Professor:
C. Stephen White, Ph.D.
GMU Graduate School of Education
451A Robinson A
703-993-2031
E-mail: cwhite1@gmu.edu

Office Hours: Wednesdays, 3:00 – 4:00 or by appointment

COURSE DATES/TIMES/LOCATIONS:
4:30 – 7:10
1/25; 2/1; 2/8; 2/15; 2/22; 3/1; 3/8; 3/22; 3/29; 4/5; 4/12; 4/19; 4/26; 5/2; 5/10
338 Innovation Hall

PREREQUISITES:
Students must have completed the early childhood emphasis of the graduate program except for the seminar, be in the final semester of the early childhood emphasis of the program, or obtain permission of the Program Coordinator.

COURSE DESCRIPTION
A culminating seminar devoted to analyzing and synthesizing knowledge and skills gained through graduate coursework as it applies to early childhood education. Students will examine teaching and learning through core studies and preparation of a major final project.

STUDENT OUTCOMES
This course is designed to enable students to:

A. Synthesize and reflect on knowledge gained through coursework in the master’s program in education.
B. Examine applications of educational theories and research to their own teaching and learning process.
C. Demonstrate competency in wiring ability and coherent communication of knowledge.
D. Demonstrate the ability to work collaboratively in small groups to construct a joint knowledge base about a case.
E. Present information in a disciplined, clear and concise manner.
RELATIONSHIP TO PROGRAM GOALS & PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION

EDCI 784 addresses the *GSE priorities* of performance-based assessment systems, and reflective, research-based practice. It is designed as culminating experience to enable early childhood educators to synthesize their knowledge through a major final project.

EDCI 784 also addresses the following approved national *Guidelines for Advanced Degree Candidates (NAEYC, 1996)* to a) extend...knowledge and understanding of the child in the family and cultural context, b) extend and further develop their knowledge and understanding of theories and content of curriculum and instruction and alternative models and methodologies, and 3) engage in reflective inquiry and demonstrate professional self-knowledge.

EDCI 784 also addresses the following *National Board for Professional Teaching Standards for the Early Childhood Generalist Certificate*: Standard I, Understanding Young Children; Standard IV; Promoting Child Development and Learning; Standard V, Knowledge of Integrated Curriculum; Standard VI, Multiple Teaching Strategies for Meaningful Learning; and Standard IX, Reflective Practice.

READINGS – CLASS HANDOUTS


RELATED RESOURCES

MODE OF COURSE DELIVERY

This course will meet in a seminar style that focuses on individual responsibility for course requirements.

GSE SYLLABUS STATEMENTS OF EXPECTATIONS

The Graduate School of Education (GSE) expects that all students abide by the following:
Students are expected to exhibit professional behavior and dispositions. See http://www.gse.gmu.edu for a listing of these dispositions.

HONOR CODE

As stated on the GMU web site: “Please familiarize yourself with the Honor System and Code, as stated in the George Mason University Undergraduate Catalog. When you are given an assignment as an individual, the work must be your own. Some of your work may be collaborative; source material for group projects and work of individual group members must be carefully documented for individual contributions.” Students must follow the guidelines of the University Honor Code. See http://www.gmu.edu/catalog/apolicies/#TOC_H12 for the full honor code.

Students must agree to abide by the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing. See http://mail.gmu.edu and click on Responsible Use of Computing at the bottom of the screen.

STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS

Students who require any special accommodations or those with disabilities that may affect their ability to participate fully in the course are encouraged to work with the instructor to ensure their successful participation. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the GMU Disability Resource Center (DRC) and inform the instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester. See www.gmu.edu/student/drc or call 703-993-2474 to access the DRC.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS, ASSIGNMENTS, AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

All students must use their GMU email account.

1. CRITICAL RESPONSE TO READING (15%)

Students will respond critically and analytically to a reading related to early childhood. As a group we will be developing a rubric for the critical response. (See guidelines in following pages)
2. **CULMINATING PROJECT (50%)**

Choose ONE of the following; (see guidelines on following pages)

Option 1: Teaching portfolio using selected NBPTS guidelines  
Option 2: Writing for publication

3. **POSTER PRESENTATION OF CULMINATING PROJECT (10%)**

see guidelines on following pages

4. **GROUP DISCUSSION OF EARLY CHILDLHOOD CASES (25%)**

Each group of students will choose two cases or stories and be responsible for leading a discussion based on 5 higher order questions pertaining to the case. We will decide in class when each group is to lead their case study discussion. (See guidelines on following pages)

**NOTE:** Students are requested to turn off their pages and cell phones before class begins.

**Grading Scale:**

- A = 94-100  
- A- = 90-93  
- B+ = 86-89  
- B = 80-85  
- C = 70-79  
- F = Below 70 - did not meet course requirements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic/Learning Experiences</th>
<th>Readings and Assignments for This Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 24</td>
<td>Introduction to the course; The core of teaching and learning</td>
<td>Read: Jalongo &amp; Isenberg, preface – class handout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2</td>
<td>Individual Work – Determining Culminating Project Work on Critical Reading Response Begin Case group planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 7</td>
<td>Constructing teaching portfolios for professional development Instrutor Handouts Guest Speaker: ECE NBCT</td>
<td>Read: Jalongo &amp; Isenberg, chapter 1 Case (handout) Teitle, Chapter 9 (handout) Campbell, Cignetti, Melenyzer, Nettles, &amp; Wyman, pp. 70-74 (handout) Instructor Handouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 22</td>
<td>Portfolios and their consequences: Developing as a reflective practitioner Student Led Case Analysis: The Practice of Reflection</td>
<td>Read: Jalongo &amp; Isenberg chapter 4 NBPTS ECE Generalist Standards, Standards III &amp; IX Critical Reading Response Due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings: - Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments - Writing for publication – Literature Review Student Led Case Analysis: Dilemmas in Teaching</td>
<td>Read: Jalongo &amp; Isenberg chapter 5 NBPTS ECE Generalist Standards, Standards IV &amp; V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 8</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings: - Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments Writing for publication – Literature Review Student Led Case Analysis: Professional Development</td>
<td>Read: Jalongo &amp; Isenberg chapter 6 NBPTS ECE Generalist Standards,, Standards VI &amp; VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 15</td>
<td>Spring Break – No Class</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 22</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student Led Case Analysis: What Students Can Teach Us</td>
<td>Student Led Case Analysis: What Students Can Teach Us</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 29</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large Group Case Analysis: How Narrative Connects</td>
<td>Large Group Case Analysis: How Narrative Connects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 5</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 12</td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 19</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 26</td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
<td>Project Group support Meetings:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
<td>• Portfolio entry – Documented Accomplishments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
<td>Writing for publication – Literature Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 10</td>
<td>Poster Sessions: Highlights from Teaching Portfolios and Writing for Publication</td>
<td>Poster Sessions: Highlights from Teaching Portfolios and Writing for Publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Written Projects Due</td>
<td>Written Projects Due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course Wrap – Up</td>
<td>Course Wrap – Up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GUIDELINES FOR CRITICAL RESPONSE TO READING

A critical response to a reading encourages learners to ask questions, to notice and reflect, and to connect and inquire. It can lead to active, hypotheses developing, idea-building, and engagement. In essence, it provides learners with the opportunity to interact with the ideas being discussed and debated.

Your critical response should reflect what your reading(s) meant to you as an early childhood educator, how you relate to the author, and how you can or cannot apply these ideas into your current practice. Critical response are NOT DESCRIPTIVE; rather they provoke thinking about why and how questions.

Each response should be no more than 3 double spaced, word-processed pages. Be certain to identify the material (e.g. article, book chapter using the APA reference) to which you are responding. The goal here is depth of understanding.

Please include a copy of the reading you are responding to when you turn in your critical response.

Evaluation will depend upon the inclusion of the following parts of critical reflection:

Part 1: Description: Describe the article in no more than a single paragraph. Describe the key ideas/points of the article.

Part 2: Analysis: Analyze and apply the description to what is know about the field of early childhood education. In part 2 address the potential reasons, perspectives, and interpretations related to the points you summarize in the description.

Part 3: Synthesis: Interpret the description and analysis by synthesizing and evaluating them in relation to you as an early childhood educator (i.e., imagine yourself in a situation – what would you do?). Indicate what you would do differently because of this reading or what you learned that would help you in the future in your own setting.

Culminating Project Guidelines

Option 1 – Teaching Portfolio Entries*

Entries Based Primarily on Student Work Samples.

One essential source of evidence about a teacher’s practice is student work: what are the children asked to do, how student responses are interpreted by the teacher; and what does the teacher do with the information the student work provides. There are many kinds of student work. The following two portfolio entries sample types that are important for generalist teachers of children. Each of the student work wimples is individually contextualized and situated by the teacher, using the following Written Commentary.
1. *Building a Classroom Community*: Demonstrate your knowledge and skill in creating a classroom environment that supports all aspects of children’s learning and development and also deepens student understanding of an important social studies concept through integration of social studies and the arts.

Choose a social studies concept relevant to the age group you are teaching. Prepare a **written commentary** that explains and illustrates how you build classroom community. **Analyze** the videotape by describing specific dialogue, events, or children. See NB Guidelines Entry 2 and Standards I, II, IV, V, VI and IX.

**OR**


Choose one child to feature as an example of how your work with children fosters literacy development. **Describe** how you foster literacy in your classroom. **Analyze** that child’s work samples and **discuss** the child’s literacy development; outline your approach to supporting learning. Show how you help parents support their child’s literacy development. **Reflect** on your practice. See NB Guidelines, Entry 1 and Standards I-VII and IX.

**OR**

*Entries Based on Work Outside the Classroom.*

1. *Documented Accomplishments.*

Another essential source of evidence about a teacher’s practice reflects those aspects of teaching that do not occur in the classroom with children, but in the teacher’s interactions with students’ families, school and local community, and colleagues. This entry requires you to submit descriptions and documentation of those activities and accomplishments that illustrate your commitment to the families and communities of your children, and your contributions to the early childhood profession. See NB Guidelines, Entry 4.

*NOTE: Meets the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards Guidelines for Portfolio Entries. Outcomes 1, 2, and 3*

**Option 2 – Writing for Publication**

Select a journal that meets your needs and prepare an article for publication according to the published guidelines for that journal. Please submit the selection of your journal and the published guidelines to me. Be sure to follow the guidelines exactly as published and submit a copy of them with our final product. Typically, most journals require a cover sheet, and abstract of the article’s content, illustrative material (e.g., student writing sample or a photograph), and APA writing style.
Guidelines for Case Analysis

Each student will be a member of a group responsible for discussing one of the cases in *Teachers’ Stories*. The group will 1) select a case to discuss, 2) prepare to lead the class in case analysis based on higher order questions, 3) submit 5 higher order questions to discuss the case one week in advance, and 4) read and incorporate information from 2 articles related to the issues in the case. Peers will evaluate groups using a class-developed, peer evaluation rubric or feedback form. **Group 1** includes Jimmy, Pam, and Vallerie; **Group 2** includes Lisa, Sherry, and KJ.

Criteria for evaluation:

- An interesting “hook” to introduce the case and engage the other students.
- At least 5 higher order questions.
- Integration and application of 2 related articles.
- Leading a coherent and engaging discussion.

Guidelines for Poster Presentation

Each student will prepare a poster presentation of his/her culminating project using a combination of visual (e.g., graphs, photos, illustrations) and textual information presented on a poster. Posters provide a unique learning opportunity for students to share information because they require students to be very disciplined and concise. To write and present concise statements, students must understand the material completely, internalize the information, and then express it in a clear way to viewers.

Criteria for Evaluation:

Students will use a Likert scale to rate their colleagues’ posters according to the following criteria:

- Visual attractiveness
- Clarity and organization
- Quality of information
- Relevance to Early Childhood Education Practice
- Originality and balance of text and visuals.

The final grade for each student will be the average of the ratings from all other students in the seminar.