GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL EDUCATION

EDRS 823, Section 001:

ADVANCED RESEARCH METHODS IN SINGLE SUBJECT & SINGLE CASE DESIGN Fall 2012

Class days: Tuesdays Class time: 4:30-7:10PM Location: Innovation Hall 139

Instructor: Anna Evmenova, Ph.D.

Office hours: T 2pm-4pm or by appointment Office: Finley Building, Room 215-216

Phone: 703 993-5256 | Email: aevmenov@gmu.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION

823 Advanced Research Methods in Single Subject/Case Design (3:3:0) *Prerequisites: EDRS* 810, 811, and 812. Prepares students to conduct research using single subject design and single case study design. Provides understanding of the salient features as well as the advantages and disadvantages of these research methodologies. Students critique and analyze published research using these methodologies. Provides opportunities to apply these methodologies to research questions related to current student interests.

STUDENT OUTCOMES

By the end of the course students will be able to:

- 1. Discuss the basic concepts, strengths and limitations of single subject research designs
- 2. Discuss Interobserver Agreement/Reliability, Validity, Visual Analysis, and Statistical tests involving single subject research designs.
- 3. Evaluate previous research that has employed single subject research methodology.
- 4. Design and implement a research study using single subject methodology.

REQUIRED TEXT

Gast, D. L. (2010). Single subject research methodology in behavioral sciences. New York, NY: Routledge.

An article readings list provided below and posted on Blackboard will correspond to the syllabus schedule.

RECOMMENDED TEXTS

Alberto, P. A., & Troutman, A. C. (2008). *Applied behavior analysis for teachers* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Kennedy, C. H. (2005). *Single case designs for educational research*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Kazdin, A. E. (1982). Single case research designs: Methods for clinical and applied settings. New York: Oxford University Press.

Edgington E., & Onghena, P. (2007). *Randomization tests* (4th ed.). Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC Press.

GSE Blackboard will be used to post important information for this course (and others) and in completing some course assignments. The following is how you will access the **Blackboard-GSE Login Page:** Enter the URL https://mymason.gmu.edu into your browser location field. Enter your **Username** (your GMU email username) & **Password** (your GMU email password). Click **Login**. Find EDRS 823 and click on it.

NATURE OF COURSE DELIVERY:

Learning activities include the following:

- 1. Class lecture, discussion and possible guest speaker.
- 2. Videotapes and other relevant media presentations.
- 3. Study and independent library research.
- 4. Online resources and applications with relevant hardware and software.
- 5. Application activities, including in-class evaluation of research and materials.
- 6. Written research study using the American Psychological Association format.

COURSE EXPECTIONS FOR STUDENTS

Students are expected to (a) attend all classes during the course, (b) **arrive on time**, (c) stay for the duration of the class time (d) bring books to each class and (e) complete Blackboard discussion boards and other assignments. All out-of class assignments are to be competed prior to the beginning of class on the date that they are due.

Please notify the instructor by email in advance if you will not be able to attend class, and arrange for a classmate to pick up handouts/provide notes. If you are absent, the due date does not change and students are responsible to make sure that all assignments are handed in on time.

Late assignments will result in a reduction in points.

In-depth reading, study, and work on course requirements require outside class time. Students are expected to allot approximately three hours for class study and preparation for *each* credit hour weekly in addition to papers and assignments.

Use APA 6th Edition guidelines for all course assignments.

http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/resources-template.php?id=4 This link from the GMU Writing Center provides access to APA online style guides, additional guides for writing papers using APA style and the citation machine.

http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/section/2/10/ This link is connected to an overview, workshop, as well as formatting and guides to the new edition of the APA style. This useful tool is for getting acquainted with APA essentials.

http://www.apastyle.org/apa-style-help.aspx This link provides an APA Style Help from the American Psychological Association.

We will use person-first language in our class discussions and written assignments (and ideally in our professional practice). Please refer to "Guidelines for Reporting and Writing about People with Disabilities" http://www.apastyle.org/manual/related/guidelines-reporting-and-writing.pdf

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

GMU POLICIES AND RESOURES FOR STUDENTS

- a. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See http://academicintegrity.gmu.edu/honorcode/].
- b. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/1301gen.html].
- c. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- d. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See http://caps.gmu.edu/].
- e. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform teir instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See http://ods.gmu.edu/].
- f. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- g. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].

PROFESSIONAL DISPOSITIONS

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

CORE VALUES COMMITMENT

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See http://gse.gmu.edu/].

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS & POINT DISTRIBUTION

CLASS PARTICIPATION: 20 Points

Due to the importance of lecture and discussion to your total learning experience, you must both attend and participate in class regularly. Attendance, punctuality, preparation, and active contribution are essential.

MINIMAL	GOOD	OUTSTANDING
The student is late for class. Absences are not documented by following the procedures outlined in the syllabus. The student is not prepared for class and does not actively participate in discussions. May fail to exhibit professional behavior and dispositions. Excessive absences can result in additional penalties - 15 or less pts	class discussions. The student attends most	The student attends all classes, is on time, and is prepared. The student actively participates and supports the members of the class - 20pts

BLACKBOARD ACTIVITIES: 25 Points

Students will be required to participate in 5 class blackboard discussions (2 points each) for topics throughout the course. Students will be expected to provide their opinions as well as post feedback and comments based on opinions of other students. The tentative list of blackboard activities as follows:

<u>Blackboard 1</u>: Discover information about one of the following figures: Paul Broca, Hermann Ebbinghaus, Ivan Pavlov, Adolphe Quetelet, Ronald Aylmer Fisher, David Barlow, Michel Hersen, Alan Kazdin, Gordon Allport, and Burrhis Frederick Skinner. Write 2-3 paragraphs about their contributions to the field of single subject design. All citations should be noted.

<u>Blackboard 2</u>: Please describe the behaviors you are planning to measure in your project. Provide operationalized definitions for those behaviors. Find 2-3 single-subject studies that have operationalized your behaviors (or similar ones) in different ways. Discuss what dimensions you will use to measure the behavior(s). Design a draft of the recording

system that you may want to use in your project. Please post by Tuesday morning. We will discuss your recording systems in class.

<u>Blackboard 3</u>: Prepare and post the Logic Model for your study. Your logic model will map out sample characteristics, intervention characteristics (including potential confounding variables), dependent variables, intervention outcomes (proximal and distal), as well as the predicted change in the behavior.

<u>Blackboard 4</u>: Develop research questions appropriate for the single subject research study based on the topic you have chosen for your final project. Provide operationalized definitions for all the terms used in the research questions. Post the questions on the blackboard by Saturday. Between Sunday and Tuesday provide feedback to your classmates on their research questions. Please discuss why you think their questions are suited or not suited for single subject research study.

<u>Blackboard 5</u>: Conduct a mini meta-analysis study using the coding rubric provided in class. Find 3-5 single-subject research articles on your topic (possibly use the same articles in the literature review section of your final paper), code them using the rubric, use one of the methods for calculating effect sizes for single-subject experimental designs discussed in class. Please post a brief description of your meta-analysis methodology, results, as well as your impressions regarding meta-analysis as method for indentifying evidence-based practices.

<u>Blackboard 6</u>: Prepare and submit a draft of the research project. Post the draft on Blackboard by Saturday. Between Sunday and Tuesday provide feedback to one of your classmates on his/her research project. Please provide constructive and meaningful suggestions for improving the draft.

SHORT PRESENTATION: 10 Points

From recent (less than 5 years old) peer reviewed journal articles choose one single subject design research studies to discuss the issue of (two students per topic):

- (1) Interobserver Agreement/Reliability
- (2) Validity (internal, external, social)
- (3) Visual Analysis
- (4) Statistical Analysis

Make sure to include the following requirements:

- 1. Setting (1)
- 2. Participants (1)
- 3. Methodology (1)
- 4. Findings (1)
- 5. Commentary should reflect positive (2) points (strengths)
- 6. Commentary should reflect negative (2) points (limitations)
- 7. Personal conclusions and importance of the issue (2) *Total points=10*

A schedule with presentation dates is provided below. The rubric below will denote the scoring.

Short Presentations for each Topic

UNSATISFACTORY	MINIMAL	GOOD	OUTSTANDING
Listener cannot	Listener has difficulty	Student presents	Student presents
understand presentation	following presentation	information in	information in
because there is no	because presenter	engaging and logical	engaging, novel, and
sequence of	jumps around. Does	sequence which	logical sequence which
information. Does not	not engage most of the	audience can follow.	audience can follow.
engage the audience.	audience. Student is	Student is at ease with	Student demonstrates
Student does not have	uncomfortable with	content, but fails to	full knowledge with
grasp of information;	information and is able	elaborate. Presentation	explanations and
students cannot answer	to answer only	includes minimal work	elaboration.
questions about subject.	rudimentary questions.	on all seven of the	Presentation includes
Presentation includes	Presentation includes	requirements - 7pts	comprehensive work
five or less of the	only six of the		on all seven of the
requirements - 1-5pts	requirements - 6pts		requirements - 10pts

RESEARCH PROJECT: 30 points

The research project is designed to provide experience with single subject design, especially implementing and writing up a research report.

Introduction:

Purpose Statement: Discuss what is this research is about including the significance of this topic.

Research Questions: Have at least three research questions (must include participants, dependent, independent variables, and site if appropriate).

Background Literature: Provide a brief description of the background literature that indicates a need for your questions.

Method: (should be more detailed than is common for most published reports)

Research Design: Describe and justify single-subject research design chosen for this study.

Participants: Describe demographic and educational information for your individual(s). *Setting*: Describe a setting, in which your study took place.

Dependent and Independent variables: Provide operationalized definitions of all dependent variables examined in the study as well as all independent variable(s).

Materials: Carefully describe all of the instructional materials that were used in your project. Attach copies of the precise materials used if applicable.

Procedures: Carefully describe in a step by step fashion what you did with the individual(s). Include description of the procedures during the baseline, treatment, maintenance and/or generalization phases.

Interobserver Agreement and Procedural Reliability: Define the procedures, explain observer training, include formulas and coefficients.

Social Validity: Describe social validity measures.

Analysis:

Describe all the analyses you are going to use (visual and statistical) in great detail.

Results:

Visual Analysis: Describe the visual analysis results.

Statistical Analysis and/or Randomization Tests: Describe the statistical analysis results or discuss why you chose not to use any statistical procedures.

Social Validity: Describe social validity results.

Discussion:

Provide a discussion of your findings.

Implications:

Provide some insights as to why you might have obtained the findings and what you learned from the project.

Reflection:

Include a brief (1-2 pages) reflection on single subject research methodology in general and your project in particular.

Research Project Scoring Rubric

UNSATISFACTORY	MINIMAL	GOOD	OUTSTANDING
Paper with substantial	Overall, acceptable	Good overall	Appropriate topic, thorough and
problems in important	but with one or more	paper, lacking in	thoughtful review of previous
areas such as writing,	significant problems.	one or two of the	research, appropriate and clearly
implementation of	Contains some	criteria for an	described implementation
intervention, and	useful information,	exemplary paper.	procedures, careful measurement
evaluation of results,	but may have	Not entirely	and evaluation of results,
overall thoughtfulness.		reflective or	thorough and appropriate
Contains little or no	with evaluation,	thoughtful, or	discussion of implications of
information of to the	writing style, or	minor writing	findings. Good writing style, free
research in single	implementation of	style errors may	of mechanical or stylistic errors,
subject design - 1-	project - 18-21pts	be present - 22-	appropriate use of APA format
17pts		25pts	throughout - 26-30pts

RESEARCH POSTER PRESENTATION: 10 points

Prepare an overview of your paper using the following guidelines:

- 1. Title of research
- 2. Purpose of research
- 3. Background Review including statement of need
- 4. Method, including sample, materials, and procedures
- 5. Data analyses
- 6. Results
- 7. Discussion and implications

Poster Presentations Scoring Rubric

Unsatisfactory	MINIMAL	GOOD	OUTSTANDING
Weak overall	Poster presentation	Good overall	Poster clearly describes major
presentation that	provides relevant	poster	elements of the proposal; poster
reflects very little	information, but	presentation, but	reflects clarity, organization,
knowledge of topic	demonstrates only a	may be lacking in	knowledge and interest in the content
or project. May	limited	one or two of the	being presented; reflects a high level
appear very poorly	understanding of the	criteria specified	of preparation; makes effective use of
prepared, or may	topic or project.	in exemplary	visual format and presents an
		response. May	interesting, attractive appearance;
directions. Style or	or visual elements	seem a little less	describes very clearly the methods
visual elements	may be less than	polished or	under consideration; poster and
may be inadequate	adequate. Responses	prepared, may be	discussion keep the audience
or lacking - 1-5pts			engaged; provide information of
	questions may	places, or may	interest and value to audience.
	reflect lack of	fail to completely	Presenter is able to answer basic
	understanding of	answer audience	audience questions about the proposal
	relevant research	questions - 7-9pts	with poise, clarity, and
	methods - 6-7pts		thoughtfulness - 10 pts

Evaluation in Summary

1. Class participation: 20 points

2. Blackboard activities (6): 30 points (5 points each)

Short presentation: 10 points
 Research project: 30 points
 Poster presentation: 10 points

Points will be deducted for work submitted late.

GRADING CRITERIA:

90-100 points = A

80-89 points = B

70-79 points = C

<70 points = F

Tentative Class Topics and Due Dates (Subject to change for weather or other unforeseen interruptions)

Date	Class Topic	Reading & Assignments are Due
Tuesday,	1. Introduction, History, and	- Gast chapters 1, 2
August 28	General Issues in Single Subject	- Barlow, Nock, & Hersen (2009) chapter
	Research	1 (will be provided)
Tuesday,	2. Behavioral Assessment,	- Gast chapters 5 (pp.91-98), 7 (pp. 129-
September 4	Data Collection & Recordings,	155)
1	Logic Model (begin)	- Horner et al. (2005)
		- Baer, Wolf, & Risley (1968)
		- Baer, Wolf, & Risley (1987)
		- Blackboard 1
		- Post Study Topic
Tuesday,	3. Research Questions and	- Kennedy chapter 5 (will be provided)
September 11	Experimental Control,	- Kratochwill et al. (2010)
	Logic Model (cont.)	- Kratochwill & Levin (2010)
		- Blackboard 2
		Guest Speaker: Dr. Michael Behrmann
Tuesday,	4. Single Subject Research Designs:	- Gast chapters 10, 11
September 18	Basic Designs	- Ward-Horner & Sturmey (2010)
		- Blackboard 3
Tuesday,	5. Single Subject Research Designs:	- Gast chapters 12, 13
September, 25	More Designs	- McDougall et al. (2006)
		- IRB applications (deadline:
		October 3 rd ; Gast chapter 3 if needed)
Tuesday,	6. Interobserver Agreement and	- Gast chapter 7 (pp. 155-165)
October, 2	Procedural Reliability	- Smith, Daunic, & Taylor (2007)
		- Repp et al. (1976)
		- Blackboard 4
	uesday October 9 th (Columbus Day, October 8	
Tuesday,	7. Validity: Internal, External,	- Gast chapters 5 (pp.98-109), 6
October, 16	Social	- Wolf (1978)
		- Kazdin (1981)
		- Short Presentation 1
		- Method Section Draft (not mandatory)
Tuesday,	8. Visual Analysis	- Gast chapters 8, 9
October, 23		- Ferron & Jones (2006)
		- Short Presentation 2
Tuesday,	9. Statistical Analysis:	- Gast chapter 14 (pp. 417-437)
October 30	Randomization tests	- Park et al. (1990)
		- Scruggs et al. (2006)
		- Koehler & Levin (2009)
		- Haardörfer & Gagne (2010)
		- Short Presentation 3
Tuesday,	11. Single-subject Meta-analysis	- Gast chapter 14 (pp.437-453)

November, 6		- Scruggs & Mastropieri (1998)
		- Campbell (2004)
		- Parker et al. (2007)
		- Parker et al. (2009)
		-Manolov & Solanas (2009)
		- Short Presentation 4
Tuesday,	12. Single subject research designs:	- Odom & Strain (2002)
November, 13	Single Case	- Barnett et al. (2004)
		- Blackboard 5
Tuesday,	13. Group Work Time-	- Gast chapter 4
November, 20	Commentary and Corrections	- Tankersley, Cook, & Cook, 2008
		- Post Outline for Final Papers
Tuesday,	12. Study Implementation and	- Algozzine, Spooner, & Karvonen (2002)
November, 27	Update Switch Papers	- Final Paper Draft
		- Exchange Papers for Feedback
Tuesday,	14. Presentations	- Poster
December, 4		- Final Paper Due

References

(articles are subject to change if better readings are acquired)

- Algozzine, B., Spooner, F., & Karvonen, M. (2002). Preparing special education research articles in APA style. *Remedial and Special Education*, 23, 24-30. doi: 10.1177/074193250202300104
- Baer, D. M., Wolf, M. M., & Risley, T. R. (1968). Some current dimensions of applied behavior analysis. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 1, 91-97.
- Baer, D. M., Wolf, M. M., & Risley, T. R. (1987). Some still-current dimensions of applied behavior analysis. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 20, 313-327.
- Barnett, D. W., Daly, E. J. III, Jones, K. M., & Lentz, F. E. Jr. (2004). Response to intervention: Empirically based special service decisions from single-case designs of increasing and decreasing intensity. *The Journal of Special Education*, *38*, 66-79. doi:10.1177/00224669040380020101
- Campbell, J. M. (2004). Statistical comparison of four effect sizes for single-subject designs. *Behavior Modification*, 28, 234-246. doi:10.1177/0145445503259264
- Ferron, J., & Jones, P. K. (2006). Tests for visual analysis of response-guided multiple-baseline data. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 75, 66-81.
- Haardörfer, R., & Gagne, P. (2010). The use of randomization tests in single-subject research.

 Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 25, 47-54.
- Hains, A. H., & Baer, D. M. (1989). Interaction effects in multielement designs: Inevitable, desirable, and ignorable. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 22, 57-69. doi:10.1901/jaba.1989.22-57

- Horner, R. H., Carr, E. G., Halle, J., McGee, G., Odom, S., & Wolery, M. (2005). The use of single-subject research to identify evidence-based practice in special education. *Exceptional Children*, 71, 165-179.
- Kazdin, A. E. (1981). External validity and single case experimentation: Issues and limitations.

 Analysis and Intervention in Developmental Disabilities, 1, 133-143. doi:10.1016/0270-4684(81)90027-6
- Kratochwill, T. R., Hitchcock, J., Horner, R. H., Levin, J. R., Odom, S. L., Rindskopf, D. M. & Shadish, W. R. (2010). Single-case designs technical documentation. Retrieved from What Works Clearinghouse website: http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/pdf/wwc_scd.pdf.
- Kratochwill, T. R., & Levin, J. R. (2010). Enhancing the scientific credibility of single-case intervention research: Randomization to the rescue. *Psychological Methods*, *15*, 124-144. doi: 10.1037/a0017736
- Koehler, M. J., & Levin, J. R. (1998). Regulated randomization: A potentially sharper analytical tool for the multiple-baseline design. *Psychological Methods*, *3*, 206-217.
- Manolov, R., & Solanas, A. (2009). Percentage of nonoverlapping corrected data. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41, 1262-1271. doi:10.3758/BRM.41.4.1262
- McDougall, D., Hawkins, J., Brady, M., & Jenkins, A. (2006). Recent innovations in the changing criterion design: Implications for research and practice in special education. *The Journal of Special Education*, 40, 2-15. doi:10.1177/00224669060400010101
- Odom, S. L., Brantlinger, E., Gersten, R., Horner, R. H., Tompson, B., & Harris, K. R. (2005).Research in special education: Scientific methods and evidence-based practices. *Exceptional Children*, 71, 165-179.
- Park, H., Marascuilo, L., & Gaylord-Ross, R. (1990). Visual inspection and statistical analysis in single-case designs. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 58, 311-320.

- Parker, R. I., Hagan-Burke, S., & Vannest K. (2007). Percent of All Non-Overlapping Data (PAND): An alternative to PND. *The Journal of Special Education*, 40, 194-204. doi:10.1177/00224669070400040101
- Parker, R. I., Vannest, K. J., & Brown, L. (2009). The improvement rate difference for single-case research. *Exceptional Children*, 75, 135-150.
- Repp, A. C., Deitz, D. E. D., Boles, S. M., Deitz, S. M., & Repp, C. F. (1976). Differences among common methods of calculating interobserver agreement. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 9, 109-113. doi:10.1901/jaba.1976.9-109
- Scruggs, T. E., & Mastropieri, M. A. (1998). Summarizing single-subject research: Issues and applications. *Behavior Modification*, 22, 221-242. doi:10.1177/01454455980223001
- Scruggs, T. E., Mastropieri, M. A., & Regan, K. S. (2006). Statistical analysis for single subject research designs. *Advances in Learning and Behavioral Disabilities*, *19*, 35-56. doi:10.1016/S0735-004X(06)19002-3
- Smith, S. W., Daunic, A. P., & Taylor, G. G. (2007). Treatment fidelity in applied educational research: Expanding the adoption and application of measures to ensure evidence-based practice. *Education and Treatment of Children*, *30*, 121-134. doi:10.1353/etc.2007.0033
- Tankersley, M., Cook, B. G., & Cook, L. (2008). A preliminary examination to identify the presence of quality indicators in single-subject research. *Education and Treatment of Children*, *31*, 523-548. doi:10.1353/etc.0.0027
- Ward-Horner, J., & Sturmey, P. (2010). Component analyses using single-subject experimental designs: A review. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 43, 685-704.
- Wolf, M. M. (1978). Social validity: The case for subjective measurement or how applied behavior analysis is finding its heart. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 11, 203-214.

doi:10.1901/jaba.1978.11-203