Course Goals

This course is an advanced seminar on what is usually called “mixed methods” research—research that integrates qualitative and quantitative approaches in a single study. The main goals of the course are:

1. Understand the most important characteristics of mixed methods research, and the main ways in which this approach differs from single-method research strategies.
2. Understand the most important strengths and limitations of both qualitative and quantitative research, and how to integrate these approaches in doing a mixed method study.
3. Be able to use these understandings to evaluate published mixed methods research.
4. Be able to plan and effectively communicate the design and process of a mixed methods study.

Expectations

Students are expected to exhibit professional behavior and dispositions at all times.

Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See http://academicintegrity.gmu.edu/honorcode/].

Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/1301gen.html].
If you are a student with a disability, please let me know how I can best adjust the course to your strengths and needs. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See http://ods.gmu.edu/, or call 703-993-2474 to access the ODS].

Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account. Please let me know if you have a preferred email address other than your .gmu address to use for course communication.

Campus Resources

- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students’ personal experience and academic performance [See http://caps.gmu.edu/].

- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].

Assigned Books


Recommended Reference Books and Journals

The major journal for papers dealing with the methodology of mixed method research is the *Journal of Mixed Method Research*.

Course Structure and Requirements

Class meetings will be run as seminars. I expect you to come to class prepared to discuss the reading assignments, and encourage you to share with the class other readings and examples you
have found that are relevant. I will give mini-lectures on topics that I think are not well addressed by the readings, and there will be in-class exercises dealing with certain skills. There will also be opportunities (what, in EDRS 812, I called “consultations”) for discussion of, and feedback on, your own research plans.

Before beginning the readings for a particular week I suggest that you ask yourself what your questions and concerns are about the topics for that week and that you list them. After finishing a reading, jot down the author's main points. Then, ask yourself how these relate to your questions or concerns. Did the reading answer your questions? Did it give you new ideas or ways of approaching your study? How can you use what you learned from reading it? If an example of a mixed method study is assigned, analyze it in terms of the methodological readings: How do the latter’s ideas apply? How do they not apply? What are the methodological readings’ implications for this study, and vice versa? How can this example inform your own study?

We will often be reading articles or book chapters presenting different perspectives on the same issue. Think about each author's approach to mixed method research as you read his/her work, and how this fits into the different approaches we have discussed.

**Grading**

**Written assignments**

There will be three written assignments, corresponding to the three modules of the course; each of these will count for 30% of the grade. For each of modules, there will be a choice of several assignments, as described in the Guidelines for the assignments. Alternative assignments to those that I suggest are possible, but you need to discuss these with me and get my approval prior to doing the assignment. Page lengths for written assignments are suggestions only. Length is to be determined by the needs of the individual assignments.

My criteria for evaluating written assignments are: your understanding of the readings (through your discussion of the material and your application of it to your research topic), demonstration of an analytic/critical stance toward the material, appropriate application of the ideas, and clarity in organization and writing. The grading criteria and procedures will be presented and discussed in class. Grading scale: A+, A, A-, B+, B, B-, C, F.

**Class participation**

Class participation will count for the final 10% of the grade. Class participation grades will be based on informed, relevant, productive, and respectful contributions (questions as well as comments and responses) to class discussions; attendance will be a factor in this part of the grade.
## COURSE OUTLINE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Topic and readings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Module 1: The nature of mixed method research</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26</td>
<td>Introduction to the course and to mixed method research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elbow, The believing game and how to make conflicting opinions more fruitful (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maxwell, Using numbers in qualitative research (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rabinowitz &amp; Weseen, Power, politics, and the qualitative/quantitative debates in psychology (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>Qualitative and quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greene, <em>Mixed methods in social inquiry</em>, Chapter 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James, Inside-out perspective (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blumer, Sociological analysis and the “variable” (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidder &amp; Fine, Qualitative and quantitative methods: When stories converge. In Mark &amp; Shotland, <em>Multiple methods in program evaluation</em> (e-reserve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hammersley, Deconstructing the qualitative-quantitative divide, in Hammersley, <em>What’s wrong with ethnography?</em> (e-reserve).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minta et al., Hunting associations between badgers and coyotes (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>Paradigm issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greene, <em>Mixed methods in social inquiry</em>, Chapters 4-5 and Interlude 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oakley, Paradigm wars (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maxwell, Paradigms or toolkits? Philosophical and methodological positions as heuristics for mixed methods research (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maxwell &amp; Mittapalli, Realism as a stance for mixed methods research (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleeter, Epistemological diversity in research on preservice teacher preparation for historically underserved children (Blackboard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommended:
Reilly, Paradigm reflection memo (Blackboard)
Shulman, Paradigms and Programs (excerpt on Blackboard)
Greene & Caracelli, Making paradigmatic sense of mixed methods practice. In Tashakkori & Teddlie (Eds.), Handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research, 1st ed. (e-reserve)
Phillips, Postpositivistic science: Myths and realities. In Guba, The paradigm dialog (e-reserve)
Maxwell & Lincoln, Methodology and epistemology: A dialogue (Blackboard)
Pitman & Maxwell, Qualitative approaches to evaluation. In LeCompte, Millroy, & Preissle, Handbook of qualitative research in education (e-reserve)

2/16  Mixed methods social research

Weisner, Introduction, in Weisner, Discovering successful pathways.
Goldenberg, Gallimore, & Reese, Using mixed methods to explore Latino children’s development, in Weisner, Discovering successful pathways.
Castle, Fox, & Souder, Do professional development schools make a difference? A comparative study of PDS and non-PDS teacher candidates (Blackboard).
Fricke, Taking culture seriously: Making the social survey ethnographic, in Weisner, Discovering successful pathways.

2/23  Writing about mixed method research

Greene, Mixed methods in social inquiry, Chapter 10 and Interlude 3
Marshall & Barritt, Choices made, worlds created: The rhetoric of AERJ (e-reserve)
Bem “Writing the empirical journal article” http://dbem.ws/WritingArticle.pdf
Sandelowski, Tables or tableaux? The challenges of writing and reading mixed methods studies. (e-reserve)

Recommended:
APA Publication Manual
Maxwell, “Guide to the APA Publication Manual: The most important things to remember.” (Blackboard)
Module 2: Design, analysis, and validity

3/1  “Scientific research” and mixed methods

Module 1 assignment due

Platt, Strong inference (e-reserve)
James, Jeter vs. Everett (Blackboard)
Maxwell, Causal explanation, qualitative research, and scientific inquiry in education (Blackboard)
Eisenhart, Hammers and saws for the improvement of educational research (Blackboard)

Recommended:
Scriven, A Summative Evaluation of RCT Methodology: & An Alternative Approach to Causal Research (Blackboard)
Shadish, Cook, & Campbell, Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference, Chapter 1 (e-reserve).
Chatterji, Evidence on “what works”: An argument for extended-term mixed-method (ETMM) evaluation designs (Blackboard)
Conrad (Ed.), Critically evaluating the role of experiments.
Lewontin, The analysis of variance and the analysis of causes, in Block (Ed.), The IQ controversy (e-reserve)
Maxwell, Re-emergent scientism, postmodernism, and dialogue across differences (Blackboard)
Maxwell, Explanation (Blackboard)
Maxwell, Scientism (Blackboard)
Pawson & Tilley, Realistic evaluation.
Raudenbush, Learning from attempts to improve schooling: The contribution of methodological diversity (Blackboard)

3/8  Research design and research problems

Greene, Mixed methods in social inquiry, Chapters 6-7 and Interlude 2
Maxwell & Loomis, Mixed method design: An alternative approach (Blackboard)
Maxwell, Qualitative research design, chapter 3
Kling et al., “Bullets don’t got no name,” in Weisner, Discovering successful pathways

Recommended:
Maxwell, Literature reviews of, and for, educational research (Blackboard)

3/15  Spring break
**Data analysis**

Greene, *Mixed methods in social inquiry*, Chapter 8
Maxwell, Some notes on key concepts in quantitative analysis. Unpublished class notes (Blackboard)
Nix & Barnette, The data analysis dilemma: Ban or abandon. A review of null hypothesis significance testing (Blackboard)
Boaler & Staples, Creating mathematical futures (Blackboard)
Weiss et al., Working it out: The chronicle of a mixed-method analysis, in Weisner, *Discovering successful pathways*. 
Recommended:
Maxwell & Miller, Categorizing and connecting strategies in qualitative data analysis (Blackboard)
Gigerenzer, Mindless statistics (Blackboard)
Thompson, Statistical significance and effect size reporting: Portrait of a possible future (e-reserve)
Kaplan, Fill in the numbers <http://www.slate.com/id/2233353?wpisrc=newsletter>
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exploratory_data_analysis

3/29  **Validity and generalizability**

Greene, *Mixed methods in social inquiry*, Chapter 9
Maxwell, Using qualitative research for causal explanation (Blackboard)
Leibovici, Effects of remote, retroactive, intercessory prayer (Blackboard)
Weisner et al., Behavior sampling and ethnography (Blackboard)
Becker, Generalizing from case studies. In Eisner & Peshkin, *Qualitative inquiry in education* (e-reserve)

Recommended:
Conrad & Conrad, Reassessing validity threats in experiments: Focus on construct validity (e-reserve)
Hammersley, *What's wrong with ethnography?*, Chapters 5, 7, and 8.
Maxwell, Chapter 8: Validity (Blackboard).

**Module 3: Integrating approaches, methods, and data**

4/5  **Strategies for integrating approaches**

*Module 2 assignment due*

Bryman, Barriers to integrating quantitative and qualitative research (Blackboard)
Trend, On the reconciliation of qualitative and quantitative analyses: A case study. In Cook & Reichardt, *Qualitative & quantitative methods in program evaluation*. (e-reserve)
Maxwell, Diversity and methodology (Blackboard)

Recommended:
Shulman, Summary and prognosis, in Shulman, *Paradigms and programs* (e-reserve)
Yanchar & Williams, Reconsidering the compatibility thesis and eclecticism: Five proposed guidelines for method use (Blackboard)
4/12 **Examples: Integrating data collection methods**

Kaplan & Duchon, Combining qualitative and quantitative methods in information systems research: A case study (Blackboard)
Maxwell, Sandlow, & Bashook, Combining ethnographic and experimental methods in evaluation research: A case study (e-reserve)
Rank, The blending of qualitative and quantitative methods in understanding childbearing among welfare recipients, in Hesse-Biber & Leavy (Eds), Approaches to qualitative research (e-reserve)
Zentella, Integrating qualitative and quantitative methods in the study of bilingual code switching (e-reserve)

4/19 **Presenting integrated analyses and results**

Morse, Tylko, & Dixon, Characteristics of the Fall-Prone Patient (e-reserve)
Morse & Tylko, The Use of Qualitative Methods in a Study Examining Patient Falls (e-reserve)
Milgram, Obedience to authority. Harper & Row, 1974

Recommended:
Tolman & Szalacha, Dimensions of desire: Bridging qualitative and quantitative methods in a study of female sexuality, in Hesse-Biber & Leavy (Eds), Approaches to qualitative research (e-reserve)

4/26 **More examples of integrating analyses and results**

Irwin, Data analysis and interpretation: Emergent issues in linking qualitative & quantitative evidence (e-reserve).
Muth, Conceptualizing incarcerated literacy learners: Pragmatic and dialectical uses of assessment data. Unpublished paper (Blackboard)
Gibson-Davis & Duncan, Qualitative-quantitative synergies in a random-assignment program evaluation, and the commentary by Huston, in Weisner, Discovering successful pathways.

Recommended:

5/3 **Final class: Presentations of mixed method designs/learning**

*Module 3 assignment due*
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