the traditional intern takes control of the classroom. Then, as the intern transitions into the second placement or completion of student teaching, the Cooperating Teacher will gradually resume full control of the classroom. The Cooperating Teacher and Teacher Intern will work toward a mutually agreeable schedule to complete the required direct teaching in each placement.

Fall 2013

Syllabus

L.V. Pierce

<u>On-the-Job interns will be required to complete a minimum of 20 hours of observation</u> and, if possible, direct teaching in an alternative grade level in order to meet internship requirements.

In addition to classroom time, <u>teacher interns are expected to participate</u> in school-based activities may include after-school programs, school open house or back-to-school night, or other non-teaching responsibilities as required by the school or the University.

Teacher Interns are expected to complete the full semester of internship. The Director of the Division of Advanced Professional Teacher Development & International Education may approve early termination based upon the recommendation of the University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE TEACHING INTERNSHIP TEAM

The Teaching Internship Team consists of 3 members: the Teacher Intern (TI), the Cooperating Teacher (CT), and the University Supervisor (US). The effectiveness of the Internship depends on the degree to which all members of the Teaching Internship team fulfill their responsibilities and establish good working relationships. <u>Frequent and open</u> <u>communication</u> is essential. Each <u>Intern should maintain weekly contact with his/her US</u> by phone or email, especially with regard to the development of the *Classroom-Based Assessment Project*.

The Teacher Intern*

The Teaching Internship can be a time of great personal and professional growth. Being a full-time job, it can be stressful, because there is so much to learn and so much at stake. Being flexible in adapting to differences in school cultures, teaching styles, supervisors' philosophies, and students' needs will reduce anxiety and increase growth.

<u>First Meeting with your Cooperating Teacher --</u> The TI will make the initial contact with the CT to arrange the first meeting only after ensuring that the University Supervisor can attend that meeting. The US will explain the requirements for the Internship to the CT. The TI is NOT expected to explain all procedures and forms at the first meeting -- that is the University Supervisor's role. The first meeting should take place at least one week before the Internship period begins.

During the Internship, Teacher Interns should:

- Ask for assistance, advice, feedback, coaching and constructive criticism and act on it as appropriate.
- Plan in great detail. Planning is one area where you cannot emulate an experienced teacher.
- Become a "reflective practitioner." Learn from everyone around you, but especially from your students and from your own errors.

Fall 2013

- Earn the respect and confidence of colleagues by demonstrating initiative, responsibility, and resourcefulness.
- Demonstrate high standards of ethics and professionalism in your dealings with colleagues, students, and parents.
- Be responsible for initiation of progress reports, completion of logs, and collection of all documentation.

*Responsibilities of the CT and US are detailed in the ESL Teaching Internship Manual.

 Complete evaluation forms for your University Supervisor (US), your Cooperating Teacher(s) (CT), and of this Manual.

Documentation

The Teacher Intern will complete the following items and keep copies of them:

- Log of Hours: Teacher Interns must keep a daily log of hours specifying hours spent in direct teaching activities, indirect teaching activities, and other school-based activities. A subtotal of hours is calculated and submitted to the University Supervisor at the midterm or end of first placement, and a total sum of hours is submitted at the end of the semester.
- Informal Progress Report: A self-assessment and feedback tool, does not need to be turned in to the University Supervisor
- **Bi-Weekly Progress Report:** Teacher Interns complete Part I of the Progress Report once every two weeks, give it to the Cooperating Teacher for completion of Parts 2 and 3, and submit it to the University Supervisor at each Formal Observation.
- Lesson Plans: For all direct teaching activities, the Teacher Intern will provide lesson plans for review by the Cooperating Teacher. The Teacher Intern should also submit draft lesson plans for the Formal Observation to the University Supervisor <u>AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE FORMAL OBSERVATION</u>. The format may be mutually determined, but should include the elements listed in the *Lesson Plan Elements* found in the *Appendix of Resource Materials*. The only lesson plans to be turned in to the University Supervisor are those used during the Formal Observations by the US.
- **Teacher Tasks & Resources Checklist** (*for review but not submission*): The Teacher Intern should review this Checklist with the Cooperating Teacher after the first week of working together. Areas of interest should be addressed during the remainder of the internship (*for each school placement for Traditional Interns*).
- Evaluation Forms: At the end of each school placement (or end of semester for *On-the-Job Interns*), the Teacher Intern should complete Evaluation Forms for the

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Fall 2013

U

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Cooperating Teacher. All Interns should complete Evaluation forms for the University Supervisor and this Manual only once, at the end of the semester. All Evaluation Forms are to be delivered to the Teacher Placement Office on campus.

 Note on Extended Absences by TI, CT, or US: If a Cooperating Teacher, University Supervisor, or Teacher Intern plans on being away from the school setting and Internship experience for more than 3 consecutive days, this extended absence will need to be approved by the university professor in charge of the ESL Teaching Internship. Since the Teaching Internship is an intensive supervised teaching experience, extended absences are not recommended. Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Classroom-Based Assessment Project (CBA) Questions & Answers (Q & A)

1. What? WHAT is the Classroom-Based Assessment Project (CBA)?

The Classroom-Based Assessment Project is a <u>formative assessment</u> data gathering process that can help the ESL Teacher Intern determine his/her impact on student learning.

Each Teacher Intern (TI) will demonstrate understanding of <u>the uses of language proficiency</u> <u>tests for program placement</u>, <u>standards-based assessment</u> and instruction, how to apply principles of <u>scaffolding</u> in classroom-based assessment practices, how to ensure <u>validity and</u> <u>reliability</u> in the assessment of ESL students, and <u>how to use assessment results to reflect on</u> <u>one's own teaching</u>, <u>determine impact on student learning</u>, <u>redirect instruction</u>, <u>and improve</u> <u>student learning</u>.

Based upon the recommendation of the Cooperating Teacher, each Intern will design a series of performance-based pre-tests (and revise these later for post-testing) to be administered to one class of students. The CT and Teacher Intern will identify one language skill (listening, speaking, reading, or writing) that students are having the most difficulty with (these must be students who are already reading and learning mathematics, science, or social studies concepts).

The Teacher Intern will ask his/her CT to identify one or part of one SMART Goal (or learning objectives – *specific, measurable, appropriate, realistic, time-limited*) that she would like the Teacher Intern to assist in achieving (see MyMason/Blackboard for examples of SMART Goals). On-the-Job Interns can identify a SMART Goal for one group of students they are working with. By answering the following question, the Intern will be able to identify an assessment focus based on the learning goals.

What single language skill (speaking, listening, reading, or writing) and target content area (math, science, social studies) vocabulary and/or concepts would you and/or the CT like to measure in order to determine improvement in student learning with regard to one or part of one Smart Goal (or instructional objective) over a 4-week period?

The Teacher Intern will support his/her CT in achieving one of his/her SMART or curricular goals and will structure the CBA project around that focus. On-the-Job Interns will get feedback from their CT on the SMART Goal they have identified for the CBA Project.

Each Teacher Intern's CBA Project will focus on assessment of *academic language*, the *language of science, social studies, or math*. If the CT teaches English Language Arts instead of a content area *(math, science, social studies)*, the Teacher Intern will ask the CT to introduce her to teachers who teach one of the content areas for ideas on what their ESL students are struggling with and how the Intern can help them learn those skills.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce Syllabus

For example:

The Teacher Intern meets the biology teacher (and observes his classroom), who says that his ESL students are having difficulty learning numerous scientific vocabulary terms and using them to comprehend what they read. In response to this student learning need, the Teacher Intern will design 3 assessment tools that reflect a variety of performance-based pretest formats (self-assessment form, rating scale, analytic rubric, primary trait rubric or checklist), and get feedback and approval for each of these from the University Supervisor by the end of Week 1 of the teaching internship. The Intern will administer all 3 pre-tests to 5 - 10 ESL students who are taking that biology course during Week 2 of the Internship.

It is critical that ESL Teacher Interns understand that the CBA Project is not just a paper required for the ESL Teaching Internship. Instead, this project will help prepare Interns to get a high score on at least 40% of their teacher evaluation once they do get hired. And a teacher's evaluation score ultimately determines his/her SALARY as well as the 1- or 3-YEAR PROBATION STATUS.

2. Why? WHY is the ESL Teacher Intern required to do the CBA Project?

NCATE and TESOL national professional accreditation standards require ESL licensure candidates to <u>determine the impact of their own teaching</u> on their students <u>using a variety of performance-based assessments</u> after having taught them <u>for an extended period of time</u>. The CBA Project has been designed in direct response to these standards.

3. How? HOW will the ESL Teacher Intern conduct the CBA Project?

The TI will draft 3 different performance-based assessment tools (*rating scale, analytic rubric, primary trait rubric, or checklist -- including one of these for self-assessment*) based on a specified assessment purpose identified by the CT or On-the-Job Intern (usually linked to SMART Goals or other curricular goals), and conduct pre-testing and post-testing to determine student growth on the identified learning objectives. The pre-tests will be followed by at least 4 weeks of independent instruction by the TI to determine his/her impact on the student's progress toward the learning objectives being measured.

4. Who? WHO will be involved in the CBA Project?

The Teacher Intern will work with the CT (OTJ Interns will get feedback from their CT) to identify at least 5 students (and preferably 10) whose progress in listening, speaking, reading, OR writing could benefit from being monitored closely for several weeks. To identify the

EDCI 790 – ESL Teaching InternshipL.V. PierceFall 2013Syllabus

content of the assessment, the TI will consult with content or general education teachers to identify particular areas of weakness for their ESL students.

5. When? WHEN will the ESL Teacher Intern conduct the CBA Project?

The Teaching Internship takes place over one university semester or 15 weeks. The Intern will complete the CBA Project during the first half (7 - 8 weeks) of the Teaching Internship. If the project is not satisfactory, the Intern will be asked to repeat the CBA Project in the second half of the semester.

The Teacher Intern will do pre-testing with the target students in Week 2 and post-testing with the same students in Week 6 or 7, allowing 4 weeks of instruction between pre- and post-testing.

Instructions for the Teacher Intern

Part 1: Design & Administration

Week 1 – Rationale & Pre-Test Drafts

Identify your target student population by describing the grade level, language proficiency level, and number of students in class. Then describe their learning needs and strengths and specify your assessment purpose based on these needs. Explain how your assessment purpose is also based on VA state English Language Proficiency (ELP) standards and your CT's SMART goals (or the goals of the On-the-Job Intern).

During Week 1, you will meet content area teachers (math, science, social studies) and shadow ESL students into their classrooms. Based on your observations of student language weaknesses, you and your CT will identify CONTENT AREA READING OR WRITING SKILLS that they need to improve.

Examples:

Learning vocabulary through the study of word roots, affixes, & suffixes

Finding the main idea and details of a textbook page or chapter

Organizing writing using transition words and appropriate text structures

If the ESL students are studying science with the ESL teacher, and within 4 weeks are going to switch to math, you can conduct the pre-tests in science and the post-tests in math, as long as you are measuring the SAME LANGUAGE SKILLS.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

You are not testing to see how well students learn or memorize vocabulary from one specific chapter or topic but rather to see how they are using learning strategies effectively to read and/or write better.

<u>Draft 3 different assessment tool formats</u>* (choose from rating scale, analytic rubric, primary trait rubric, or checklist -- including one of these for self-assessment) based on your assessment purpose, and s<u>ubmit your Rationale and 3 pre-test drafts to your University</u> <u>Supervisor, your CT, and to Dr. Pierce for feedback and approval before trying out any of them with your students.</u>

You may want to adapt assessment tools that already exist and that suit your assessment purpose. When adapting assessments developed by others, you will need to cite original sources at the bottom of the page for each tool.

Week 2 – Pre-Testing

Once approved by your CT, University Supervisor, and Dr. Pierce, administer all 3 pre-tests during Week 2. Make a note of anything that did not go as expected, especially student engagement and verbal reactions to the assessments.

Weeks 3 – 7 – Independent Teaching

Plan on teaching independently, with no assistance from your CT or the general education teacher, from Weeks 3 to 7. Each week you will add on another class of students so that by Week 6 you are teaching ALL of your CT's classes independently. On-the-Job Interns will most likely be doing Independent Teaching for the entire Internship semester.

You will do independent teaching with the targeted class for the CBA Project for a minimum of 4 weeks from pre- to post-test. This means that you will begin teaching them independently in Week 2 and no later than the beginning of Week 3 and end teaching them independently in Week 8.

* Multiple-choice tests are not an option for the CBA Project, because TESOL has specified that for national accreditation purposes, all student assessments must be performance-based.

Week 7 – Revise Pre-Tests & Draft Post-Tests

Revise your pre-tests based on how well the students responded to them, what they understood or did not understand, whether the tests were too easy, too hard, or too vague, and use these revised versions of the same assessment tools for the post-tests. The topics or content of the assessments may be different for the post-testing, but the 3 assessment formats will remain the same. Revise each of the 3 pre-tests to increase validity (accuracy) and reliability (consistency of scoring).

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

As you did with the pre-tests, <u>send your CT, University Supervisor, and Dr. Pierce a draft of</u> <u>each REVISED assessment tool to be used for post-testing for feedback and approval</u> in Week 7.

Week 8 – Post-Testing

<u>After at least 4 weeks of independent teaching</u> of the targeted CBA students, you will conduct post-testing during Week 8 to determine what they have learned and how well your revised assessment tools work.

Week 9 – Write up CBA Report & Post on MyMason/Blackboard

No later than Week 9, Interns will post their CBA project on TaskStream.

Using WIDA Test Scores

A key element in monitoring ESL student learning is determining placement level in the ESOL program of each school system. Take every opportunity to assist in the <u>administration</u> and scoring of the WIDA English language proficiency tests (whenever you are allowed to do so). Then examine the range of scores for your Cooperating Teacher's students on these tests. Find out how test scores are used to place students at beginner, intermediate, or advanced levels.

As part of your final CBA report, you will <u>describe the current WIDA and program levels</u> of the targeted students and <u>recommend whether they should remain at their current program</u> <u>level</u> or be moved to a different level that would be more appropriate for their language proficiency (regardless of whether this will actually happen or not).

Part 2: Justification

Determining Your 3 Assessment Tools

You will be designing 3 different assessment tools (formats). These must be different from one another and selected from the following: *rating scale, analytic rubric, primary trait rubric, or checklist* and one of these must be a *student self-assessment* form.

Describe and justify the thinking behind the development of EACH of your 3 assessment tools. For example, when using an analytic rubric, provide a rationale for why it is the best tool for your specific purpose and the thinking that went into your design. Why are <u>the structure and format</u> of an analytic rubric more appropriate than a checklist for your intended purpose? Why would the structure of a checklist be more appropriate than a rating scale for a different assessment tool you plan to use?

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce Svllabus

Describe and defend the scoring categories (criteria) and scale range based on your assessment purpose and the learning needs of your target population. Explain how you used descriptive, objective language in the scoring criteria for each assessment tool (and provide examples from the tools themselves) to increase the intra-rater reliability of the results (only you need to score the results and determine if you are scoring consistently from the first student to the last). What steps will you take to ensure intra-rater reliability? For recommendations on drafting the language of your assessment tools, refer to the *Do's & Don'ts for Designing Performance Based Assessment Tools* on MyMason/Blackboard.

Part 3: Scaffolding

Use at least 3 different types of <u>visible scaffolding</u> for drafting directions to students, presenting reading or visual materials for spoken or written responses, and for student responses. Visible scaffolding can include any of the following: *providing simplified language, visuals such as graphic organizers or photographs, manipulatives for hands-on responses, a range of choices such as a word bank or choice of tasks, and completed examples of the type of expected response or performance.* Scaffolding is to be added to student handouts, such as directions to students, but not to teacher rubrics or other assessment tools to be used by the teacher.

Describe and justify each type of scaffolding added to assessment activities and tools. Why is each scaffolding approach appropriate for this class of learners and for your assessment purpose?

Explain how you reduced the linguistic load and made other improvements to the assessments that will enhance the likelihood of measuring what ELL students actually know and increase the validity of your assessment results.

Part 4: Validity & Reliability

Explain how you designed your assessment tools to ensure the <u>construct, content</u>, and <u>consequential validity</u> of results.

In addition, explain how the language of your assessment tools ensures consistency in scoring, increasing the intra-rater reliability of your results (inter-rater reliability established with your CT also doing the scoring is optional).

Part 5: Teaching Impact Analysis & Revisions

Analyze the changes in <u>mean student scores</u> on each of the 3 assessment tools from pre- to post-test. Did most of the students do well in one scoring category but not another? Did you find it difficult to distinguish between one score description and another as you were scoring

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

student performance? Take note of learning patterns – which scoring categories have your students done better on since the pre-test? What evidence do you see of the impact of your teaching on your students' learning?

Based on the changes in student scores from pre- to post-testing, <u>make specific</u> <u>recommendations for (1) next steps in instruction</u> in order to meet students' learning needs and (2) <u>for improving each of the assessment tools</u> used.

In addition, <u>compare how the majority of students (included in the CBA Project) performed</u> <u>compared to their mean WIDA test scores and program placement</u>. Based on your CBA results, <u>explain whether you recommend maintaining this target group of students in their</u> <u>current ESL program placement</u> or moving the student to a different level in the program and explain the reasoning behind your recommendation (make this recommendation regardless of whether they will actually be moved or not).

Part 6: Reflection

Write a paragraph on what you have learned from designing and administering this classroom-based assessment project.

What are key points to remember about how to do the CBA Project?

a. The point is that you learn something about how to design and use classroomassessments

to improve learning and that YOU DETERMINE THE IMPACT OF YOUR TEACHING ON STUDENT LEARNING. (We know the time is short, but we didn't make the rules for national accreditation).

b. Organize your paper using the sections described in the directions and on the rubric.

c. Make sure to address JUSTIFICATION -- the WHY and HOW of your design.

For example, let's say you used an analytic rubric to score the oral interview.

WHY? What does an analytic rubric offer you that a checklist does not? Why would a rating scale not fit the purpose?

Provide SPECIFIC comments about the STRUCTURE AND FORMAT about each assessment tool and WHY you selected that format to assess a specific language skill.

L.V. Pierce Syllabus

WHY did you change the language, content or format of your assessment tools based on pretest results? Can you give specific explanations?

d. ANALYSIS -- analyze 4 things:

(1) pre- to post-test changes, with tables showing the changes in scores for each of your 3 assessment tools;

(2) how you used pre-test scores to direct instruction;

(3) the level of teaching impact from pre- to post-test -- did your teaching make a difference in the scores? Why or why not?

(4) what are the next steps in INSTRUCTION AND PROGRAM PLACEMENT?

If you were to remain with these students, what do they still need to learn and why do you think so? Based on what evidence?

And if someone asked your informed opinion about whether the students should remain in this ESL program level, what would you recommend & why?

Attachments

a. Attach both versions of each assessment tool used (3 pre-tests and 3 post-tests).

b. <u>Attach a table showing the number of students scoring at different levels</u> from pre- to posttest on each of your 3 assessment tools. Organize each of the 3 tables using the scoring categories or items on each assessment tool rather than listing students individually on the table. Do not use student names or any other identifying information.

c. Attach <u>at least 2 samples of student work</u> for each assessment tool scored (a total of 6 from pre-testing and 6 from post-testing). Remove or cover up each student's name, but clearly label pre- and post-test scored rubrics, rating scales, or checklists or samples of student work and which ones represent each student, e.g., *Student A, Pre-Test, Student A, Post-Test.*

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce Svllabus

How your CBA will be Scored

Your CBA projects will be scored by <u>your University Supervisor and Dr. Pierce</u>, with input from your CT, using the Analytic Scoring Rubric for this project. Your CBA Project score will be considered for determining the final evaluation of your Teaching Internship experience.

TaskStream: Required Submission of Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) Performance-Based Assessment (PBA)

Every candidate registered for an ESL licensure course <u>that requires a CAEP performance-based assessment</u> is required to submit this assessment through TaskStream.

Evaluation of your performance-based assessment will also be provided through TaskStream.

Failure to submit the assessment to TaskStream by the specified deadline will result in the course instructor reporting your course grade as Incomplete(IN). Unless this grade is changed upon completion of the <u>required TaskStream submission</u>, the *IN* will convert to a grade of *F* nine weeks into the following semester.

The CAEP-required performance-based assessments that you will be posting on TaskStream for this Teaching Internship are:

- (1) The Classroom-Based Assessment Project
- (2) Lesson Plan Rating Scale

Scores on the three evaluation forms listed below will be posted to TaskStream by your University Supervisor:

(1) Classroom-Based Assessment Project Rubric

(2) Lesson Plan Rating Scale

(3) ESL Teacher Intern Evaluation Rubric

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Suggested Lesson Plan Format

Teacher Intern	School	
Subject	Grade	
Date	Student WIDA levels	

1. Objectives:

- a. State what you expect the students to be able to do as a result of this instruction.
- b. List national, state, and local objective numbers (as determined by supervisor).

2. Materials:

- a. List the books, equipment, and other materials to be used by the students.
- b. List the materials used by the teacher in teaching the lesson. This includes any equipment or technology tools.

3. Learning Experiences:

For each part, provide sufficient detail to (a) cause you to think the lesson through from beginning to end, (b) enable a substitute to teach the lesson with a minimum of preparation, and (c) enable you to reorient yourself should this be necessary during the process of teaching the lesson. Give estimated times for each part of the lesson.

- a. **Introduction:** Explain what you will do to introduce the lesson and connect to students' prior knowledge.
- b. Instructional Strategies: Describe what the teacher and students will do.
- c. **Closure:** Explain how you will close the lesson. For example, what questions will you ask of the students to summarize the day's learning?

4. Assessment:

- a. Outline the procedures and criteria you will use in assessing each of the objectives stated above.
- b. Provide copies of assessments (any tests, rubrics, observational checklists, format for anecdotal notes).

The lesson plan should cover a logical subdivision of the unit being taught. It may therefore, cover a period of more than one day.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Other Activities:

Vaterials:	
Assessment:	
Гесhnology:	
Homework:	
Closure:	
Follow-up:	

Reflection Phase

Efforts to Accommodate:

Visual learners
Auditory learners
Kinesthetic learners
Specials needs learners (Disabled and/or Gifted)

What worked well?

What didn't work well?

What will you do differently as a result of this plan?

How might this lesson be improved?

One important thing I learned was:

Fall 2013

Lesson Plan Elements

These elements should be evident in the Teacher Intern's lesson plans

Objectives are:

_____ Related to long-term goals

- _____ Specific and measurable (or observable)
- _____ Stated in terms of student outcomes
- _____ Based on students' needs

Materials are:

- _____ Appropriate for the objective
- _____ Appropriate for students' developmental level
- _____ Varied to accommodate interests and learning styles
- _____ Multicultural, where feasible

Procedures include:

- _____ Clear statement of purpose and expectations
- _____ Development of readiness or motivation
- _____ Relating the activities to prior knowledge and interests
- _____ Use of appropriate strategies
- _____ Adaptations for individual differences
- _____ Providing opportunities for application of knowledge and practice of skills
- _____ Efficient use of class time
- _____ Summary or other closure

Assessment includes:

- Checking for comprehension during the lesson
- _____ Strategies for assessment of longer-term learning
- _____ Reflection on how and why the lesson succeeded or failed

The lesson plan need not be a narrative, but should be sufficiently detailed to:

- _____ Demonstrate that the intern has carefully considered important factors
- _____ Enable the intern to reorient or redirect during the lesson
- _____ Allow a substitute to teach the lesson with a minimum of preparation

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Fall 2013

Appendix TI-1: Log of Hours

Before filling in this form, please make copies needed for the entire internship period.

Name of Tchr. Intern: _____ School:

Log Sheet #_____(e.g., 1 of 3)

Verified by Cooperating Teacher: _____

(Signature)

Reviewed by University Supervisor: ______

	(Signature)			
Date	Hours of Direct Teaching ¹ (75 hrs. each school placement)	Hours of Indirect Teaching ² (7 5 hrs. each school placement)	Hours of School-Based Activities ³	Comments

¹**Direct Teaching** = Time spent directly interacting with students, whether co-teaching, independent teaching, working with small groups, or working one-on-one with a student. Interns should aim for a variety of direct teaching experiences.

²Indirect Teaching = Time spent observing, planning, grading, attending faculty meetings, and other teaching-related experiences.

³ School-based Activities = non-teaching duties occurring outside of school hours, such as parent open house or school multicultural nights.

Syllabus

L.V. Pierce

Fall 2013

Syllabus

	ali 2013 Sylidbus				
Date	Direct Teaching	Indirect Teaching	School- Based Activities	Comment(s	
Total					
Hours:					

School:	Cooperating Teacher:	
Teacher Intern:	Date	to
(to be completed every other week by	the CT)	
Appendix TI-2: Bi-Weekly Prog	gress Report	
Fall 2013		Syllabus
EDCI 790 – ESL Teaching Internship		L.V. Pierce

Directions: The TI completes #1; the CT completes #2 and #3; a copy is provided to the US.

1. Briefly describe your teaching activities for this period, with emphasis on major successes and difficulties.

2. Briefly describe strengths of the student's performance for this period and areas needing improvement.

3. What recommendations do you have for the next two weeks?

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Fall 2013

Appendix TI-3 : Teacher Intern's Evaluation Form Final Internship Evaluation (To be completed by the Intern)

Intern's Name:		
Program/Content Area:		
University Supervisor:		-
Cooperating Teacher:	School Site:	

Internship Semester/Term: Fall ____ Spring ____ Summer ____ 2013_

Please thoughtfully consider the following statements and indicate your level of agreement/disagreement. On the back of this form, please add comments to explain any of your ratings or add additional information.

University Supervisor	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree/ Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
1) Maintained effective communication with me	5	4	3	2	1	NA
2) Was available electronically or in person, and kept appointments/ rescheduled appropriately	5	4	3	2	1	NA
3) Demonstrated knowledge of the internship process	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Provided me with accurate and timely feedback, and useful recommendations during conferences/written reports	5	4	3	2	1	NA
5) Developed effective communication with the Cooperating Teacher	5	4	3	2	1	NA
6) Provided opportunities for discussion/reflection with other interns	5	4	3	2	1	NA
7) Overall rating of university supervisor	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Cooperating Teacher	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree/ Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
1) Was best practices (taught in courses) evidenced in the classroom	5	4	3	2	1	NA
2) Provided support and assistance as needed	5	4	3	2	1	NA
3) Provided continuous feedback on strengths or improvements	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Provided progress reports and observation summaries on schedule	5	4	3	2	1	NA

EDCI 790 – ESL Teaching Internship			L.V. Pie	erce			
Fall 2013	Syllabus						
5) Was fair in evaluating my performance	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
6) Overall rating of cooperating teacher	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Internship Process	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree/ Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable	
1) Previous coursework prepared me for internship	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
2) Internship handbook was clear and concise in expectations/requirements	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
3) Internship orientation was helpful and covered necessary elements	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Internship assignments were useful and helped me improve/reflect on teaching practices	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
5) Placement site(s) was a safe and positive learning environment	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
6) Overall rating of internship process	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Comments about University Supervisor:							

Comments about Cooperating Teacher:

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce Syllabus

Comments about Preparation for Internship:

Comments about the Internship Process:

Comments about specific CT and or US feedback that proved most helpful to you:

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Fall 2013

Appendix US-1: Lesson Plan Rating Scale

University Supervisors and Cooperating Teachers, after individually scoring each lesson plan presented by the Teacher Intern for each Formal Observation, please compare scores (by phone, email, or in-person), and assign the score that you both agree on. Please sign the form and save. A passing score will be at least a total of 30 points with no single item less than a 2.

4 = Strongly agree 3 = Agree 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly disagree (no half points)

Score	1	2	3	4
Task				
BEFORE TEACHING				
1. Planned appropriate, research- & standards- based instructional activities				
2. Planned for a multilevel classroom by incorporating appropriate scaffolding				
3. Used knowledge of culture and culturally- responsive materials to plan lessons that support learning				
4. Planned lessons focusing on integration of language through content				
DURING TEACHING				
5. Used teaching activities that engaged the learners, including cooperative learning and interactive tasks				
6. Integrated at least 2 language skills in each lesson				
7. Was flexible in adapting lesson plans to rate of student learning				
8. Addressed a variety of learning styles				
9. Checked for learner comprehension				
10. Used technological resources effectively to promote learning				
Cooperating Teacher	_Universit	ty Superviso	r	
Teacher Intern				
Date: Sch	ool:			
University Supervisor: Attach lesson plan			is page	

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Appendix US-2: Formal Observation Report – ESL/CISL Program

(to be completed by the University Supervisor for each Formal Observation)

Teacher Intern	School
Subject	Grade
Date	Student WIDA levels
University Supervisor	Activities Observed

PREPARATION/ PLANNING:

_____Plans and delivers research-based instructional activities for multilevel classrooms

_____Supportive of linguistically and culturally diverse students and those with special needs

Bases learning activities on both ESL and content-based standards

____Provides scaffolding for learning

INSTRUCTIONAL METHODS/ ASSESSMENT:

- _____Demonstrates a range of teaching activities
- ____Integrates listening, speaking, reading, and/or writing with content objectives
- _____Provides scaffolding to match level of language proficiency of students
- ____Provides students with access to the core curriculum
- _____Designs and administers performance-based assessment tasks and tools
- ____Uses assessment results appropriately to inform instruction
- ____Uses technological resources effectively to promote learning

CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT/ CLIMATE:

- ____Ensures that all students are on task
- ____Uses knowledge of students' cultural values and beliefs to promote learning
- ____Shows respect for all students
- _____Prevents or manages conflicts and disruptive behavior
- _____Applies consequences consistently to all students
- ____Sets and enforces high expectations for all

Fall 2013

PERSONAL/ PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT:

- ____Arrives early or on time for the lesson
- ____Comes fully prepared for the lesson
- _____Responds to constructive feedback appropriately
- ____Communicates promptly and effectively with both CT and US
- ____Submits documentation by required deadlines
- ____Dresses in a professional manner

RECOMMENDATIONS:

University Supervisor's Signature /Date

Cooperating Teacher's Signature/Date

Teacher Intern's Signature /Date

39

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

L.V. Pierce

Fall 2013

Syllabus

Appendix US-3 : Analytic Scoring Rubric for Classroom-Based Assessment Project Name: Date Scored:

Score*:

Score Points Domain	1	2	3	4*
Design & Administration	Does not administer assessment tools and does not adapt criterion- referenced, performance- based assessment tools. Uses language that is vague and subjective and does not differentiate one level from another.	Adapts and administers assessments based on either language or content objectives, but some are not performance-based and contain inaccuracies. Uses language that is vague and/or subjective or does not effectively differentiate one level from another.	Adapts or designs and administers criterion-referenced, performance-based assessments based on either language or content objectives and/or contains inaccuracies OR uses descriptive language with some vague or subjective terms that do not clearly differentiate between one level and another.	Accurately adapts or designs and administers the required variety of tasks and criterion-referenced, performance-based assessments of both language and content based on state standards and classroom instruction and matches scoring criteria to learning objectives. Uses descriptive (objective), precise and measurable terms in each scoring tool that clearly differentiate between one level of performance and another.
Justification	Does not provide a rationale or justification for adapting each assessment tool.	Provides few details in rationale, little justification for adapting each assessment tool, does not revise tools from pre-to post-test, and/or needs extensive elaboration.	Provides a defense for using some tools but not for others OR does not revise tools with supporting explanation OR needs elaboration.	Provides specific reasons for choosing each assessment tool format and structure, making each appropriate to the target group and assessment purpose, and revises pre-tests to be used as post-tests, providing a supporting explanation for each revision.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Scaffolding	Most assessment tools lack appropriate scaffolding.	Does not use a variety of scaffolding approaches and/or uses scaffolding that does not match the proficiency level of the target students.	Uses a variety of scaffolding approaches, but does not add scaffolding to some assessment tools, or scaffolding does not match the proficiency level of the target students.	Uses a variety of scaffolding approaches for each assessment task and tool, and these match the language proficiency level of target students and enable them to show what they know.
Validity & Reliability	Addresses issues of validity AND reliability incorrectly AND INCOMPLETELY.	Addresses issues of validity or reliability with major inaccuracies or incompletely.	Addresses issues of validity or reliability with minor inaccuracies.	Accurately and thoroughly explains how design of each assessment tool ensures construct, content, and consequential validity and intra-rater reliability.
Analysis of Teaching Impact & Design	Does not analyze results.	Only briefly describes results and needs elaboration, or arrives at conclusions and recommendations without evidence from test results, and/or analyzes results inaccurately.	Analyzes pre- to post-test score changes with some inaccuracies or for only some assessment tools, may need elaboration on how pre-test results were used to drive instruction or how post- test results show impact of teaching, and/or specifies next steps for either instruction or program placement based on what students learned.	Accurately analyzes pre- to post-test score changes on each assessment tool, explains how pre-test scores were used to direct instruction, explains level of teaching impact, and specifies next steps in instruction and program level placement based on what students have learned.

Score of 15 – 20 required for *Passing* grade on Teaching Internship

*No half-points (e.g., 3.5) are allowed; please use whole numbers, providing one number for each category and then providing a total score.

University Supervisor Score & Name_____

GMU Faculty Score & Name

Total Score = _____

Appendix US-4: Analytic Scoring Rubric for Evaluation of ESL Teacher Intern

Teaching	1	2	3	4
Skills	Does Not Meet Standard	Approaches Standard	Meets Standard	Exceeds Standard
Planning Instruction	Plans a teacher-centered classroom, does not differentiate instruction, does not address standards and does not reflect on teaching.	Plans activities that may not be research-based, do not differentiate instruction, or address either ESL or content- based standards, and reflects on teaching.	Plans research-based classroom activities with some differentiation, bases learning activities on both ESL and content-based standards, provides scaffolding for learning, and reflects on teaching.	Plans research-based instructional activities for multilevel classrooms that are supportive of linguistically and culturally diverse students, including those with special needs, bases learning activities on both ESL and content-based standards, provides a variety of scaffolding for learning, and reflects on teaching and makes necessary adjustments.
Managing & implementing instruction	Does not use activities that integrate language skills and content objectives AND does not use technological resources.	Demonstrates a limited range of teaching activities that may address only language objectives OR does not use technological resources.	Demonstrates teaching activities that integrate language skills and content objectives, and uses technology to enhance learning.	Demonstrates a range of teaching activities that integrate listening, speaking, reading, and writing with content objectives, uses cooperative learning and a range of technological resource materials effectively, and provides access to the core curriculum.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

	1 -			
Classroom	Does not show respect	Shows respect for all	Ensures that most	Ensures that most or all
Management	for all students, is unable	students but is frequently	students are on task,	students are on task,
Skills	to manage conflicts and	unable to manage	shows respect for	shows respect for
	disruptive behavior, and	conflicts and disruptive	students, but may have	students, prevents or
	applies consequences	behavior and/or applies	problems managing	manages conflicts and
	inconsistently.	consequences	minor conflicts or	disruptive behavior,
		inconsistently.	disruptive behavior	applies consequences
			effectively or applying	consistently, and sets
			consistently, and sets	and enforces high
			and enforces high	expectations for each
			expectations.	student.
Culture	Does not demonstrate	Demonstrates	Applies knowledge of	Regularly integrates
	knowledge about	knowledge of students'	students' cultural values	students' cultural values
	students' cultural values.	cultural values and	and beliefs to promote	and beliefs into lesson
		beliefs but does not	student learning but may	plans and instructional
		incorporate these values	only occasionally	activities to tap prior
		into lesson plans or	integrate these into	knowledge and promote
		instructional activities.	lesson plans or	student learning.
			instructional activities.	
Classroom-Based	Does not conduct	Uses few formative	Designs formative	Designs and administers
Assessment	formative assessments	assessment tasks and	assessment tasks and	formative, performance-
	or use performance-	tools, or most are not	tools based on	based assessment tasks
	based assessment tools.	performance-based, or	classroom instruction but	and tools based on
		some of these do not	some may not be	classroom instruction
		provide appropriate	performance-based or	and curriculum
		scaffolding, and/or does	may not provide	objectives, provides
		not analyze results to	appropriate or sufficient	appropriate scaffolding,
		inform instruction.	scaffolding, uses results	and uses results
			appropriately to direct	appropriately to direct
			instruction.	instruction.

Fall 2013

L.V. Pierce

Syllabus

Professionalism	Consistently arrives late	May be late or absent	Arrives consistently on	Arrives consistently early
Professionalism	Consistently arrives late, comes unprepared, does not respond appropriately to feedback, does not communicate effectively with CT or US, delays in submitting required documentation, and/or does not collaborate or follow school dress code. Does not participate in after- or before school activities.	May be late or absent more than once or comes unprepared, does not respond appropriately to feedback or does not communicate effectively with CT or US, or delays in submitting required documentation, and may not collaborate effectively with the CT or follow school dress code. Does not participate in after- or before school activities.	Arrives consistently on time, comes prepared for the task; responds well to feedback but may not communicate effectively with CT or US and/or delays in submitting required documentation, works collaboratively with the CT, and follows school's dress code. May participate in after- or before-school activities.	Arrives consistently early for each class or teacher meeting, comes fully prepared for the task at hand, responds to constructive feedback appropriately, communicates promptly and effectively with both CT and US, works collaboratively with the CT, participates in after- or before - school activities and professional development, submits documentation by required deadlines, and follows school's dress code.

To be completed by the Cooperating Teacher and University Supervisor at the end of independent teaching for each school placement.

EDCI 790 – ESL Teaching Internship	L.V. Pierce
Fall 2013	Syllabus

Summary of Scores on Analytic Scoring Rubric for Evaluation of ESL Teacher Intern

Please indicate <u>consensus scores</u> between the Cooperating Teacher and University Supervisor on performance status of the Teacher Intern at the end of independent teaching for each school placement or at mid- and end-of-semester.

Name of Intern:	—
School:	Date
Scoring Category	Score *No half-points (e.g., 3.5) are allowed, only whole numbers, one per category.
1. Planning Instruction	
2. Managing & Implementing Instruction	
3. Classroom Management Skills	
4. Culture	
5. Classroom-Based Assessment	
6. Professionalism	
TOTAL SCORE	

EDCI 790 – ESL Teaching Internship	L.V. Pierce
Fall 2013	Syllabus

Performance Status: Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Teacher Interns need to meet or exceed each standard in order to receive a grade of Satisfactory on the Internship.

18 points = Meets Standard 19 – 24 points = Exceeds Standard

Comments: Please describe this ESL Teacher Intern's unique skills, abilities, and potential as a new teacher (no attachments, please)

Signatures

Teacher Intern

Cooperating Teacher

University Supervisor

Date